Court of Appeal File No. C65861 (M49615)

Superior Court File Nos: CV-18-00603797-0000

CV-18-00602494-0000

CV-18-00603633-0000

COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO

BETWEEN:

CITY OF TORONTO

Applicant

(Respondent in appeal - Responding Party)

- and -

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

Respondent (Appellant - Moving Party)

AND BETWEEN:

ROCCO ACHAMPONG

Applicant

(Respondent in appeal - Responding Party)

- and -

ONTARIO (HON. DOUG FORD, PREMIER OF ONTARIO), ONTARIO (ATTORNEY GENERAL) and CITY OF TORONTO

Respondents (Appellants - Moving Parties)

(Title of Proceedings Continued on p.2)

RECORD OF THE RESPONDING PARTIES, CHRIS MOISE et al. (Motion for Stay Pending Appeal)

AND BETWEEN:

CHRIS MOISE, ISH ADERONMU and PRABHA KHOSLA on her own behalf and on behalf of all members of WOMEN WIN TORONTO

Applicants (Respondents in appeal - Responding Parties)

- and -

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO and THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

Respondent (Appellant - <u>Moving Party</u>)

- AND-

JENNIFER HOLLETT, LILY CHENG, SUSAN DEXTER, GEOFFREY KETTEL and DYANOOSH YOUSSEFI

Interveners (Respondents in appeal - Responding Parties)

RECORD OF THE RESPONDING PARTIES, CHRIS MOISE et al. (Motion for Stay Pending Appeal)

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

20 Dundas Street West, Suite 1039 Toronto, ON M5G 2C2 Tel: 416-977-6070

Fax: 416-591-7333

Howard Goldblatt LS# 15964M hgoldblatt@goldblattpartners.com Daniel Sheppard LS# 59074H dsheppard@goldblattpartners.com Louis Century LS# 66582C lcentury@goldblattpartners.com

Lawyers for the Responding Parties Chris Moise et al.

TO: MINISTRY OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Constitutional Law Branch McMurtry-Scott Building 720 Bay Street Toronto, ON M7A 2S9

Robin Basu (LSO# 32742K)

Robin.Basu@ontario.ca

Yashoda Ranganathan (LSO# 57236E)

Yashoda.Ranganathan@ontario.ca

Audra Ranalli (LSO# 72362U)

Audra.Ranalli@ontario.ca

Lawyers for the Moving Parties

AND TO: CITY OF TORONTO

26 Flr., Metro Hall, Stn. 1260 Legal Services Toronto, ON M5V 3C6

Glenn Chu (LSO# 40392F)

Tel: 416-397-5407 Fax: 416-397-5624 Glenn.Chu@toronto.ca

Lawyers for the Responding Party, The City of Toronto

AND TO: ROCCO K. ACHAMPONG

Barrister & Solicitor Dundas Street West, Suite 2500 Toronto, ON M5G 1Z3

Rocco Achampong (LSO# 57837J)

roccoachampong@gmail.com

Gavin Magrath (LSO# 51553A)

gavin@magraths.ca

Selwyn Pieters (LSO# 50303Q)

selwyn@SelwynPieters.com

Lawyers for the Responding Party, Rocco K. Achampong

AND TO: PALIARE ROLAND ROSENBERG ROTHSTEIN LLP

155 Wellington Street West 35th Floor Toronto, ON M5V 3H1

Donald K. Eady (LSO #30635P)

don.eady@paliareroland.com

Caroline V. (Nini) Jones (LSO #43956J)

nini.jones@paliareroland.com

Jodi Martin (LSO# 54966V)

jodi.martin@paliareroland.com

Lawyers for the Intervenors Below, Jennifer Hollett, Lily Cheng, Susan Dexter, Geoff Kettel, and Dyanoosh Youssefi

AND TO: DLA PIPER (CANADA) LLP

Suite 6000, 1 First Canadian Place PO Box 367, 100 King St W Toronto, ON M5X 1E2

Derek Bell (LSO # 43420J)

derek.bell@dlapiper.com

Ashley Boyes (LSO# 74477G)

ashley.boyes@dlapiper.com

Lawyers for the Intervener Below, The Canadian Taxpayers Federation

AND TO: TORONTO DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

Legal Services 5050 Young Street, 5th Floor Toronto, ON M2N 5N8

Patrick Cotter (LSO# 40809E)

Patrick.cotter@tdsb.on.ca

Lawyer for the Intervener Below, the Toronto District School Board

INDEX

| Tab | Description | | | |
|-----|---|-----|--|--|
| | VOLUME I | | | |
| 1 | Affidavit of Joshua Mandryk, sworn September 14, 2018 | i. | | |
| 2 | Exhibit "A" – Email re: City of Toronto's statement in response to J. Belobaba's decision, dated September 10, 2018 | 1 | | |
| 3 | Exhibit "B" – News release from Premier's Office dated September 10, 2018: 'Doug Ford Announces Action to Uphold the Better Local Government Act' | 3 | | |
| 4 | Exhibit "C' – Op-Ed by Premier Ford, published by the Toronto Sun, dated September 12, 2018, 'FORD: Priority lies in ending Toronto's council gridlock' | 5 | | |
| 5 | Exhibit "D" – Excerpt from Hansard containing Gilles Bisson's Notice to the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly dated September 13, 2018 | 8 | | |
| 6 | Exhibit "E" - Affidavit of Chris Moise sworn August 20, 2018 | 16 | | |
| | Toronto Ward Boundary Review Map Showing the 44-ward Model | 30 | | |
| | Toronto Ward Boundary Review Map Showing the 47-ward Model | 32 | | |
| | c. Printouts from campaign website | 34 | | |
| | d. Map of the 25-ward Model imposed by Bill 5 | 42 | | |
| 7 | Exhibit "F" - Affidavit of Prabha Khosla sworn August 18, 2018 | 45 | | |
| | a. Curriculum vitae | 62 | | |
| | b. TWCA Report entitled 'Women-friendly policies for Toronto's Official Plan' | 70 | | |
| | c. Printouts from WWTO's website | 76 | | |
| | d. Article from the Toronto Star on WWTO | 98 | | |
| 8 | Exhibit "G" - Affidavit of Myer Siemiatycki sworn August 21, 2018 | 106 | | |
| | a. Curriculum vitae | 124 | | |
| | b. Toronto Ward Boundary Review Final Report, May 2016 | 146 | | |
| 9 | Exhibit "H" - Affidavit of Mariana Valverde sworn August 20, 2018 a. <i>Curriculum vitae</i> | 214 | | |
| 10 | Exhibit "I" - Affidavit of Moya Beall sworn August 21, 2018 | 231 | | |
| 11 | Exhibit "J" - Affidavit of Megann Willson sworn August 21, 2018 | 242 | | |
| 11 | a. City Council Decision MM44.128 dated July 23, 2018 | 254 | | |
| | b. City of Toronto's 'Use of City Resources during an Election | 271 | | |

| Tab | Description | | | |
|-----|--|-----|--|--|
| | Period Policy' | | | |
| 12 | Exhibit "K" - Affidavit of Chiara Padovani sworn August 21, 2018 | 282 | | |
| | a. Curriculum vitae | 293 | | |
| | Toronto Star article entitled 'How a Broken Jury List Makes Ontario Justice Whiter, Richer and Less Like Your Community' | 298 | | |
| 13 | Exhibit "L" - Affidavit of Jamaal Myers sworn August 21, 2018 | 310 | | |
| | a. City of Toronto's demographic report for Ward 45 | 323 | | |
| | b. City of Toronto's demographic reports for Ward 47 | 331 | | |
| | c. Tweets made on Twitter under the hashtag '#ScarboroughMoves' | 339 | | |
| | d. 'Scarborough Moves' Platform and Information About Candidates | 377 | | |
| | e. Promotional poster for Lewis-Thurab and Khoshdel's joint campaign | 383 | | |
| 14 | Exhibit "M" - Affidavit of Cheryl Lewis-Thurab sworn August 21, 2018 | 385 | | |
| 15 | Exhibit "N" - Affidavit of Fiona Murray affirmed August 22, 2018 | 392 | | |
| | a. City Clerk's declarations of results | 400 | | |
| | b. City Clerk's 2014 election report | 421 | | |
| | c. Work Plan prepared by the City Clerk's Office | 471 | | |
| | d. Confluence calendar prepared by the City Clerk's Office | 484 | | |
| | e. The Ontario government's news release of July 27, 2018 announcing its intention to align Toronto's wards with the federal and provincial electoral ridings for the 2018 elections | 495 | | |
| | f. News releases an announcements from the 2018 election | 498 | | |
| | g. Printouts of the Election Services website | 508 | | |
| | h. Printout of the Election Services Twitter page | 587 | | |
| | Presentation slides of an information session on becoming a candidate in the 2018 municipal election held on April 7, 2018 | 627 | | |
| | j. Presentation slides of four candidate information sessions held on June 19, June 28, July 12, and July 19, 2018 | 638 | | |
| | VOLUME II | | | |
| 16 | Exhibit "O" - Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone sworn August 22, 2018 | 662 | | |
| | a. Information from the City's public website about the City's local government and the Councillor's role | 681 | | |
| | b. List of some of the committees and boards of the City | 311 | | |
| | c. Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement | | | |

| Tab | Description | Page No. |
|---------|--|--------------|
| | signed January 15, 2008 | 692 |
| d | . Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement signed May 10, 2011 | 698 |
| e | . Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement signed May 12, 2016 | 703 |
| f. | Printout of Election Services webpage posting financial limits of candidates | 708 |
| g | . List of candidates' information as of August 10, 2018 | |
| h | . Printouts of Councillor Candidate Websites as of August 10, 2018 | 726 751 |
| i. | Samples of Campaign Pamphlets | |
| j. | Council decision of June 11 to 13, 2013 to retain a third party Consultant to conduct the Toronto Ward Boundary Review ("TWBR") | 1087 1112 |
| k | . Council decision of June 13, 2013 to approve the TWBR work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategy, associated staff reports, appendix and presentation | 1127 |
| 1. | Round 2 Report on Civic Engagement and Public Consultation | |
| n | n. Executive Committee decision requesting Additional Information | 1172 1233 |
| n | . Council decision approving 47-ward structure | 1233 |
| 0 | . By-law 267-2017 | 1251 |
| p | . By-law 464-2017 | 1247 |
| q | • | 1347 |
| r. | Decision of Divisional Court dated March 6, 2018 | 1352 1392 |
| | VOLUME III | |
| 17 Exhi | bit "P" - Affidavit of Gary Davidson sworn August 27, 2018 | 1396 |
| a | . Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Gary Davidson | 1419 |
| b | . Schedule of Public Hearings of the Ontario Federal Electoral Boundaries Commission | 1428 |
| c | . 2016 Population for Federal Electoral Districts in Toronto | 1431 |
| d | . Average Ward Size for Ontario Municipalities | 1433 |
| e | . Article by André Côté entitled "The Maturing Metropolis: Governance in Toronto a Decade on from Amalgamation" from the Institute on Municipal Finance and Governance, University of Toronto, March 2009 | 1435 |
| f. | Excerpt from Meetings Statistics, 2009-2016, City Clerk's Office, City of Toronto | 1467 |

| Tab | Description | Page No. |
|-----|---|----------|
| 18 | Exhibit "Q" - Affidavit of Lily Cheng, sworn August 21, 2018 | 1494 |
| | Maps Showing Ward 47 Municipal Boundaries and Provincial Boundaries | 1506 |
| 19 | Exhibit "R" – Affidavit of Dyanoosh Youssefi, sworn August 22, 2018 | 1509 |
| | 1. Maps of Ward 14 and new Eglinton-Lawrence Ward | 1522 |
| | 2. Press Release | 1525 |
| 20 | Exhibit "S" – Affidavit of Rocco Achampong, sworn August 22, 2018 | 1528 |
| | a. Candidate List for 2018 Municipal Election | 1538 |
| | b. 2018 Candidate's Guide for Ontario municipal council and school board election | 1540 |
| | c. City of Toronto BY-LAW 598-2018 To confirm the composition of council, Map of the City of Toronto's 47 Electoral Wards | 1582 |
| | d. Media Report: The Globe and Mail "Doug Ford throws Ontario elections into turmoil" | 1585 |
| | e. Ontario, News Release, Office of the Premier: The Better Local Government Act, July 27, 2018 9:35 A.M. | 1595 |
| | f. Bill 5 An Act to amend the City of Toronto Act, 2006, the Municipal Act, 2001 and the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 | 1600 |

EXHIBIT O

This is **Exhibit "O"** referred to in the affidavit of **JOSHUA MANDRYK**, sworn before me this 14th day of September, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

| | | |
|---|------|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Court File No. CV-18-00603797-0000

ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

CITY OF TORONTO

Applicant

- and -

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO

Respondent

APPLICATION UNDER Rule 14.05(3)(d), (g.1) and (h) of the Rules of Civil Procedure

AFFIDAVIT OF GIULIANA CARBONE

(Sworn August 22, 2018)

- I, Giuliana Carbone, of the City of Toronto, in the Province of Ontario, MAKE OATH AND SAY:
- 1. I was the Interim City Manager of the City of Toronto (the "City") from April 4, 2018 to August 12, 2018. As the Interim City Manager, I was the most senior official in the City's administrative structure. I was accountable to City Council for the policies and programs delivered by members of the Toronto Public Service. The City Manager is assisted by three Deputy City Managers and a Chief Financial Officer (the "Deputy City Managers"). Prior to my appointment as the Interim City Manager, I was one of the Deputy City Managers. Since the expiry of my

appointment, I have returned to my previous position as Deputy City Manager. As such, I have personal knowledge of the matters deposed to below.

THE STRUCTURE AND FUNCTIONING OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

- 2. According to 2016 census data from Statistics Canada, the City of Toronto is home to approximately 2.7 million people.
- 3. In 2017, the City had an operating budget of \$10.5 billion and a 10 year capital budget of \$26.5 billion.
- 4. In order to serve so many citizens, as a sophisticated level of government, the City has a complex administrative structure made up of approximately 35,000 active employees of the City employed in a variety of City divisions providing City services. City Council is the elected government currently made up of 44 councillors and the Mayor. The councillors of the City of Toronto also sit on various City boards and committees, such as those listed above, in addition to City Council. I am attaching as **Exhibit "A"** some basic information from the City's public website about the City's local government and the Councillor's role.

5. The City has:

- (a) four community councils (which have between ten and twelve councillors as members);
- (b) eight standing committees (which each have six councillor as members);

- (c) numerous special committees (which each have five or six councillors as members); and
- (d) various local boards and business improvement areas (which have varying numbers of councillors as board members).

A list of some of the committees and boards of the City is attached to my affidavit as Exhibit "B".

- 6. The vast majority of councillors already serve on multiple committees and boards. For example, Councillor Cressy sits on over 25 boards and committees. Given the number of committees and boards within the City, the higher the number of councillors, the greater the ability of councillors to share in the committee work.
- 7. As a result, the reduction in the number of councillors on City Council will impact on how many such committees and boards councillors will sit on in order to ensure that these committees and boards have the requisite number of members.
- 8. One natural consequence of sitting on more committees and boards is the increased workload resulting from such participation, which takes away from a councillor's accessibility to his or her constituents for other matters.
- 9. In addition, there is a lot of development in the City of Toronto. As part of that process, the City is required to hold at least one statutory meeting with respect to each development application. The ward councillor is automatically notified of any development that is taking place

in his or her ward and has the ability to attend, and often does attend, these statutory meetings.

The larger the ward, the greater the potential for development applications within the ward, and the higher the number of mandatory statutory meetings.

MEETINGS OF CITY COUNCIL

- 10. As the Interim City Manager and as a Deputy City Manager, I have had the opportunity to attend numerous meetings of Standing Committees and of City Council.
- 11. As City Council only meets once a month and, normally, only ten times a year, at any given meeting of council, there are numerous agenda items to be discussed and voted on. For example, in 2016, City Council went through 1,803 agenda items over the course of the year.
- 12. In addition, City Council passes numerous by-laws at these meetings. In 2016, City Council enacted 1,290 by-laws. By contrast, the City of Pickering passed 72 by-laws in 2016.
- 13. I present this information to show the significant work and decision making carried out in Committees and City Council which are the responsibility of elected councillors.

FINANCIAL IMPACT OF REDUCTION OF COUNCILLORS ON CITY COUNCIL

- 14. Currently, every councillor has 4 full-time staff persons. The total amount spent in 2017 on support staff salaries for all 44 existing councillors was \$11,047,540. The total remuneration and expenses for all councillors spent in 2017 was \$19,267,027.
- 15. If City Council is reduced to 25 councillors from the 44 existing councillors, based on the above numbers, support staff salary costs would reduce to 25/44 or 56.8% of existing salaries, as would the total expenses, assuming a uniform spending pattern across all councillors. This would mean that 25 councillors would have spent in 2017 \$6,277,011 in staff salaries and the total expenditure for councillors would have been \$10,947,174.
- 16. However, if each councillor decides to hire twice as many support staff because their ward has doubled in size, this would add another \$6,277,011 in staff salary costs and the total remuneration and expenses for 25 councillors would be \$17,224,185. This would be approximately \$2,000,000 less than the existing \$19,267,027 expenditure.
- 17. \$2,000,000 is approximately 0.02% of the City's 2017 operating budget.
- 18. The above does not take into account the changes that would be made to councillors' offices at City Hall to realign with the new number of councillors and their staff, nor the cost of redoing City Council chambers to adapt to the new number of councillors.

19. As well, I am advised by the City Clerk that the cost of changing the election from a 47-ward election to a 25-ward election will cost the City an additional \$2.5 million.

THE CONSULTATION FRAMEWORK

- 20. Pursuant to s. 1(3) of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 ("COTA"), both the Province of Ontario ("Ontario") and the City recognized that it was in the best interests of both levels of government to engage in ongoing consultations with each other about matters of mutual interest and to do so in accordance with an agreement. That agreement has been, since 2008, the Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement ("T-OCCA").
- 21. The first T-OCCA was signed by then Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Jim Watson, and then Mayor of the City, David Miller, on January 15, 2008. It was then renewed by then Minister Rick Bartolucci and then Mayor Rob Ford on May 10, 2011, and then renewed again most recently, by then Minister Ted McMeekin and Mayor John Tory on May 12, 2016. The term of each T-OCCA was three years. Attached hereto as Exhibits "C", "D" and "E" are these three agreements respectively.
- 22. T-OCCA captures the spirit and intention of s. 1(3) of COTA by setting out in its preamble, among other things, that "it is in the best interests of the Province and the City to work together in a relationship of mutual respect, ongoing consultation and cooperation on matters of mutual interest; and to do so in accordance with an Agreement between the Province and the City".

- 23. T-OCCA also recognized that Ontario and the City are best served when the following principles are observed:
- (a) respect for each other's jurisdiction and authority;
- (b) co-operation on shared policy matters and/or other matters of mutual interest; and
- (c) shared commitment to consult with one another on matters described in T-OCCA.
- 24. It is also important to note that, pursuant to s. 6 of T-OCCA, both Ontario and the City agreed that Ontario would consult with the City on, among other things, "[a]ny proposed change in legislation or regulation that, in Ontario's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the City".
- 25. The following matters were excluded from the ambit of T-OCCA:
 - a) emergency situations;
 - b) matters subject to public interest immunity and matters in the Provincial budget, budget papers and budget bills;
 - c) meetings and negotiations of First Ministers, Council of the Federation, Provincial-Territorial Ministers or Federal-Provincial-Territorial Ministers; and
 - d) Meetings and negotiations of municipal organization and alliances (eg: Federation of Canadian Municipalities).
- 26. The process of consultation takes the form of meetings or teleconferences between representatives from Ontario and the City. Such consultations may include:

- (a) regular formal meetings with the City Manager/Deputy City Managers and Provincial Deputy Ministers;
- (b) periodic meetings between the Mayor and the Premier, and the Mayor and the Minister of Municipal Affairs;
- (c) periodic meetings between the Mayor and/or councillors and the Premier and/or Provincial Cabinet ministers, as jointly determined; and
- (d) additional ad hoc meetings on particular issues as jointly determined.
- 27. From the City's perspective, it is the City Manager's Office that has the responsibility to perform the monitoring and administration functions under T-OCCA.
- 28. In recent years, Ontario, under the Liberal government, has consulted the City on various provincial initiatives such as:
 - o Cannabis legalization
 - o Construction Lien Act reform
 - o Canada-Ontario Immigration Agreement
 - o City of Toronto Act review
- 29. Furthermore, the City and Ontario consult on numerous matters whether or not specifically referred to in T-OCCA.

LACK OF CONSULTATION WITH RESPECT TO BETTER LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT, 2018 ("BILL 5")

- 30. It was and continues to be the expectation of the City that Ontario would consult with it pursuant to the terms of T-OCCA and COTA.
- 31. Both while I was the Interim City Manager and before that, when I was one of the Deputy City Managers, the City Manager's Office had never been consulted or even approached by Ontario to discuss the types of changes to COTA and the *Municipal Elections Act*, 2006 that Bill 5 introduces. There were no discussions whatsoever about any provincial plan to remove the City's powers to establish its own ward boundaries or council composition, or to impose on the City a specific ward and council composition structure of Ontario's choosing, let alone that these changes were intended to take effect for the October, 2018 municipal election in Toronto and that they would be imposed in the middle of the current election campaign.
- 32. Indeed, although, as I indicated above, there has been consultation with respect to a COTA review, none of those consultations even hinted at any of the changes introduced in Bill 5.
- 33. It would have been my expectation that Ontario would have consulted the City with respect to such important changes to the City's governance structure in accordance with the terms of T-OCCA and COTA, since these changes are to legislation that "will have a significant financial or policy impact on the City" and do not fall within any of the enumerated exceptions to consultation. This is especially so since it is my understanding that the purported rationale for Bill 5 includes the desire to save money for taxpayers in the City.

- 34. I can think of few things that would have more impact for the City than the changes contemplated by Bill 5.
- 35. Furthermore, to my knowledge, there was no mention of any of the types of changes contemplated by Bill 5 during the election campaign of the Progressive Conservative Party of Ontario prior to the 2018 provincial election.
- 36. On July 27, 2018—well into the election year and the election process, on the last day that nominations were open, and just under three months before Election Day—the government of Ontario announced for the first time its intention to reduce the number of City of Toronto councillors from 47 to 25 for the 2018 election.
- 37. Bill 5 came into force on August 14, 2018, the day it passed third reading and received Royal Assent. Bill 5 was not sent to Committee for any consultation and the time frames in the Legislature for debate were shortened.

2018 ELECTION

38. Among other things, Bill 5 requires the City Clerk to conduct the 2018 election for 25 councillor positions for 25 wards whose boundaries align with those of the provincial electoral districts. The nomination period for councillor or school board trustee is also extended to September 14, 2018. The mayoral election is not affected.

- 39. Bill 5 effectively abandons the 47-ward election, forcing active candidates for councillor and school board trustee to choose to either run for one of the new offices under the 25-ward structure, or withdraw from the election. (School board trustee elections are impacted because school board wards are formed by combining municipal wards.)
- 40. From the date their nomination is filed, each candidate is eligible to solicit and accept contributions toward their campaign and to incur campaign expenses. However, candidates are subject to limits on campaign expenses, and, upon filing their nomination, are advised by the Clerk of their financial limits, which are calculated based on the number of electors in the ward which they are a candidate for. A printout of the Election Services webpage posting the financial limits as it appeared on August 10, 2018, is attached as Exhibit "F".
- All. Many candidates have already produced campaign material based on 47 City wards. Reviewing the contact information submitted by candidates on the Election Services website reveals that many candidates for councillor had established campaign websites under 47 City wards. A copy of the list of candidates' information as of August 10 is attached as **Exhibit "G"**, and printouts of many councillor candidate websites as of the same date are attached as **Exhibit "H"**. Attached as **Exhibit "I"** is a sampling of campaign pamphlets from various 2018 candidates, which are provided to me from lawyers in the City Solicitor's Office who advise that these were delivered to their residences under the 47-ward model.

THE TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW

- 42. As noted above, until Bill 5 passed, the City Clerk had been preparing an election organized around a new 47-ward distribution. This ward structure was the result of a multi-year process known as the Toronto Ward Boundary Review ("TWBR").
- 43. In 2013, the City began the TWBR of its then existing 44-ward structure, with the goal being the adoption of a new ward boundary structure which would be more reflective of "effective representation". At its meeting on June 11 to 13, 2013, City Council authorized the retention of a third party consultant to undertake the review. Council's decision is attached as **Exhibit "J"**, along with the associated report from the City Manager, and appendices containing Toronto's population information and the terms of reference for the ward boundary review.
- 44. The TWBR project commenced with the request for proposals from qualified consultants in 2013. The City's chosen consulting team was ultimately retained in 2013 and began their review. Attached as **Exhibit** "K" is City Council's decision on June 13, 2014 to approve the TWBR work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategy, as well as the associated staff reports, appendix and presentation.
- 45. Once started on the TWBR, the consultants held over 100 face-to-face meetings with members of City Council, school boards and other stakeholder groups and held 24 public meetings and information sessions and produced a number of substantial reports. Among others, the project team prepared a *Background Research Report ("Research Report")*, *Options Report* and a *Final Report* (a draft of each report was reviewed by a 5-person Advisory Panel). Following direction

from the Executive Committee, an Additional Information Report followed by a Supplementary Report were issued, with the final result being Council's decision to enact the Ward Boundary Bylaws adopting the 47-ward option. Attached to my affidavit is the Round 2 Report on Civic Engagement and Public Consultation as Exhibit "L". The other reports have been made exhibits in affidavits provided by the intervenors, Jennifer Hollett, Lily Cheng, Susan Dexter, Geoffrey Kettel and Dyanoosh Youssefi and will not be made exhibits to my affidavit.

- The Research Report examined other ward boundary reviews, the legislative framework, OMB decisions, ward history in the City, projected development and electoral issues and incorporated comments from the Advisory Panel.
- 47. The *Options Report* (August 2015, revised October 2015) analyzed eight options for drawing new ward boundaries. The purpose of the report was to commence a discussion about a new preferred ward system among the public, stakeholders and Council. The methodology used for the development of the options addressed the components of effective representation plus: Toronto's population growth; a ward structure that will last for multiple elections; balanced ward population size; and effective new boundaries. The conclusion reached at this stage of the review was that five of the eight options provided for effective representation and should be carried forward. These were termed: minimal change; 44 wards; population per ward at 50,000 (small wards); population per ward at 75,000 (large wards); and wards drawn on natural and physical boundaries.
- 48. The prospect of using the Federal Electoral Districts (FEDs) to draw new ward boundaries was addressed in the *Options Report*. The City's consultants commented in that report that during

Round One of the civic engagement and public consultation process the idea of using the boundaries of the 25 federal and provincial ridings was discussed in some detail. The TWBR stated that, with 25 wards, each would have a population of about 123,000 people in 2026, resulting in very large wards. It stated that while there was little public support for this outcome, there was considerable support for an option that would divide the population in each federal riding in half, resulting in 50 wards with an average population of about 62,000 people per ward. The TWBR average population target per ward was determined to be 61,000. The FEDs option was not pursued as the conclusion reached by the TWBR team was that it would not achieve effective representation:

This option does not resolve the issue of very large wards in the Downtown, Willowdale and southern Etobicoke and the city's numerous small wards. It merely continues most of the inequities of the current situation that led to the TWBR. An option based on using the federal riding boundaries and then dividing them into two will not achieve effective representation and has, therefore, not been pursued (*Options Report*).

49. The *Final Report* was reviewed by the City's Executive Committee on May 24, 2016. The recommendation from the TWBR was to increase the number of wards from 44 to 47. It recommended addressing existing voter parity issues with a minimum number of changes to the existing boundaries. It recommended minimally increasing the number of wards to accommodate projected population growth, retain an average ward size of 61,000 people to ensure a manageable capacity for councillors to represent their constituents, achieve effective representation in all wards by 2026, and be workable through to the 2030 election.

- 50. Following receipt of the *Final Report*, the Executive Committee requested additional information on several matters, including a "ward option that is consistent with the boundaries of the 25 federal and provincial ridings". The Executive Committee's decision and associated background information are attached as **Exhibit** "M". An *Additional Information Report* (August, 2016) was prepared and it responded to several suggestions for ward specific refinements and reexamined whether the ward boundaries could be consistent with existing federal and provincial boundaries.
- 51. Thereafter the TWBR submitted its *Supplementary Report* (October 2016) and confirmed its recommended 47-ward structure (with refinements) as the new ward boundaries, effective for the 2018 election. The refinements included changes to keep several communities of interest together, including the community on either side of Sentinel Road, Regent Park, and Church-Wellesley Village.
- 52. Council adopted the recommended 47-ward structure at its November 2016 meeting. Attached as Exhibit "N" is Council's decision and associated background information. By-laws 267-2017 and 464-2017 to implement the 47-ward structure were adopted by Council in March, 2017 and April, 2017, and there were six appeals of the By-laws to the Ontario Municipal Board (the "OMB" or the "Board"). Copies of these by-laws are attached as Exhibits "O" and "P" respectively.
- 53. The Board held a two week hearing in October of 2017. At the hearing, the City presented evidence in support of the 47-ward structure from the consultant team who conducted the TWBR. The appellants likewise called two expert witnesses who disagreed with the City's witnesses and

who were in support of the 25-ward FEDs boundaries. In the course of this hearing, the OMB received over 1,000 pages of documents and testimony from the parties and other witnesses, including five expert witnesses.

- 54. The OMB released its decision on December 15, 2017, which was in time for the new ward structure to be implemented by the City for the 2018 municipal election. A copy of the Board's decision is attached as Exhibit "Q".
- 55. The Board found that the City's preferred option of 47 wards did indeed provide for effective representation in Toronto, which is the legal test on a ward boundary review as established by the Supreme Court of Canada in its 1991 decision known as the *Carter* Case.
- 56. The Board found that the City's preferred 47-ward structure provided for voter parity based on the evidence at the hearing and concluded that:

Effective representation is the primary goal and the Board finds that the 47 ward structure, reflected in the By-laws, does achieve that goal. The Board rejects that public consultation was inadequate.

(OMB Decision, Exhibit "Q", para. 40)

57. With regard to the FEDs scheme, the Board stated that its adoption would cause the Board to impose on the City a structure that could decrease the current 44-ward structure to 25 wards and increase individual ward population, resulting in a significant impact on the capacity of councillors to represent their constituents.

- 58. Leave to appeal this OMB decision was sought by the proponents of the 25-ward option on the basis that the OMB had made an error in law in finding that the City's 47-ward option achieved comparable voter parity to the 25-ward FEDs option. A single Justice heard the appellants' leave motion on March 2, 2018 and released her decision on March 6, 2018. The Court found that the OMB's decision to approve the City's 47-ward option was reasonable and that there were no obvious legal errors in the Board's decision. As such, the Court refused the appellants' request for leave to appeal and the City's 47-ward option approved by the OMB was therefore allowed to stand. A copy of the leave to appeal decision is attached as **Exhibit "R"**.
- 59. To summarize, the City conducted the TWBR over a period commencing with the establishment of the terms of reference for the retainer of consultants in 2013 and concluding with the Divisional Court refusing to grant leave to appeal on March 6, 2018. From start to finish, the undertaking took close to five years and concluded with the City Council-adopted 47-ward option having been approved. The 47-ward option was found by the Ontario Municipal Board to be a reasonable number and configuration of ward boundaries which met the tests of effective representation as set out in the Supreme Court of Canada's *Carter* decision.

SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING ON AUGUST 20, 2018

- 60. I attended a special meeting of City Council on August 20, 2018.
- 61. At that meeting, various councillors presented numerous petitions from members of the public with a total of 28,826 signatures, all of which opposed Bill 5.

| Sworn before me at the City of Toronto, |) |
|---|--------------------|
| in the Province of Ontario, this 22 nd day | j |
| of August, 2018. | ý |
| | \mathcal{L} |
| |) Harbine |
| DIANA DIMMER |) GIULIANA CARBONE |
| Commissioner for Taking Affidavits, etc. |) |

I swear this affidavit for use in these proceedings and for no other purpose.

This is Exhibit "A" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer



How the City Works

Information about how the City operates, the City's Legislative Structure and other information to help residents understand, access and participate in the daily life of the City of Toronto.

⊕ Expand All ⊝ Collapse All

Introducing your local government

The City of Toronto is your local government (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/council/my-local-government-its-for-me/), also known as municipal government. In Canada we have three levels of government: federal, provincial and municipal. Each level has different responsibilities and often work together. The City of Toronto provides services that have a direct impact on our daily lives.

Federal

The federal Government of Canada is responsible for: national defence and Canadian Armed Forces, postal service, banking, employment, citizenship and immigration, census, foreign affairs and international trade, agriculture and more.

Provincial

The provincial Government of Ontario is responsible for: health, education, driver and vehicle licensing, energy, human rights, natural resources, environment, social services and more.

Municipal

The City of Toronto is your local government and is responsible for: water treatment, parks, libraries, garbage collection, public transit, land use planning, traffic signals, police, paramedics, fire services, sewers, homeless shelters, childcare, recreation centres and more.

City of Toronto facts

- · Home to about 2.8 million people
- 5,600 km of roads
- 6,000 km of water mains


- · 84 fire stations and 45 ambulance stations
- · 600 km of park trails
- 135 recreation centres
- 100 libraries

(Based on figures from 2014 and 2015.)

Introductory Guides to the City of Toronto

City of Toronto on YouTube

City Council Structure & How City Council Works



Council Structure (https://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/council-structure.htm)

City Council is made up of 45 members: the Mayor, who is elected city-wide, and 44 Councillors who are elected in each ward (http://app.toronto.ca/wards/jsp/wards.jsp) across the city.

The Mayor provides leadership to City Council and:

- represents Toronto across Canada and around the world
- · works with different levels of government
- · chairs the Executive Committee
- appoints Councillors to chair the Standing Committees of City Council


Councillors talk to residents, businesses and community groups about City programs and services and listen to their concerns. Councillors:

- · attend City Council and Committee meetings
- · sit on the boards of City agencies and corporations
- propose changes to the decisions City Council makes
- introduce motions to propose action or raise awareness of issues
- · hold or attend community meetings to get input from the public
- · host or get involved in community events
- · help people access City services

Standing Committees & Community Councils

Some Councillors take on additional roles by chairing Committees or boards.


The mayor and Councillors must balance the needs of many different communities and interests across the city. In order to manage their workload and study items in greater detail City Council uses a system of Committees. There are two types of Committees: Standing Committees and Community Councils. City Council also manages its workload by delegating certain responsibilities to City boards.



Committees are each made up of between 3 and 13 Councillors. Committees hear from the public and make recommendations to City Council on specific items. City Council and its committees meet on a 4 to 5 week cycle throughout the year. Each cycle starts with committee meetings and ends with a full City Council meeting.

Standing Committees make recommendations on city-wide issues, such as parks, budget, roads and bridges, garbage and recycling, housing, planning, economic development, licensing and more. Final decisions are made at City Council meetings. There is an Executive Committee, chaired by the Mayor, as well as seven Standing Committees:

- Executive (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=966)
- Community Development and Recreation (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1034)
- Economic Development (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1035)
- Government Management (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1036)
- Licensing and Standards (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1037)
- Parks and Environment (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1038)
- Planning and Growth Management (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1039)
- Public Works and Infrastructure (http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do? function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=1040)



Community Councils make recommendations on local issues such as traffic lights, tree removal, parking permits, fence bylaws, appointments to local boards, local planning and development applications and more. They can make some final decisions without going to City Council. There are four Community Councils and each represents an area of the city:

- Etobicoke York (http://www1.toronto.ca/wps/portal/contentonly? vgnextoid=91a998b613412410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD&tab=0)
- Toronto and East York (http://www1.toronto.ca/wps/portal/contentonly? vgnextoid=91a998b613412410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD&tab=0)

- North York (http://www1.toronto.ca/wps/portal/contentonly?
 vgnextoid=91a998b613412410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD&tab=0)
- Scarborough (http://www1.toronto.ca/wps/portal/contentonly? vgnextoid=91a998b613412410VgnVCM10000071d60f89RCRD&tab=0)

Boards of agencies and corporations govern and manage various City services on behalf of City Council. Boards of agencies and corporations include both Councillors and members of the public who contribute their skills and experience to the running of the City. Examples of agencies and corporations include the TTC, Toronto Zoo, and Toronto Hydro.

The **City of Toronto Act** is legislation provided by the provincial government. The *Act* gives the City power to make decisions and provide the services and programs the public needs.

Council Briefing Book

Follow Civic Engagement on Twitter

https://twitter.com/GetInvolvedTO [2]

Contact Information

311 Contact

Phone within Toronto: 311 **Telephone:** 416-392-2489

TTY: 416-338-0889

Email: 311@toronto.ca (mailto:311@toronto.ca)

Related Information

City Agencies & Corporations (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/city-managers-office/agencies-corporations/)

City Administrative Structure (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/accountability-operations-customer-service/city-administration/staff-directory-divisions-and-customer-service/)

Demographic Information (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/data-research-maps/)

Public Notices (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/public-notices-bylaws/public-notices/)

This is Exhibit "B" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

Diana Dimmer

Commissioner, etc.

Q



Toronto City Council and Committees Committee List - 2018-8-21 7:10 PM



Committees



Agendas and Minutes (2014-2018)

Term:

2014-2018 🗸



Toronto City Council

City Council

Committees Reporting to City Council

Audit Committee

Board of Health

Civic Appointments Committee

Community Development and Recreation Committee

Economic Development Committee

Executive Committee

Government Management Committee

Licensing and Standards Committee

Parks and Environment Committee

Planning and Growth Management Committee

Public Works and Infrastructure Committee

Striking Committee

Community Councils

Etobicoke York Community Council

North York Community Council

Scarborough Community Council

Toronto and East York Community Council

Other Boards and Committees

Aboriginal Affairs Committee

Affordable Housing Committee

Bid Award Panel

Bid Committee (replaced by Bid Award Panel as of January 1, 2017)

Board of Directors of Civic Theatres Toronto

Board of Directors of The Hummingbird (Sony) Centre for the Performing Arts

Board of Directors of the St. Lawrence Centre for the Arts

Board of Directors of the Toronto Centre for the Arts Board of Health - Performance Appraisal of the Medical Officer of Health Board of Health Budget Committee Board of Health Public Health Champion Awards Selection Committee Board of Health Toronto Urban Health Fund Review Panel Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo Budget Committee: Budget Subcommittee - Etobicoke, East York and York Consultations Budget Subsommittee & City Halls Scarborough: North York Consultation City-School Boards Advisory Committee Gompliance Audit Committe <u>CreateTO</u> (formerly named Toronto Realty Agency) Debenture Committee Employee and Labour Relations Committee Forest Hill, Leaside and North Toronto Arena Nominating Panel George Bell Arena Nominating Panel Interview Subcommittee for Board of Health Interview Subcommittee for Toronto Parking Authority Interview Subcommittee for Toronto Police Services Board Interview Subcommittee for Tioronto Port Authority Interview Subcommittee for Toronto Public Library Board Interview Subcommittee for Toronto Zoo Board Interview Subcommittee for the Horonto Music Industry Advisory Council Joint Licensing and Standards and Public Works and Infrastructure Committees McCormick and Bill Bolton Arena Nominating Panel Members of the Horonto Atmospheric Fund. Moss Park and Ted Reeve Arena Nominating Panel Nominating Ranel - City Theatres

Nominating Panel - Committee of Adjustment

Nominating Ranel - Compliance Audit Committee

Nominating Panel - Corporations

Nominating Panel Environmental

Nominating Panel - Facilities and Finance

Nominating Panel Local Appeal Bod

Nominating Panel - Tribunals

Parks and Environment Subcommittee on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation.

Property Standards - Etobicoke York Panel

Property Standards -North York Panel

Property Standards - Scarborough Panel

Property Standards's Toronto and East York Panel

Property Standards Committee

Real Estate Advisory Committee (mandate complete)

Sign Variance Committee

Tenant Issues Committee

Toronto Accessibility Advisory Committee (Formerly named Disability, Access and Inclusion Advisory Committee)

Toronto Film, Television and Digital Media Board

Toronto Investment Board

Toronto Music Industry Advisory Council

Toronto Parking Authority

Toronto Preservation Board

Directory of Agencies and Corp.

This is Exhibit "C" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer





AGREEMENT ON COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION

between the

CITY OF TORONTO

as represented by His Worship the Mayor of the City of Toronto

and the

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

as represented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Preamble

- As provided for in the City of Toronto Act, 2006, it is in the best interests of the Province and the City to work together in a relationship of mutual respect, ongoing consultation and cooperation on matters of mutual interest; and to do so in accordance with an Agreement between the Province and the City.
- 2. The Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement establishes a framework to guide Provincial ministries and City divisions in consultation, strengthening their existing cooperative working relationship.
- 3. Ongoing cooperation and consultation between the governments of Ontario and Toronto should result in the achievement of mutual objectives and in more informed decision-making.
- 4. It is in the best interests of the parties to exchange input on broad policy matters of mutual interest and to identify impacts that could arise from proposed changes in legislation, regulations, resolutions, or bylaws.

Principles

- 5. Ontario and Toronto are best served when the governments of the Province and the City observe the following principles:
 - a. Respect for each other's jurisdiction and authority.
 - b. Co-operation on shared policy matters and/or other matters of mutual interest.
 - c. Shared commitment to consult with one another on matters described in this Agreement.

d. Acknowledgement of each other's interests and, where applopriate, facilitating the other party's input into discussions with other governments.

Scope

Subject to the exclusions listed in section 8, the parties will cooperate in implementing this Agreement consistent with the above principles in the following matters:

- 6. The Province of Ontario will consult with the City on:
 - a. Any proposed change in legislation or regulation that, in Ontario's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the City.
 - b. Broad policy matters where, in the opinion of the Province, the Province and City may have mutual interests.
 - c. Proposed agreements with the government of Canada, or other governments, on matters that, in the Province's opinion, have a direct City impact.
 - d. Initiatives that the Province may be involved in with other governments or with stakeholders, where the Province deems it appropriate.
- 7. The City of Toronto will consult with the Province on:
 - a. Any proposed council resolution or bylaw that, in Toronto's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the Province.
 - b. Broad policy matters where, in the opinion of the City, the Province and City may have mutual interests.
 - c. Proposed agreements with the government of Canada, or other governments, on matters that, in the City's opinion, have a direct provincial impact.
 - d. Initiatives that the City may be involved in with other governments or with stakeholders, where the City deems it appropriate.
- 8. The following matters are excluded from the application of this Agreement:
 - a. Emergency situations.
 - b. Matters subject to public interest immunity and matters in the Provincial budget, budget papers and budget bills.
 - Meetings and negotiations of First Ministers, Council of the Federation, Provincial-Territorial Ministers or Federal-Provincial-Territorial Ministers.
 - d. Meetings and negotiations of municipal organizations and alliances.

Implementation

- 9. Cooperation and Consultation:
 - Building on existing cooperative practices, this Agreement will guide Provincial ministries and City divisions in their interactions with each other.

3

- Depending on the nature of the issue, Provincial ministries and City divisions will determine the most appropriate method of consultation.
- c. Where matters within the scope of this Agreement involve changes to legislation, regulations, resolutions or bylaws, consultation should occur early in the development process.

10. Meetings:

- a. Additional meetings will be held as jointly determined by the parties.
- b. The scheduling of meetings including frequency, location, and timing, and the setting of meeting agendas will be based on mutual agreement of the parties.
- c. Ontario will endeavour to arrange for representatives of ministries other than the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to participate, as necessary.
- d. The City will endeavour to arrange for representatives of divisions other than the City Manager's Office to participate, as necessary.
- 11. The parties will inform Provincial and City officials of their obligations under this Agreement.

Other Provisions

12. Public Communication:

Both parties will be involved in the planning and implementation of communications activities marking the signing of this Agreement.

- 13. Confidentiality:
 - a. Both parties respect each other's organizational procedures and will treat as confidential information acquired or produced through the performance of this Agreement, unless the parties agree that the material is public information.
 - b. Parties agree not to disclose any information referred to in clause (a) without first obtaining the written permission of the other party.
 - c. Nothing in this Agreement affects the application or operation of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act or the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

14. Failure to Comply

Failure of the parties to comply with this Agreement does not affect the validity of any action taken by the parties or give rise to any rights or remedies by the parties.

- 15. Duration and Renewal:
 - a. This Agreement takes effect on the date the Agreement is executed by the parties.
 - b. This Agreement may be amended from time to time in writing with the consent of both parties.

- b. Depending on the nature of the issue, Provincial ministries and City divisions will determine the most appropriate method of consultation.
- c. Where matters within the scope of this Agreement involve changes to legislation, regulations, resolutions or bylaws, consultation should occur early in the development process.

10. Meetings:

- a. Additional meetings will be held as jointly determined by the parties.
- b. The scheduling of meetings including frequency, location, and timing, and the setting of meeting agendas will be based on mutual agreement of the parties.
- c. Ontario will endeavour to arrange for representatives of ministries other than the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to participate. as necessary.
- d. The City will endeavour to arrange for representatives of divisions other than the City Manager's Office to participate, as necessary.
- 11. The parties will inform Provincial and City officials of their obligations under this Agreement.

Other Provisions

Public Communication:

Both parties will be involved in the planning and implementation of communications activities marking the signing of this Agreement.

Confidentiality: 13.

- a. Both parties respect each other's organizational procedures and will treat as confidential information acquired or produced through the performance of this Agreement, unless the parties agree that the material is public information.
- b. Parties agree not to disclose any information referred to in clause (a) without first obtaining the written permission of the other party.
- c. Nothing in this Agreement affects the application or operation of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act or the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

14. Failure to Comply

Failure of the parties to comply with this Agreement does not affect the validity of any action taken by the parties or give rise to any rights or remedies by the parties.

15. Duration and Renewal:

- a. This Agreement takes effect on the date the Agreement is executed by the parties.
- b. This Agreement may be amended from time to time in writing with the consent of both parties.

- c. The term of this Agreement is three years. At the end of the term, the Agreement may be renewed if both parties agree.
- d. A party may terminate this Agreement at any time, without cause, upon 10 days written notice.
- 16. The lead contacts for administering and monitoring this Agreement are:
 - Director of the Intergovernmental Relations and Partnerships Branch of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, as the designate of the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
 - Director of the Strategic and Corporate Policy Division of the City of Toronto, as the designate of the Mayor of the City of Toronto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF to make best efforts to adhere to the terms established in this Agreement, the parties have executed this Agreement.



PROVINCE OF ONTARIO as represented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

The Honourable Jim Watson Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

January 15,2008

Date

THE CITY OF TORONTO as represented by His Worship the Mayor of the City of Toronto

David Miller

Mayor of the City of Toronto

January 15,2008

Date

This is Exhibit "D" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer





AGREEMENT ON COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION

between the

CITY OF TORONTO

as represented by His Worship the Mayor of the City of Toronto

and the

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

as represented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Preamble

- As provided for in the City of Toronto Act, 2006, it is in the best interests of the Province and the City to work together in a relationship of mutual respect, ongoing consultation and cooperation on matters of mutual interest; and to do so in accordance with an Agreement between the Province and the City.
- 2. The Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement establishes a framework to guide Provincial ministries and City divisions in consultation, strengthening their existing cooperative working relationship.
- Ongoing cooperation and consultation between the governments of Ontario and Toronto should result in the achievement of mutual objectives and in more informed decision-making.
- 4. It is in the best interests of the parties to exchange input on broad policy matters of mutual interest and to identify impacts that could arise from proposed changes in legislation, regulations, resolutions, or bylaws.

Principles

- Ontario and Toronto are best served when the governments of the Province and the City observe the following principles:
 - a. Respect for each other's jurisdiction and authority.
 - b. Co-operation on shared policy matters and/or other matters of mutual interest.
 - c. Shared commitment to consult with one another on matters described in this Agreement.

 d. Acknowledgement of each other's interests and, where appropriate, facilitating the other party's input into discussions with other governments.

Scope

Subject to the exclusions listed in section 8, the parties will cooperate in implementing this Agreement consistent with the above principles in the following matters:

- 6. The Province of Ontario will consult with the City on:
 - a. Any proposed change in legislation or regulation that, in Ontario's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the City.
 - Broad policy matters where, in the opinion of the Province, the
 Province and City may have mutual interests.
 - Proposed agreements with the government of Canada, or other governments, on matters that, in the Province's opinion, have a direct City impact.
 - d. Initiatives that the Province may be involved in with other governments or with stakeholders, where the Province deems it appropriate.
- 7. The City of Toronto will consult with the Province on:
 - a. Any proposed council resolution or bylaw that, in Toronto's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the Province.
 - b. Broad policy matters where, in the opinion of the City, the Province and City may have mutual interests.
 - Proposed agreements with the government of Canada, or other governments, on matters that, in the City's opinion, have a direct provincial impact.
 - d. Initiatives that the City may be involved in with other governments or with stakeholders, where the City deems it appropriate.
- 8. The following matters are excluded from the application of this Agreement:
 - a. Emergency situations.
 - b. Matters subject to public interest immunity and matters in the Provincial budget, budget papers and budget bills.
 - Meetings and negotiations of First Ministers, Council of the Federation, Provincial-Territorial Ministers or Federal-Provincial-Territorial Ministers.
 - d. Meetings and negotiations of municipal organizations and alliances.

Implementation

- 9. Cooperation and Consultation:
 - a. Building on existing cooperative practices, this Agreement will guide Provincial ministries and City divisions in their interactions with each other

- Depending on the nature of the issue, Provincial ministries and City divisions will determine the most appropriate method of consultation.
- c. Where matters within the scope of this Agreement involve changes to legislation, regulation, resolution or bylaw, consultation should occur early in the development process.

10. Meetings:

- a. Additional meetings will be held as jointly determined by the parties.
- The scheduling of meetings including frequency, location, and timing, and the setting of meeting agendas will be based on mutual agreement of the parties.
- c. Ontario will endeavour to arrange for representatives of ministries

 other than the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to participate,
 as necessary.
- The City will endeavour to arrange for representatives of divisions other than the City Manager's Office to participate, as necessary.
- 11. The parties will inform Provincial and City officials of their obligations under this Agreement.

Other Provisions

12. Public Communication:

Both parties will be involved in the planning and implementation of communications activities marking the signing of this Agreement.

13. Confidentiality:

- a. Both parties respect each other's organizational procedures and will treat as confidential information acquired or produced through the performance of this Agreement, unless the parties agree that the material is public information.
- b. Parties agree not to disclose any information referred to in clause (a) without first obtaining the written permission of the other party.
- c. Nothing in this Agreement affects the application or operation of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act or the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

14. Failure to Comply

Failure of the parties to comply with this Agreement does not affect the validity of any action taken by the parties or give rise to any rights or remedies by the parties.

15. Duration and Renewal:

- This Agreement takes effect on the date the Agreement is executed by the parties.
- b. This Agreement may be amended from time to time in writing with the consent of both parties.

- c. The term of this Agreement is three years. At the end of the term, the Agreement may be renewed if both parties agree.
- d. A party may terminate this Agreement at any time, without cause, upon 10 days written notice.
- 16. The lead contacts for administering and monitoring this Agreement are:
 - Director of the Intergovernmental Relations and Partnerships Branch of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, as the designate of the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
 - Director of the Strategic and Corporate Policy Division of the City of Toronto, as the designate of the Mayor of the City of Toronto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF to make best efforts to adhere to the terms established in this Agreement, the parties have executed this Agreement.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO as represented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

The Horiourable Rick Bartolucci Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Date

THE CITY OF TORONTO as represented by His Worship the Mayor of the City of Toronto

Rob Ford

Mayor of the City of Toronto

This is Exhibit "E" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer





AGREEMENT ON COOPERATION AND CONSULTATION

between the

CITY OF TORONTO

as represented by His Worship the Mayor of the City of Toronto and the

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

as represented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Preamble

- As provided for in the City of Toronto Act, 2006, it is in the best interests of the Province and the City to work together in a relationship of mutual respect, ongoing consultation and cooperation on matters of mutual interest; and to do so in accordance with an Agreement between the Province and the City.
- The Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement establishes a framework to guide the Province and the City in consultation, strengthening their existing cooperative working relationship.
- Ongoing cooperation and consultation between the governments of Ontario and Toronto should result in the achievement of mutual objectives and in more informed decision-making.
- 4. It is in the best interests of the parties to exchange input on broad policy matters of mutual interest and to identify impacts that could arise from proposed changes in legislation, regulations, resolutions or bylaws.

Principles

- 5. Ontario and Toronto are best served when the governments of the Province and the City observe the following principles:
 - a. Respect for each other's jurisdiction and authority.
 - Cooperation on shared policy matters and/or other matters of mutual interest.
 - Shared commitment to consult with one another on matters described in this Agreement.

d. Acknowledgement of each other's interests and, where appropriate, facilitating the other party's input into discussions with other governments.

Scope

Subject to the exclusions listed in section 8, the parties will cooperate in implementing this Agreement consistent with the above principles in the following matters:

- 6. The Province of Ontario will consult with the City of Toronto on:
 - Any proposed change in legislation or regulation that, in Ontario's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the City.
 - Broad policy matters where, in the opinion of the Province, the Province and the City may have mutual interests.
 - Proposed agreements with the government of Canada, or other governments, on matters that, in the Province's opinion, have a direct City impact.
 - d. Initiatives that the Province may be involved in with other governments or with stakeholders, where the Province deems it appropriate.
- 7. The City of Toronto will consult with the Province of Ontario on:
 - Any proposed council resolution or bylaw that, in the City's opinion, will have a significant financial or policy impact on the Province.
 - Broad policy matters where, in the opinion of the City, the Province and the City may have mutual interests.
 - c. Proposed agreements with the government of Canada, or other governments, on matters that, in the City's opinion, have a direct provincial impact.
 - d. Initiatives that the City may be involved in with other governments or with stakeholders, where the City deems it appropriate.
- 8. The following matters are excluded from the application of this Agreement:
 - a. Emergency situations.
 - Matters subject to public interest immunity and matters in the Provincial Budget, budget papers and budget bills.
 - Meetings and negotiations of First Ministers, Council of the Federation, Provincial-Territorial Ministers or Federal-Provincial-Territorial Ministers.
 - d. Meetings and negotiations of municipal organizations and alliances.

Implementation

- Cooperation and Consultation:
 - a. Building on existing cooperative practices, this Agreement will guide the Province and the City in their interactions with each other.

- b. Depending on the nature of the issue, the Province and the City will determine the most appropriate method of consultation.
- c. Where matters within the scope of this Agreement involve changes to legislation, regulation, resolution or bylaw, consultation should occur early in the development process.

10. Meetings:

- a. Regular meetings will be held as jointly determined by the parties.
- The scheduling of meetings including frequency, location and timing, and the setting of meeting agendas will be based on mutual agreement of the parties.
- Ontario will endeavor to arrange for provincial representatives to participate, as necessary.
- The City will endeavor to arrange for City representatives to participate, as necessary.
- The parties will inform Provincial and City officials of their obligations under this Agreement.

Other Provisions

12. Public Communication:

Both parties will be involved in the planning and implementation of communications activities marking the signing of this Agreement.

13. Confidentiality:

- a. Both parties respect each other's organizational procedures and will treat as confidential information acquired or produced through the performance of this Agreement, unless the parties agree that the material is public information.
- b. Parties agree not to disclose any information referred to in clause (a) without first obtaining the written permission of the other party.
- c. Nothing in this Agreement affects the application or operation of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act or the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

14. Failure to Comply

Failure of the parties to comply with this Agreement does not affect the validity of any action taken by the parties or give rise to any rights or remedies by the parties.

15. Duration and Renewal:

- This Agreement takes effect on the date the Agreement is executed by the parties.
- This Agreement may be amended from time to time in writing with the consent of both parties.
- c. The term of this Agreement is three years. At the end of the term, the Agreement may be renewed if both parties agree.
- d. A party may terminate this Agreement at any time, without cause, upon 10 days' written notice.

- 16. The lead contacts for administering and monitoring this Agreement are:
 - Director of the Intergovernmental Relations and Partnerships Branch of the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, as the designate of the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing; and
 - Executive Director of the Strategic and Corporate Policy Division of the City of Toronto, as the designate of the Mayor of the City of Toronto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF to make best efforts to adhere to the terms established in this Agreement, the parties have executed this Agreement.

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO as represented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

The Honourable Ted McMeekin Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

May 12, 2016

THE CITY OF TORONTO as represented by His Worship the Mayor of the City of Toronto

John/Tory

Mayor of the City of Toronto

May 12, 2016

Date

This is Exhibit "F" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A domissioner, etc. Diana Dimmer

331



Become a Candidate

Update on the 2018 Municipal Elections

On July 30, 2018, the Province of Ontario introduced Bill 5, the Better Local Government Act, 2018. If the Bill is adopted it would reduce the number of Toronto City wards from 47 to 25 with the boundaries aligning with current federal and provincial electoral ridings. These changes would be in place for the October 22, 2018 municipal election.

The Clerk will provide notice to candidates, electors and the public as it becomes available. Please continue to check the Election Services (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/elections/) website regularly for updated information, and visit the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing to obtain additional information for the 2018 municipal election.

This page will be updated as changes become available.

2018 Nomination Information

Nominations are open from Tuesday, May 1, 2018 to Friday, July 27, 2018 at 2 p.m. and can be filed at Election Services, 100 Queen Street West, 1st floor north.

To run for the office of mayor, councillor or school board trustee for the 2018 municipal election, you must file a nomination paper.

- Until you file a nomination paper, you cannot raise or spend any money on your campaign.
- Mayor and councillor candidates must provide, along with their nomination paper, at least 25 declaration of endorsements from eligible electors.
- The nomination paper and endorsement of nomination forms must have original signatures.

Forms

- Déclaration de candidature / Nomination form French
- Endorsement of Nomination Form 2 📴

| + Expand All | ○ Collapse | All |
|--------------|------------|-----|
|--------------|------------|-----|

Candidates In Default

Below is a list of candidates who are in default of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 because they did not file their financial statement or exceeded the expense limit in the 2014 municipal election or subsequent by-elections.

The Municipal Elections Act, 1996 states those who are in default cannot run until after the next general election has taken place.

| Last Name | First Name | Office | Ward | Election or By-election |
|---------------|-------------|------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Aires | Tony | TDSB | 10 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Alves | Paul | Councillor | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Andrews | Don | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Avila | Miguel | Councillor | 28 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Beckett | Bobby | Councillor | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Borden . | Mark | Councillor | 30 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Borenstein | Josh | Councillor | 40 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Boucher | Princess | TDSB | 1 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |
| Brar | Harp | Councillor | 7 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Brookman | Jim | Councillor | 32 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Brown | Chloe-Marie | Councillor | 2 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |
| Browne | Murphy | TDSB | 14 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Bueno-Bradley | Edmund | Councillor | 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Burgess | Frank | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Byford | David | Councillor | 27 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Calla | Aldo | TCDSB | 7 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Caltsoudas | Dino | Councillor | 1 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Campbell | Blair | Councillor | 28 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Capar | Stanislaw | Councillor | 6 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Chhabra | Amarjeet | Councillor | 44 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Chin | Dwayne | Councillor | 42 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Cidade | Mark | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Colterman | Jason | Councillor | 43 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Conacher | Sean | Councillor | 16 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Corbett | Jeff | Councillor | 1 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Dennis | Greg | TDSB | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |

| _ast Name | First Name | Office | Ward | Election or By-election |
|-------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Dusome | Leeann | TDSB | 14 | By-election (June 20, 2016) |
| Fasasi | Akeem · | Councillor | 20 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Fenkam | Pascal | CSV | . 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Foaleng | Jean- Baptiste | CSV | 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Galbete | Dahir | TDSB | 1 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Galea | Michael | Councillor | 24 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Giannini | Cozette | Councillor | 39 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Glaister | Jonathan | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Gordon | Michael | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Gore | Al | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Gunanayagam | Mario | CSDCCS | 4 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Han | Austin | TDSB | 21 | By-election (January 25, 2016) |
| Henick | Mark | TDSB | 11 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Holloway | Kate | Councillor | 20 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Hunt | Jolene | Councillor | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Huynh | Chinh | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Johannes | Robb | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Kalafatis | Theo | Councillor | 38 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Karzman | Dina | Councillor | 33 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Kazman | Stephen | TDSB | 5 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Khan | Sarfraz | Councillor | 22 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Kim | Daniel | TCDSB | 6 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Kodanipork | Andi | Councillor | 43 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Kwok | Kingsley | Councillor | 42 | By-election (February 13, 2017) |
| Lawrance | Robin | Councillor | 27 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Le | Long | TDSB | 5 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |
| Lee | Dewitt | Mayor | nganggangangang angganggan kabupatèn | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Lisciandro | Luigi | Councillor | 37 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Lupallier | Diego | TCDSB | 4 | 2014 Municipal Election |

| Last Name | First Name | Office | Ward | Election or By-election |
|----------------|------------|------------|------|---------------------------------|
| Mac Donald | Chris | Councillor | 7 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Mahmood · | Khaliq | Councillor | 1 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Martyn · | Hank | Councillor | 29 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| McKinlay | Elizabeth | TDSB | 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Metter | Elana | Councillor | 16 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Mohamud | Dodd | Councillor | 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Mohebzada | Masihullah | Councillor | 36 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Mosca | Giancarlo | TDSB | 4 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Narula | Ram | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Nguyen | Andy | TDSB | 21 | By-election (January 25, 2016) |
| Ongwen | Oweka-Arac | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Owen | Douglas | Councillor | 34 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Pannerselvan | Shopana | TDSB | 20 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Papadakis | John | Councillor | 29 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Papathanasakis | Spiros | TDSB | 4 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Paterson | Gary | Councillor | 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Pavao | Dennis | Councillor | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Piñeros | Gonzalo | TDSB | 10 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Power | Derek | Councillor | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Prashar | Sohum | Councillor | 42 | By-election (February 13, 2017) |
| Proctor | Marc | Councillor | 44 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Rama | Raj | Councillor | 28 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Reid | Justin | Councillor | 38 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Reynolds | Gord | TDSB | 5 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |
| Rivers | Janet | Councillor | 39 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Robertson | Joy | Councillor | 36 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Rodrigues | Robert | Councillor | 18 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Russell | Francis | Councillor | 30 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sajnani | Ashok | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sampson | Bernice | TDSB | 1 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |

| Last Name | First Name | Office | Ward | Election or By-election |
|---------------|------------|------------|------|---------------------------------|
| Sarojkumaran | Krishanthy | TDSB | 21 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sekkak | Mohamed | CSV | 2 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Shaikh | Saima | Councillor | 35 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Shanmugarajah | Vino . | Councillor | 42 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Shannon | Jamie | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sharma | Rutul | TDSB | 14 | By-election (June 20, 2016) |
| Sheppard | Everett | Councillor | 6 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sherbanowski | Janet | Councillor | 31 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sidiq | Aysha | Councillor | 38 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sivagnanam | Siva | Councillor | 42 | By-election (February 13, 2017) |
| Sivalingam | Eli | TDSB | 1 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Slobodian | Adam | Councillor | 4 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Smith | Bob | Councillor | 31 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Smitherman | Arthur | Councillor | 42 | By-election (February 13, 2017) |
| Sondhi | Ashley | Councillor | 44 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Sotiropoulos | Sam | TDSB | 20 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Spas | Bohdan | Councillor | 13 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Street | Ken | Councillor | 2 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |
| Syed | Sahl | TDSB | 21 | By-election (January 25, 2016) |
| Sysak | Robert | Councillor | 6 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Tassone | Ralph | TCDSB | 10 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Thomas | David | Councillor | 38 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Trayes | Daniel | Councillor | 30 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Uddin | Shahid | Councillor | 35 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Van Zandwijk | James | Councillor | 15 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Vipulanandan | w | Councillor | 44 | 2014 Municipal Election |
| Whyte | Althea | TDSB | 1 | By-election (July 25, 2016) |
| Wong | Matthew | Mayor | | 2014 Municipal Election |

Qualifications for Mayor or Councillor

336

To run for mayor or councillor, on the day the nomination paper is filed, a person must be:

- a Canadian citizen
- at least 18 years of age
- · a resident of the City of Toronto, or
- · an owner or tenant of land in the City of Toronto, or the spouse of the owner or tenant
- not legally prohibited from voting
- · not disqualified by any legislation from holding municipal office

Who cannot be a council candidate

The following people are disqualified from being elected as a member of council, or from holding office as a member of council:

- a judge of any court
- a member of the Provincial Legislature, the Federal House of Commons or Senate who
 has not resigned from their office by the close of nominations. Proof of resignation must
 be provided by the close of nominations or the Clerk will not certify the nomination
- a candidate who failed to file the necessary financial statement in the last municipal election or by-election

City of Toronto employees

- Employees of the City of Toronto who wish to run for mayor or councillor must take an unpaid leave of absence before filing a nomination paper.
- The employee must provide a copy of the documentation showing that they have taken the leave of absence and the effective date.

Qualifications of a School Board Trustee Candidate

To run for any of the four school boards on the day the Nomination Paper is filed, a person must be:

- a Canadian citizen
- at least 18 years of age
- a resident in the area of jurisdiction* of the board
- not legally prohibited from voting
- not disqualified by any legislation from holding municipal office

Who cannot be a school board trustee candidate

The following people are disqualified from being elected as a school board trustee, or from holding office on any school board:

- a clerk, treasurer, deputy-clerk or deputy-treasurer of any municipality within the area of jurisdiction of the school board except those on an unpaid leave of absence
- a member of the Provincial Legislature, the Federal House of Commons or Senate who has not resigned from their office by the close of nominations. Proof of resignation must be provided by the close of nominations or the Clerk will not certify the nomination
- a candidate who failed to file the necessary financial statement in the last municipal election or by-election

School board employees

- Employees of any school board (including supply teachers) are disqualified from being elected as school board trustee or from holding office on any school board, except during a leave of absence.
- The employee must be on a leave of absence before filing their nomination paper.
- They must provide the Clerk with documentation showing that they have taken the leave of absence and the effective date.

Types of school boards

- Toronto District School Board [™]
- Toronto Catholic District School Board [™]
- Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir [™]

*Areas of jurisdiction

If you wish to run for school board trustee, you must live in the jurisdiction. For the Toronto District School Board and the Toronto Catholic District School Board the area of jurisdiction is the City of Toronto boundaries.

Conseil scolaire Viamonde and Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir school boundaries expand beyond the City of Toronto boundaries. Even though the boundaries go beyond the City of Toronto, if you wish to run in the Toronto wards, you must file your nomination paper with the City of Toronto.

2018 Municipal Election School Board District Wards Quick Reference Chart

Acceptable Identification for Candidates

Candidates filing a nomination must present acceptable identification showing **name**, **qualifying Toronto address** and **signature**. This can be one piece of ID or a combination of two pieces of ID.

One piece of ID showing name, qualifying Toronto address and signature:

- Ontario driver's licence
- Ontario Health Card (photo card with address)
- Ontario motor vehicle permit (plate portion)
- · Cancelled personalized cheque
- Mortgage, lease or rental agreement
- Insurance policy
- Loan or financial agreement with a financial institution
- Document issued or certified by a court in Ontario
- Any other document from the government of Canada, Ontario or a municipality in Ontario or from an agency of such a government
- Any document from a Band Council in Ontario established under the Indian Act (Canada)

OR

Two pieces of ID:

First piece of ID showing name and signature:

- Ontario driver's licence
- Ontario Health Card
- Ontario motor vehicle permit (plate portion)
- · Canadian passport
 - · Certificate of Canadian Citizenship
 - Certificate of Indian Status
 - · Veterans Affairs Canada Health Card
 - Social Insurance Number Card
 - · Old Age Security Card
 - Credit card
 - Debit card
 - · Employee Identification card
 - Student Identification card issued by a post-secondary institution
 - Union Identification card or professional licence card
 - · Cancelled personalized cheque
 - Mortgage, lease or rental agreement for property in Ontario
 - Insurance policy
 - Document issued or certified by a court in Ontario
 - Any other document issued by the government of Canada, Ontario or a municipality in Ontario or from an agency of such a government
 - Any document from a Band Council in Ontario established under the *Indian Act* (Canada)

Second piece of ID showing name and qualifying Toronto address:

- Ontario motor vehicle permit (vehicle portion)
- Income tax assessment notice
- Child Tax Benefit Statement
- Statement of Employment Insurance Benefits Paid T4E
- Statement of Old Age Security T4A (OAS)
- Statement of Canada Pension Plan Benefits T4A (P)
- Canada Pension Plan Statement of Contributions
- · Statement of Direct Deposit for Ontario Works
- Statement of Direct Deposit for Ontario Disability Support Program
- Workplace Safety and Insurance Board Statement of Benefits T5007
- Property tax assessment
- Insurance statement
- Mortgage, lease or rental statement for property in Ontario
- Credit card, bank account, RRSP, RRIF, RHOSP or T5 statement
- CNIB Card or a card from another registered charitable organization which provides services to persons with disabilities
- · Hospital card or record
- Document showing campus residence issued by the office or officials responsible for student residence at a post-secondary institution
- Utility bill for hydro, water, gas, telephone or cable TV or a bill from a public utilities commission
- Cheque stub, T4 or pay receipt issued by an employer
- Transcript or report card from a post-secondary school
- Document issued or certified by a court in Ontario
- Any other document issued by the government of Canada, Ontario or a municipality in Ontario or from an agency of such a government
- Any document from a Band Council in Ontario established under the *Indian Act* (Canada)

Filing a Nomination

Nominations must be filed in person at Election Services, 100 Queen Street West, 1st floor north as original signatures are required. To file a nomination, you must provide:

- Nomination Paper
- at least 25 declaration of endorsements (mayor and councillor candidates only)
- current acceptable identification
- nomination filing fee (paid by cash, credit or debit card, certified cheque or money order, payable to 'Treasurer, City of Toronto')
 - The filing fee is \$200 for candidates running for Mayor
 - o The filing fee is \$100 for candidates running for Councillor or School Board Trustee
 - Nomination fees are refunded to candidates once they file the required financial statement

If an agent is filing a nomination on your behalf, the agent must provide the above documents as well as their own identification.

Withdrawing a Nomination

If you decide you no longer wish to run in the 2018 municipal election, you must:

- file the Withdrawal of Nomination form in person
- provide identification
- file a financial statement by the deadline date

The last day to file a withdrawal is Friday, July 27, 2018 between 9 a.m. and 2 p.m. at Election Services, 100 Queen Street West, 1st floor north.

Changing Office

If, after filing a nomination for an office on Council or any of the school boards, you wish to run for a different office, you must:

- file the Withdrawal of Nomination for the old office
- at the same time, file a Nomination Paper for the new office*
- pay the nomination filing fee for the new office

*For mayor or councillor candidates, you are not required to submit additional declaration of endorsements – the ones you submitted for the first office is transferred to the second office.

Campaign finances

- The two offices (campaigns) are separate and contributions and expenses cannot be transferred from one to the other.
- If you plan to incur expenses or accept contributions, you must open a separate bank account for each campaign.
- A contributor's total contribution cannot exceed \$1,200 for both campaigns for councillor or school board trustee candidates or \$2,500 for mayoralty candidates.

Financial statement

You will be required to file a financial statement for each campaign.

- The withdrawn campaign will show all financial activity from the day the nomination paper is filed until the day the withdrawal is filed.
- The current campaign will show all financial activity from the day the nomination paper is filed until the end of the campaign period.

Roles of Elected Officials

City Council

- City Council Handbook:
 - o Volume 1 📴
 - o Volume 2 📴
 - o Volume 3 📴

School boards

- Duties of the board [™]
- Conduct of members of the board [☑]

Preliminary Expense Limits

2018 Municipal Election Preliminary Expense Limits

| Election Description | Office Description | Ward Number | Number of Electors | Max Expense Limit (\$) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| 2018 Municipal Election` | Mayor | 0 | 1,602,595 | \$1,369,705.75 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 1 | 30,064 | \$30,554.40 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 2 | 33,587 | \$33,548.95 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 3 | 43,106 | \$41,640.10 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 4 | 44,605 | \$42,914.25 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 5 | 31,552 | \$31,819.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 6 | 41,687 | \$40,433.95 |
| | | | | |

| 8/10/2018 |
|-----------|
|-----------|

| :018 | | Decome a C | Andrew Ony or recent | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 7 | 26,785 | \$27,767.25 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 8 | 26,539 | \$27,558.15 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 9 | 28,272 | \$29,031.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 10 | 36,820 | \$36,297.00 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 11 | 36,555 | \$36,071.75 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 12 | 30,111 | \$30,594.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 13 | 33,188 | \$33,209.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 14 | 36,761 | \$36,246.85 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 15 | 41,159 | \$39,985.15 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 16 | 40,458 | \$39,389.30 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 17 | 43,057 | \$41,598.45 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 18 | 44,311 | \$42,664.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 19 | 42,784 | \$41,366.40 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 20 | 16,975 | \$19,428.75 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 21 | 15,632 | \$18,287.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 22 | 23,812 | \$25,240.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 23 | 25,838 | \$26,962.30 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 24 | 26,190 | \$27,261.50 |
| | | | | • |

| Become a | Candidate - Clty | of | Toronto |
|----------|------------------|----|---------|
|----------|------------------|----|---------|

| 2018 Municipal Election | . Councillor | 25 | 24,410 | \$25,748.50 |
|----------------------------|--------------|------|--------|-----------------|
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 26 | 35,966 | \$35,571.10 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 27 | 37,711 | \$37,054.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 28 | 28,308 | \$29,061.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 29 | 29,768 | \$30,302.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 30 | 28,680 | \$29,378.00 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 31 | 31,243 | \$31,556.55 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 32 | 40,714 | \$39,606.90 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 33 | 27,351 | \$28,248.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 34 | 39,326 | \$38,427.10 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 35 | 39,608 | \$38,666.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 36 | 37,409 | \$36,797.65 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 37 | 34,209 | \$34,077.65 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 38 | 41,345 | \$40,143.25 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 39 | 34,352 | \$34,199.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 40 . | 39,250 | \$38,362.50 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | . 41 | 38,587 | \$37,798.95 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 42 | 34,566 | \$34,381.10 |

| Become | а | Candidate - | City | of | Toron | ta |
|--------|---|-------------|------|----|-------|----|
|--------|---|-------------|------|----|-------|----|

| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 43 | 42,303 | \$40,957.55 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------|--------|-------------|
| 2018, Municipal Election | Councillor · | 44 | 37,088 | \$36,524.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 45 | 35,540 | \$35,209.00 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 46 . | 30,812 | \$31,190.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Councillor | 47 | 34,201 | \$34,070.85 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 1 | 45,441 | \$43,624.85 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 2 | 59,528 | \$55,598.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 3 | 54,012 | \$50,910.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 4 | 34,587 | \$34,398.95 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 5 | 46,258 | \$44,319.30 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 6 | 42,982 | \$41,534.70 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 7 | 69,339 | \$63,938.15 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 8 | 56,296 | \$52,851.60 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 9 . | 56,604 | \$53,113.40 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 10 | 61,815 | \$57,542.75 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 11 | 66,719 | \$61,711.15 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 12 | 75,231 | \$68,946.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 13 | 58,702 | \$54,896.70 |

| Become a | Candidate - | City of | Toronto |
|----------|-------------|---------|---------|
|----------|-------------|---------|---------|

| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 14 | 59,361 | \$55,456.85 |
|----------------------------|--|-----|--------|-------------|
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 15 | 68,288 | \$63,044.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 16 | 63,352 | \$58,849.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 17 | 60,311 | \$56,264.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 18 | 61,511 | \$57,284.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 19 | 61,857 | \$57,578.45 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 20 | 63,503 | \$58,977.55 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 21 | 57,028 | \$53,473.80 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto District School Board | 22 | 50,484 | \$47,911.40 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 1 . | 32,497 | \$32,622.45 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 2 | 31,575 | \$31,838.75 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 3 | 24,378 | \$25,721.30 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 4 | 25,752 | \$26,889.20 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 5 | 23,776 | \$25,209.60 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 6 | 27,296 | \$28,201.60 |

| 2018 | | Become a Candidate - | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------------|--------|-------------|
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 7 | 29,357 | \$29,953.45 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 8 | 23,591 | \$25,052.35 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 9 | 28,758 | \$29,444.30 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 10 | 16,936 | \$19,395.60 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 11 · · | 25,335 | \$26,534.75 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Toronto Catholic District School Board | 12 | 27,019 | \$27,966.15 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Conseil scolaire Viamonde | 2 | 2,826 | \$7,402.10 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Conseil scolaire Viamonde | 3 | 2,221 | \$6,887.85 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Conseil scolaire Viamonde | 4 | 2,129 | \$6,809.65 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir | 3 | 3,033 | \$7,578.05 |
| 2018 Municipal Election | Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir | 4 | 2,907 | \$7,470.95 |
| | | | • | |

Contact Information

Election Services

100 Queen St. W., 1st Floor Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

89 Northline Rd.

8/10/2018

Toronto, ON M4B 3G1

Monday to Friday (except holidays)

8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. Telephone: 416-338-1111

Fax: 416-395-1300

Email: elections@toronto.ca (mailto:elections@toronto.ca)

f /ElectionServices [☑]



Related Information

MyCampaign (https://secure.toronto.ca/mycampaign/loginLocal.do)

2016-2018 Candidates' Guide 2 de de 2016-2018 Candidates de Candidates de 2016-2018 Candidates de 201

List of Candidates (http://app.toronto.ca/vote/campaign.do)

Election Signs (https://www.toronto.ca/city-government/public-notices-bylaws/bylaw-enforcement/election-signs/)

Municipal Elections Act ☑

Education Act [™]

City of Toronto Act [™]

This is Exhibit "G" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer





Election Services

List of All Candidates

View the candidates running in the 2018 Municipal Election.

Please choose one of the following offices to view candidates.

| Mayor |
|--|
| |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → |
| Basaric, Dobrosav 26 Jul 2018 |
| Brosky, Chris 27 Jul 2018 |
| Buckingham, Drew 27 Jul 2018 27 Jul 2018 |
| Buffey, Brian 27 Jul 2018 |
| Choy, Logan 27 Jul 2018 1.1. J |
| Christoff, Daryl 27 Jul 2018 |
| Clarke, Kevin 08 May 2018 |
| Climenhaga, Sarah 01 May 2018 sarah@sarahformayor.to www.sarahformayor.to |
| Gallay, Mike 01 May 2018 mayor@mikegallay.com 416-551-7755 instagram.com/mikemoreyears |
| Gebresellassi, Saron 01 May 2018 |
| Goldy, Faith 27 Jul 2018 27 Jul 2018 |
| Graff, Brian 01 May 2018 btgraff@gmail.com www.briangraff.com |
| Haque, Tofazzel 07 May 2018 1 3 |
| Hossain, Monowar 18 Jul 2018 |
| Humphrey, Christopher 13 Jul 2018 |
| Kalevar, Chai 26 Jul 2018 1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 |
| Kardys, Andrzej 27 Jul 2018 1-1-1 |
| Keesmaat, Jennifer 27 Jul 2018 |
| Lam, Steven 05 Jun 2018 |
| Langenfeld, Kris 29 Jun 2018 Kris@MayorKris.ca www.MayorKris.ca |
| McMillan, Jim 16 Jul 2018 |
| Nath, Gautam 08 Jun 2018 gn4mayor@gmail.com 416-459-7578 |
| Nicula, Michael 26 Jul 2018 |
| O'Neill, Thomas 12 Jul 2018 1.1 |

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|---|---|----------|
| Osuji, Joseph 20 Jul 2018 1 1 1 | | |
| Pampena, Joseph 11 Jun 2018 jp@jpforpr.com 416-638-4995 www.jpformayor.com | | |
| Rachlis, Josh 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Renée, DIONNE 27 Jul 2018 mycity@torontomail.com www.youtube.com/channel/UC9XNbVnMjlMgwa | Pgk3Z0zGw/videos | |
| Ruel, Jim 10 Jul 2018 jimruelformayor@gmail.com | | |
| Sears, James 01 May 2018 Editor@YourWardNews.com 416-488-6142 www.VoteSears.com | | |
| Singh, Knia 21 Jun 2018 info@kniasingh2018.ca | | |
| Tory, John 01 May 2018 Info@johntory2018.ca www.johntory.ca | | • |
| Vardy, Jakob 06 Jul 2018 mcr tml rko@hotmail.com | | |
| Vintila, lon Gelu 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Weenen, Jack 03 Jul 2018 Jackweenen@gmail.com 647-461-3535 | | |
| | | |
| Councillor | | |
| Councillor Ward 1 | Jump to: | V |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website Nomination Date Nomin | | |
| Crisanti, Vincent 01 May 2018 | | |
| D'Gama, Peter 20 Jul 2018 peter.dgama@gmail.com | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Farah, Naiima 27 Jul 2018 1 1 | | |
| Noor, Christopher 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Patel, Shirish 01 May 2018 | | |
| Patri, Gurinder 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 2 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Ford, Michael 04 Jul 2018 | | |
| Garcla, Michelle 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Royer, Carol 27 Jul 2018 info@carolroyer.ca 547-955-0262 www.carolroyer.ca | *************************************** | |
| Councillor Ward 3 | Jump to: | ¥ |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Holyday, Stephen 04 Jun 2018 | | |
| Kelly, Erica 16 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 4 | Jump to: | . 4 |

| 2018 Elections - City of Toronto | | |
|--|----------|---|
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | | |
| Boersma, Bill 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Campbell, John 04 May 2018 jf.campbell@bell.net 416-526-1722 | | |
| Carnevale, Angelo 13 Jun 2018 carnevale4councillor@gmail.com 647-881-9335 www.votecarnevale. | com | |
| Gikas, George 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 5 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | · | |
| Buchanan, Paul 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Burlakova, Svitlana 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Campbell, Mary 27 Jul 2018 ElectMary@bell.net | | |
| Gough, Pamela 01 May 2018 | | |
| Nigro, Patrizia 31 May 2018 | | |
| Palmer, Neil 27 Jul 2018 neilpalmerward5@gmail.com | | |
| Shaw, Michael 24 Jul 2018 | · | |
| | | |
| Councillor Ward 6 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | | |
| Davis, lain 27 Jul 2018 davis4toronto@gmail.com 647-964-4243 www.davis4toronto.com | | |
| Graham, Melissa 23 Jul 2018 melissa@melissagraham.ca | | |
| Grimes, Mark 25 Jul 2018 1 3 . | | |
| Loomans, Michael 05 Jun 2018 mtloomans@gmail.com 437-835-3051 voteloomans2018.com | | |
| Morley, Amber 10 May 2018 contact@morewithmorley.com www.morewithmorley.com | | |
| Moulder, Peggy 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 7 | Jump to: | V |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Di Nizio, Nick 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Ford, Tiffany 07 May 2018 www.tiffanyford2018.ca | | |
| Henry-Mathieu, Keegan 07 May 2018 | | , |
| Mammoliti, Giorgio 01 May 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 8 | Jump to: | · • |
| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email ⇒ Campaign Office Phone ⇒ Website ⇒ | | |
| Charles, Kristy-Ann 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Coombs, Amanda 04 Jul 2018 | | |
| Keshinro, Adeleke 18 Jul 2018 13 | | |

| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
|---|--|----------|
| La Rose, Winston 17 Jul 2018 L. L. | nya - yanganga asanta yang kalabahan dalah katalan dalah kalabahan dalah kalabahan kalabahan kalabahan kalabah | |
| Li Preti, Ida 26 Jul 2018 L L L L | ne de la company dell'archimentales à processessimolessimo | |
| Narain, Suzanne 27 Jul 2018 1. | • | |
| Perruzza, Anthony 05 Jul 2018 | | <u> </u> |
| Sgro, Deanna 05 Jul 2018 1 1 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Councillor Ward 9 | Jump to: | T |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Augimeri, Maria 01 May 2018 | | |
| Hargoe, Moby 17 Jul 2018 - 1 - 1 - 1 | | |
| Russo, Louise 20 Jul 2018 | | |
| Spain, Katherine 27 Jul 2018 | nangantantin a san yer per lay ing big-naga-tpageng-t-opiti natinamb | |
| Councillor Ward 10 | Jump to: | ▼ |
| Name→ Nomination Date | | |
| Cohen, Cherie 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Covi, Marco 29 Jun 2018 . | | |
| Manji, Shafiq 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Pasternak, James 15 May 2018 | | |
| Zaretsky, Edward 23 Jul 2018 11 | | |
| Councillor Ward 11 | Jump to: | • |
| Name→ Nomination Date | | |
| Austin, Keaton 26 Jul 2018 3 1 | | |
| Carapinha, Joey 01 May 2018 | | |
| Gulri, Harpreet 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| Nunziata, Frances 04 Jun 2018 | <u> </u> | |
| Ogilvie, Cedric 24 Jul 2018 | | |
| Padovani, Chiara 01 May 2018 chiara@chiarapadovani.ca www.chiarapadovani.ca | | |
| Portillo, Luis 06 Jun 2018 luisportillo44@icloud.com | | |
| Councillor Ward 12 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | gar game | |
| Barre, Deega 16 May 2018 | | |
| Bottoni, Patrizia 19 Jul 2018 bottoni1@hotmall.com 647-338-6729 | | |
| Di Giorgio, Frank 04 May 2018 digiorgiocampaign@gmail.com 647-539-8711 | | |
| SALL AND | | |

| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
|--|------------|----------|
| Fosu, Fred 27 Jul 2018 fredfosu@hotmall.com | | |
| Olawoye, Lekan 01 May 2018 Lekan@lekan.ca www.Lekan.ca | | |
| Lecture property and the second secon | | |
| Councillor Ward 13 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Achampong, Rocco 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Austin, Renatta 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Bomshteyn, Oleksandr 01 May 2018 campalgn@bomshteyn.ca 647-479-8648 www.bomshteyn.ca | | |
| Carson, Andrew 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Colle, Mike 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Cristiano, Gianfranco 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Mitchell, Crystal 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Spaans, Kyle 27 Jul 2018 kylespaans.ward13@gmall.com https://kyle4ward13.github.io/ | | |
| Tassi, Marta 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Torrone, Enzo 27 Jul 2018 1 1 1 1 | | |
| Vilde, Robyn 27 Jul 2018 | • | |
| | lumina for | - |
| Councillor Ward 14 | Jump to: | <u> </u> |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Arp., Jennifer 18 May 2018 | | |
| Carmichael Greb, Christin 01 May 2018 info@reelectcarmichaelgreb.ca www.reelectcarmichaelgreb.ca | | |
| Cooke, Robb 26 Jul 2018 1 | | • |
| Dunlop, Darren 21 Jun 2018 1 1 | | |
| Johnston, Lauralyn 18 Jun 2018 | | |
| Levy, Beth 04 May 2018 beth@bethlevyto.ca 437-991-8122 /www.bethlevyto.ca | | |
| Youssefi, Dyanoosh 01 May 2018 dyanoosh@dyanooshyoussefi.ca 647-545-8647 www.dyanooshyouss | efi.ca | |
| Councillor Ward 15 | lump to: | Y |
| Councillor ward 15 | Jump to: | <u>'</u> |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Carter, Kevin 26 Jul 2018 T 1 | | · |
| Lipton, lan 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Mihevc, Joe 17 May 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 16 | Jump to: | ▼ |
| Councillor yyaru to | Juilip W. | |
| | • | |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | • | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Bailão, Ana 01 May 2018 info@bailaoforward16.ca 647-351-7554 www.bailaoforward16.ca | • | |

| Elections - | City | of | Toront |
|-------------|------|----|--------|
|-------------|------|----|--------|

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|---|----------|--|
| Mann, Nahum 26 Jul 2018 1 1 1 | | |
| Palacio, Cesar 04 May 2018 cesar@votepalacio.ca 416-242-8562 www.votepalacio.ca | | |
| Young, Troy 10 Jul 2018 1.1 | | |
| Councillor Ward 17 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name→ Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | |
| Doucette, Sarah 03 May 2018 Sarah Doucette 13@gmail.com | | |
| Kulish, Taras 27 Jul 2018 | • | |
| Okalowe, Mercy 12 Jul 2018 votemercy2018@gmail.com | | |
| Pavlov, Nick 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Perez, Alex 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Tummillo, Evan 01 May 2018 evantummillo@gmail.com 416-995-3631 | | |
| Vera, José 29 Jun 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 18 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date ◆ Email ◆ Campaign Office Phone ◆ Website ◆ | 1.00 | |
| Dolma, Kalsang 07 May 2018 dolma@kalsangdolma.ca www.kalsangdolma.ca | | |
| Ginsberg, David 16 Jul 2018 davidginsberg@davidginsberg.ca 647-900-2536 www.davidginsberg.ca | | |
| Perks, Gord 01 May 2018 info@gordperks18.ca www.gordperks18.ca | | |
| Councillor Ward 19 | Jump to: | ▼ |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Layton, Mike 10 Jul 2018 | • | |
| Sawision, George 20 Jul 2018 | | • |
| Zalmai, Edris 24 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 20 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | |
| Carbone, Al 20 Jul 2018 | | |
| Dong, Han 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| Engelberg, April 05 Jul 2018 april.engelberg@gmail.com www.voteforapril.com | | |
| Lapointe, Mike 23 Jul 2018 mikelapointe ward20@outlook.com | | and the state of the square college of the constitute bear about |
| | | |
| Maher, Dean 15 Jun 2018 dean@the416magazine.com | | |
| Maher, Dean 15 Jun 2018 dean@the416magazine.com 1 Malik, Ausma 01 May 2018 info@ausma.ca Ausma.ca | | |
| Maher, Dean 15 Jun 2018 dean@the416magazine.com | | |
| Malik, Ausma 01 May 2018 info@ausma.ca Ausma.ca | | |

| Vuong, Kevin 01 May 2018 1 www.kevinvuong.ca/ | man a passo access accessorate mens secularizate state s | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Zuniga, Sabrina 01 May 2018 sabrina@sabrinazuniga.ca www.sabrinazuniga.ca | a camp summers, or one o more employed where | |
| Councillor Ward 21 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Agrawal, Anita 08 Jun 2018 voteanita.ca@gmail.com | | |
| Callegher, Jon 07 May 2018 contact@joncallegher.com 647-563-3095 www.joncallegher.com | | |
| Gordanier, Tim 26 Jul 2018 www.timforward21.com | | |
| Heath, Jonathan 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Hollett, Jennifer 10 Jul 2018 contact@jenniferhollett.com 647-872-8951 www.jenniferhollett.com | Safetinasistali, p. 10. 1000 anni anni anni | |
| Kavanagh, Suzanne 01 May 2018 suzanne@suzannekavanagh.ca 416-214-2730 www.suzannekavanagh | jh.ca | |
| Larbie, Gladys 11 Jun 2018 team@gladyslarbie.ca 647-484-2929 www.gladyslarbie.ca | | |
| Meneray, William 26 Jun 2018 contact@willmeneray.ca 647-696-0228 www.willmeneray.ca | | |
| Pancer, Randall 23 Jul 2018 1.1 | | |
| Perez, Catherina 12 Jun 2018 | | |
| Plourde, Matthew 01 May 2018 Info@matthewplourde.ca 416-747-6317 www.MatthewPlourde.ca | | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Dour, Ahdam 16 Jul 2018 | | <u></u> |
| | | |
| Forget, Richard 18 May 2018 jrforget@live.ca forgetward22.ca | | |
| Forget, Richard 18 May 2018 jrforget@live.ca forgetward22.ca Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca | | |
| | | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca | | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca 4 Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 547-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca | Jump to: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca 4 Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 547-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca | Jump to: | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca 1 Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 647-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 | Jump to: | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca 1.1 Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 647-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | Jump to: | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 647-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aflab, Khuram 18 Jul 2018 | | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca 3 Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 647-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aflab, Khuram 18 Jul 2018 41 May 2018 walled@votewalied.ca www.votewalied.ca/ | | |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 547-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aftab, Khuram 18 Jul 2018 Likhogali Ali, Walled 11 May 2018 walled@votewalled.ca www.votewalled.ca/ Smitherman, George 09 May 2018 smithermantoronto@gmail.com 416-807-6626 www.georgesmitherm | | Y |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 647-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Affab, Khuram 18 Jul 2018 LL Khogali Ali, Walied 11 May 2018 walled@votewalied.ca www.votewalied.ca/ Smitherman, George 09 May 2018 smithermantoronto@gmail.com 416-807-6626 www.georgesmitherm Troisi, Lucy 26 Jul 2018 L. L. Troisi, Lucy 26 Jul 2018 L. L. Troisi, Lucy 26 Jul 2018 L. L. | | V |
| Myers, Rick 26 Jul 2018 rick@rickmyers.ca 416-707-9523 www.rickmyers.ca Nguyen, John 11 Jun 2018 john@nguyenjohn.ca Wong-Tam, Kristyn 01 May 2018 contact@kristynwongtam.ca 547-951-9885 www.kristynwongtam.ca Councillor Ward 23 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aflab, Khuram 18 Jul 2018 Campaign Office Phone Website Khogali Ali, Walied 11 May 2018 walled@votewalled.ca www.votewalled.ca/ Smitherman, George 09 May 2018 smithermantoronto@gmail.com 416-807-6626 www.georgesmitherm Troisi, Lucy 26 Jul 2018 Linfo@megannwillson.ca 647-797-9733 www.megannwillson.ca | nan.com/ | V |

| D. J. Commission Office Phones Website | | |
|--|---|----------|
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | ستاناها و د ماماند شمیر به جد ، مهاد یه شبید د د در میدس | |
| Borrelli, Michael 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Cormier, Marc 26 Jul 2018 marcalbertcormier@gmail.com www.marcalbertcomier.ca/ | | |
| Cressy, Joe 01 May 2018 www.joecressy.ca | | |
| Marques, Pedro 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Massey, Andrew 27 Jul 2018 info@masseyfor24.ca | | |
| Councillor Ward 25 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | | |
| Jeffery, John 26 Jul 2018 ward25@john-Jeffery.com www.john-jeffery.com | | |
| King, Dan 26 Jul 2018 - 1 - 1 | | |
| Lester, Ryan 25 Jun 2018 hello@ryanlester.ca 647-725-9699 www.ryanlester.ca | | |
| McNally, Kyle 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Moise, Chris 01 May 2018 chris@chrismoise.ca 647-493-0932 www.chrismoise.ca | | |
| Monteyne, Jules 12 Jul 2018 jules@julesmonteyne.ca 1-416-848-7324 www.julesmonteyne.ca | | |
| Ward, Nicki 14 May 2018 admin@nickiward.ca 647-725-3025 www.NickiWard.ca | | |
| Wiener, Kevin 25 Jun 2018 3 3 | | |
| Wolvin, Rob 27 Jul 2018 1 1 | | |
| Councillor Ward 26 | Jump to: | · Y |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email → Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Langu, Artur 27 Jul 2018 Li | | |
| Matlow, Josh 04 Jul 2018 11 1 | • | |
| Murphy, Bob 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Stone, Jordan 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 27 | Jump to: | v |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Ashley, Kyle 25 Jul 2018 kashley@alumni.uwo.ca www.KyleAshley.ca | | |
| Robinson, Jaye 31 May 2018 contact@jayerobinson2018.ca www.jayerobinson2018.ca | | |
| Councillor Ward 28 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Ardeshir, Hassan 27 Jul 2018 | | ! |
| Damjanovic, Bogdan 03 Jul 2018 | pag ka sa ag agagan kapanggangan a fam B 18 kb yekkye | |
| De Santis, Danny 20 Jun 2018 danny@electdesantis.ca 647-562-9966 www.electdesantis.ca | | |
| Epstein, David 20 Jul 2018 Info@voteforepstein.com 416-879-6979 | | |
| TEMPORAL TO A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P | | |

1 4000

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|--|--|--|
| Gardner, Norman 27 Jun 2018 nrmgardner@rogers.com | | |
| Mak, Gerald 17 Jul 2018 info@geraldmak.ca www.geraldmak.ca/ | | • |
| Mathl, Sam 26 Jul 2018 electSamMathl@gmail.com | | • |
| O'Brien Fehr, Markus 20 Jun 2018 campaign@votemarkus.ca 647-920-8683 www.votemarkus.ca | | |
| Park, Chung Jin 02 May 2018 takbipark28@gmail.com 416-575-8882 | | • |
| Park, Winston 01 May 2018 electwinstonpark@gmail.com | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Tabasi Nejad, Saman 17 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 29 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Cheng, Llly 20 Jun 2018 lily@lilycheng.ca www.lilycheng.ca | | |
| Cho, Sonny, 02 May 2018 teamsonnycho2018@gmail.com www.sonnycho.ca | | |
| Herbst, Andrew 23 Jul 2018 | a was a second control of the second control | |
| Kim, Albert 18 Jul 2018 albert@votealberikim.com www.votealberikim.com | | |
| Molni, Sam 02 May 2018 | <u> </u> | |
| Mousavi, David 26 Jul 2018 | | V |
| Shakeri, Hamid 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 30 | Jump to: | |
| Name - Nomination Date ◆ Email ◆ Campaign Office Phone ◆ Website ◆ | | |
| | | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca | | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org | | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org | | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@lanhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.lanhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca Charibi, Kasra 11 Jul 2018 Kargiannakis, Stella 03 Jul 2018 s.kargiannakis@gmail.com | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca Gharibi, Kasra 11 Jul 2018 Kargiannakls, Stella 03 Jul 2018 skargiannakls@gmail.com Lister, Ken 01 May 2018 ken@kenlister.ca www.kenlister.ca | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca Charibi, Kasra 11 Jul 2018 Kargiannakis, Stella 03 Jul 2018 s.kargiannakis@gmail.com | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@ianhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca Gharibi, Kasra 11 Jul 2018 Kargiannakls, Stella 03 Jul 2018 s.kargiannakls@gmail.com Lister, Ken 01 May 2018 ken@kenlister.ca www.kenlister.ca | Jump to: | |
| Chen, Steven 09 Jul 2018 Fox, Dan 07 May 2018 dan@danfox.ca www.danfox.ca Hanecak, Ian 21 Jun 2018 lan@janhanecak.org 647-821-6916 www.ianhanecak.org O'Connor, Erin 27 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 31 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Carroll, Shelley 06 Jul 2018 shelley@voteshelleycarroll.ca 647-376-3990 www.voteshelleycarroll.ca Charibi, Kasra 11 Jul 2018 Kargiannakis, Stella 03 Jul 2018 sken@kenlister.ca www.kenlister.ca Lister, Ken 01 May 2018 ken@kenlister.ca www.kenlister.ca Liu, Christina 03 Jul 2018 info@voteliu.ca 647-272-7999 www.voteliu.ca | | |

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|--|--|----------|
| <u>Caplan, David</u> 27 Jul 2018 1 1 1 J | | |
| Hynes, Mary 02 May 2018 hynes4council@rogers.com 416-444-9737 | | |
| Khan, Ismail 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Ksiazek, Stephen 01 May 2018 stephen@ksiazekward32.ca 416-820-6150 www.ksiazekward32.ca | | |
| Mathanalingam, Pushpalatha 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Minnan-Wong, Denzil 04 Jul 2018 | | |
| Woulfe, Michael 26 Jul 2018 | | · |
| Councillor Ward 33 | Jump to: | Ť |
| Name → Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | |
| Burnside, Jon 01 May 2018 jon@jonburnside.com 647-293-4449 www.jonburnside.com | | |
| Khan, Sheraz 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Khan, Tanweer 06 Jul 2018 | | |
| Popov, Dimitre 28 Jun 2018 ViaPopov@yahoo.com | | |
| Wilson, Hamish 27 Jul 2018 3 1 J | | |
| Councillor Ward 34 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| D'Andrea, Marisol 21 Jun 2018 DAndreaWard34@gmail.com www.marisoldandrea.com/ | | |
| Fragedakis, Mary. 01 May 2018 reelectmaryward34@gmail.com | | |
| No. of the Control of | | |
| Lindsay, Ryan 13 Jul 2018 abettertoronto@ryanlindsay.ca www.RyanLindsay.ca | | |
| Lindsay, Ryan 13 Jul 2018 abettertoronto@ryanlindsay.ca www.RyanLindsay.ca Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 1 Jul 2018 25 | The first state of the state of | |
| The state of the s | Jump to: | |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | Y |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | * |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | Jump to: | Y |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | Y |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | V |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 ddgfor35@gmail.com 416-524-8578 www.DavidDelGrande.ca | Jump to: | V |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 Del Grande, David 20 Jun 2018 ddgfor35@gmail.com 416-524-8578 www.DavidDelGrande.ca Dyson, Dlane 13 Jun 2018 ddane@belonging.community. www.dianedyson.ca MacDonald, Brenda 11 Jun 2018 brendamacdonald2010@gmall.com www.brendaward35.com Marra, Frank 26 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | Y |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Alian 27 Jul 2018 Del Grande, David 20 Jun 2018 ddgfor35@gmail.com 416-524-8578 www.DavidDelGrande.ca Dyson, Diane 13 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community, www.dianedyson.ca MacDonald, Brenda 11 Jun 2018 brendamacdonald2010@gmail.com www.brendaward35.com Marra, Frank 26 Jul 2018 Monkhouse, Devon 27 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | Y |
| Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 Del Grande, David 20 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community, www.dianedyson.ca Dyson, Diane 13 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community, www.dianedyson.ca MacDonald, Brenda 11 Jun 2018 brendamacdonald2010@gmall.com www.brendaward35.com Marra, Frank 26 Jul 2018 Murton, Paul 26 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | Y |
| Pena, Alexander 25 Jul 2018 Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 Del Grande, David 20 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community www.dianedyson.ca Dyson, Diane 13 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community www.dianedyson.ca MacDonald, Brenda 11 Jun 2018 brendamacdonald2010@gmall.com www.brendaward35.com Marra, Frank 26 Jul 2018 Monkhouse, Devon 27 Jul 2018 Murton, Paul 26 Jul 2018 Rahman, Russell 20 Jun 2018 | Jump to: | V |
| Councillor Ward 35 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Bryant, Norval 27 Jul 2018 Chan, Allan 27 Jul 2018 Del Grande, David 20 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community, www.dianedyson.ca Dyson, Diane 13 Jun 2018 diane@belonging.community, www.dianedyson.ca MacDonald, Brenda 11 Jun 2018 brendamacdonald2010@gmall.com www.brendaward35.com Marra, Frank 26 Jul 2018 Murton, Paul 26 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | • |

| 18 Elections - Oith ou Louding | | |
|--|--|---|
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | يسيده واستعلى فليششف الويزيوسين برساي با فستنسور و | |
| Rosenberg, Morley 25 Jul 2018 info@morleyrosenberg.com www.morleyrosenberg.com | Table 1 - 11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | |
| Stephen, Veronica 27 Jul 2018 1 1 | - | • |
| Syed, Md Iftakhar 14 Jun 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 36 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | <u></u> | |
| Bennett, Lanrick 11 Jun 2018 lanrick@lanrickbennettjr.ca www.lanrickbennettjr.ca | | |
| Budo, Chris 24 May 2018 chrisbudotoronto@gmail.com 416-660-6461 chrisbudotoronto.com | | |
| Chan, Dixon 04 May 2018 Dixon@Dixon2018.com www.dixon2018.com | | |
| Fletcher, Paula 01 May 2018 | | |
| THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE | | |
| Councillor Ward 37 | Jump to: | A |
| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email ⇒ Campaign Office Phone ⇒ Website ⇒ | عمل جاری جانگ باستان و په دارانسواريسوريورونو او دو باور د | رد المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة والمرافقة المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة المرافقة |
| Balodis, Andrew 27 Jul 2018 | | • |
| Bittner, Brent 17 May 2018 cowboywriter65@gmail.com | | |
| Bradford, Brad 15 Jun 2018 brad@bradbradford.ca www.bradbradford.ca | | |
| land the state of | | |
| The state of the s | | |
| Game, Jon 19 Jun 2018 | | |
| Kellway, Matthew 22 May 2018 matthew@matthewkellway.ca www.matthewkellway.ca | | |
| Makuch, Joshua 02 May 2018 josh@votejosh.ca www.votejosh.ca/ | | |
| Maltais, Valerie 01 May 2018 valerie@vmaltais.com www.vmaltais.com | | |
| Mitrovica, Darlene 27 Jul 2018 7 1 | | |
| Smith, Adam 26 Jul 2018 | and the same of the same same same same same same same sam | |
| Sussman, Kathryn 25 Jun 2018 kathrynsussman2018@gmail.com 647-300-2092 www.volekathryns | ussman.ca | • |
| Councillor Ward 38 | Jump to: | . • |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Arbour, Gerard 04 May 2018 GTAW38@gmail.com 647-401-0516 | | |
| Bhuiyan, Mohsin 01 May 2018 | | |
| Crawford, Gary 01 May 2018 | | |
| Smith, Curtis 16 Jul 2018 www.curtissmith.vote | | |
| Councillor Ward 39 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | • | |
| Ahmed, Sharif 02 May 2018 sharif4change@gmail.com 416-693-7824 | | |
| Chisti, Hisham 14 May 2018 hisham chisti@hotmail.com 647-979-8911 | | |

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|---|---|----------|
| Corpuz, Paulina 12 Jun 2018 | | |
| Holland-Berardinetti, Michelle 11 Jun 2018 | | |
| Letonja, John 04 Jun 2018 john.letonja@yahoo.ca 647-785-5055 johnletonja.com | | |
| McDermott, Robert 01 May 2018 thefreescarboroughcampaign@hotmail.com 416-267-9117 | | |
| Mir, Sonny, 01 May 2018 | | |
| Roy, Suman 03 May 2018 suman@sumanroy.ca 647-701-6973 www.sumanroy.ca | | |
| Salfullah, Nur 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 40 | Jump to: | · • |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Beatty, Paul 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Bidawi, Fawzi 16 Jul 2018 elect@fawzibidawi.com 416-826-9754 www.fawzibidawi.com | داده د دهند الدساس الدراد شناستون وبرود وبرود و و و درود | |
| Bucao, Randy 09 May 2018 randy,bucao@bell.net 647-478-7710 www.voterandybucao.ca/ | | |
| Thompson, Michael 09 May 2018 1 1 | | |
| Wilson, Alex 20 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 41 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | |
| Bhatt, Vivek 18 Jul 2018 | | |
| Choudhary, Zia 02 May 2018 zia realtor@hotmail.com 647-678-6032 | | |
| De Baeremaeker, Glenn 23 Jul 2018 11 | . مدا که کاملان داندان داندان کاملان برای کاملان و کاملان و کاملان و کاملان | |
| Kniat, Robert 17 Jul 2018 | | |
| Muttukuru, Reddy 11 Jul 2018 | | |
| Nadeem, Zamir ul hassan 08 May 2018 zamirn@hotmail.com | | |
| Naveed, Arfan 09 May 2018 | | |
| Rosch, Raphael 24 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 42 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name V Nomination Date Campaign Office Phone Website | | |
| Fong, Andrew 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Kelly, Norm 14 May 2018 | | |
| Korzeniewski, Michael 13 Jun 2018 | | |
| Lee, Vincent 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Woychesko, Jason 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 43 | Jump to: | Y |

| | THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY. | THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON |
|--|--|--|
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Chien, Zhong Yi 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| Coutinho, Jude 17 Jul 2018 cotinho@hotmail.com www.judecoutinho.weebly.com/ | | |
| Evbagharu, Itohan 20 Jul 2018 patienceforward43@gmail.com | | |
| Karygiannis, Jim 01 May 2018 jim@jimkarygiannis.net 416-499-4588 www.jimkarygiannis.net | | |
| <u>Lee, Chin</u> 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Washington, Morian 26 Jul 2018 L. J. | | Marting of the state of the sta |
| Councillor Ward 44 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Bhardwal, Ashwani 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Chi, Maggie 19 Jun 2018 | | |
| Chow, James 16 May 2018 jameschow.ca@gmail.com www.jameschow.ca | *************************************** | |
| Halstead, Dameon 12 Jun 2018 | | |
| Internicola, Anthony, 08 May 2018 ward44@toronto4life.ca 641-336-7753 Toronto4Life.ca | | |
| Lai, Cynthia 28 May 2018 | | · |
| Mian, Mahboob 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Saba, Neethan 27 Jul 2018 1 1 1 | | |
| Samuel, Felicia 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Srivastava, Sandeep 26 Jun 2018 | | |
| Tiliri, Peter 17 Jul 2018 vote4petertijlri@gmail.com 547-344-2939 | | |
| Councillor Ward 45 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website \$ | | |
| Anandarajan, Anita 22 Jun 2018 | | |
| Caln, Amanda 03 May 2018 amanda@amandacaln.ca www.amandacain.ca | | • |
| Lewis-Thurab, Cheryl 10 May 2018 hello@cheryllewisthurab.ca 647-956-7293 cheryllewisthurab.ca | | |
| Saminathan, Shanker 27 Jul 2018 shane.shanker@gmail.com 647-804-9428 | | |
| Shan, Neethan 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Councillor Ward 46 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Ainslie, Paul 23 May 2018 | | |
| Morris, Noah 25 Jul 2018 1 1 | | |
| Nallaratnam, Priyanth 22 Jun 2018 email@priyanth.com 647-352-4646 www.priyanth.com | | |
| Patel, Baldev 11 Jul 2018 | | |
| Ross, Keiosha 24 Jul 2018 | | ., |
| Employee Company Compa | ~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | |

| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email ⇒ Campaign Office Phone ⇒ Website ⇒ | | | |
|--|----------|------|----------|
| Sattar, Anam 27 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Councillor Ward 47 | Jump to: | | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | | |
| Chisu, Cornellu 30 May 2018 cchisu@rogers.com 647-880-3426 www.electcornelluchisu.com | | | |
| Cookson, Paul 12 Jul 2018 paul@paulcookson.ca www.paulcookson.ca | | | |
| Cubellis, Daniel 04 May 2018 daniel@votecubellis.ca (647) 499-2902 www.votecubellis.ca | • | | |
| Khoshdel, Reza 04 May 2018 reza@rezaforward47.com 647-625-6284 www.RezaForWard47.com | • | | |
| Madder, Dave 10 Jul 2018 | | | |
| McKelvie, Jennifer 01 May 2018 jennifer@votemckelvie.ca 416-931-0960 www.votemckelvie.ca | **** | . a | |
| Riley, Christopher 23 Jul 2018 | | •••• | |
| Thomas, Joseph 25 Jul 2018 tom tinu@yahoo.com 647-534-2947 | | | |
| Warner, Emery 26 Jul 2018 | | • | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 1 | Jump to: | | Y |
| | Jump to: | | Y |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 1 Name Value Val | Jump to: | | Ψ |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | Jump to: | | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca | Jump to: | | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca | Jump to: | | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | | Y |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | | V |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | | V |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com king, Kim 15 Jun 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com king, Kim 15 Jun 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com king, Kim 15 Jun 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com king, Kim 15 Jun 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com | Jump to: | | V |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforoill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 | Jump to: | | V |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 Mohamed-Ali, Ali 03 May 2018 | Jump to: | | • |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 Quist, Millicent 25 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 Quist, Millicent 25 Jul 2018 TDSB, Trustee Ward 2 | | | |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 1 Jul 2018 Quist, Millicent 25 Jul 2018 1 Jul 2018 TDSB, Trustee Ward 2 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abrahim, Shawn 20 Jul 2018 shawnabrahim@gmail.com 647-500-7337 Alexander, Jennifer 23 Jul 2018 voteforjen2018@gmail.com voteforjen2018.blogspot.com | | | |
| Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 Mohamed-Ali, Ali 03 May 2018 Quist, Millicent 25 Jul 2018 TDSB, Trustee Ward 2 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Abrahim, Shawn 20 Jul 2018 Shawnabrahim@gmail.com 647-500-7337 | | | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Abdulle, Zakaria 25 May 2018 voteabdulle@gmail.com www.abdulle2018.ca Ayub, Akhtar 27 Jul 2018 Brar, Pat 26 Jul 2018 Canning, Alison 26 Jul 2018 Gill, Harpreet 16 Jul 2018 Info@voteforgill.com www.voteforgill.com King, Kim 15 Jun 2018 Lail, Rajinder 04 Jul 2018 Mohamed-Ali, Ali 03 May 2018 Quist, Millicent 25 Jul 2018 TDSB, Trustee Ward 2 Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Abrahim, Shawn 20 Jul 2018 shawnabrahim@gmail.com 647-500-7337 Alexander, Jennifer 23 Jul 2018 voteforjen2018@gmail.com voteforjen2018.blogspot.com | | | |

| | |] |
|--|--|---|
| Lewis, Paul 2018 1.1.1. | | |
| MacLean, Dan 19 Jul 2018 danandmichelemaclean@gmail.com 1-416-695-8148 | | |
| Rizvi, Shawn 21 Jun 2018 Vote@shawnrizvi.ca | | |
| <u>Vickers-Wong, Heather</u> 01 May 2018 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 3 | Jump to: | ▼ |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Caldwell, Christopher 27 Jul 2018 | | • |
| Julie, Todd 18 Jul 2018 | | |
| Kalbfleisch, David 27 Jul 2018 . | | |
| King, Patrick 19 Jun 2018 | | |
| Mohammed, Aziza 02 May 2018 | | |
| Nunziata, Patrick 21 Jun 2018 | | |
| Seto-Lau, Felicia 02 May 2018 feliciaforward3@gmail.com | | |
| Tahlil, Moussa 26 Jul 2018 | - | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → Clarke, DeiJaumar 27 Jul 2018 | | · |
| de Dovitiis, Matias 05 Jul 2018 info@matiasdedovitiis.ca www.matiasdedovitiis.ca | | |
| Elliott, Christopher 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Gopaul, Sabrina 11 Jun 2018 | | *************************************** |
| | | |
| Kennedy, Helen 20 Jun 2018 electkennedytrustee@gmail.com | named b distances - on the state and anatomic consideration. | |
| Kennedy, Helen 20 Jun 2018 electkennedytrustee@gmail.com Maharej, Ram 11 May 2018 | | |
| | | |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 | Jump to: | • |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 1.1. Mammoliti, Christopher 07 May 2018 mammoliti4trustee@gmail.com www.mammoliti4trustee.ca | Jump to: | • |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 11 May 2018 Mammoliti, Christopher 07 May 2018 Mammoliti4trustee@gmail.com www.mammoliti4trustee.ca TDSB, Trustee Ward 5 | Jump to: | |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 Mammoliti, Christopher 07 May 2018 mammoliti4trustee@gmail.com www.mammoliti4trustee.ca TDSB, Trustee Ward 5 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | Jump to: | |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 11 Mammoliti, Christopher 07 May 2018 mammoliti4trustee@gmail.com www.mammoliti4trustee.ca TDSB, Trustee Ward 5 Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ Atanelli, Edmound 13 Jul 2018 Mame | Jump to: | |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 | Jump to: | |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 | Jump to: | |
| Maharaj, Ram 11 May 2018 | Jump to: | |

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|----------|
| Celleri Contreras, Ivan 24 May 2018 iccward6@gmail.com 416-823-5137 | | | |
| Sid-Ahmed, Abdullahi 01 Jun 2018 | | | |
| Tonks, Chris 17 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Vallecilla, Hugo-Vladimir 26 Jul 2018 | | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 7 | Jump to: | | T |
| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email ⇒ Campaign Office Phone ⇒ Website ⇒ | | | |
| Barber, Reade 11 Jul 2018 reade@readebarber.com | | | |
| Hochberg, Lenny, 27 Jul 2018 | | <u> </u> | |
| Pilkey, Robin 24 May 2018 vote.robinpilkey@gmail.com | | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 8 | Jump to: | | v |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | | | |
| Bankasingh, Kaydeen 26 Jul 2018 2018 | | | |
| Cohen, Joseph 25 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Creighton, Victoria 29 Jun 2018 1 f. L.; | | | |
| Gallezot, Thomas 04 Jul 2018 . | | | |
| Iman, Senai 19 Jul 2018 | - | | |
| Landau, Jake 13 Jul 2018 jake@jakelandau.ca | • | | |
| Singer, Ron 27 Jul 2018 | | | |
| <u>Vassal, John</u> 17 Jul 2018 | | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 9 | Jump to: | | ▼ |
| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website ⇒ | | | |
| Gupta, Nila 18 Jul 2018 | | | |
| MacNeill, Mary 19 Jul 2018 | | | |
| McKenzie, Jacqueline 10 Jul 2018 jacqueline@jacquelinemckenzie.ca | | | |
| Pierce, Connor 10 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Rossini, Adriana 26 Jul 2018 | | | |
| | | <u></u> | |
| Shannon, Tom 13 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Sitka, Neil 27 Jul 2018 | | · | |
| Stevens, George 18 Jun 2018 | | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 10 | Jump to: | | ▼] |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | | |
| Ahmed, Sohaib 27 Jul 2018 | | | |

| Elections - | City | of Toro | nto |
|-------------|------|---------|-----|
|-------------|------|---------|-----|

| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|--|---|---|
| Donaldson, Stephanie 28 Jun 2018 | | |
| Edwards, Nichole 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| Labonté, Olivia 26 Jul 2018 - L. | | |
| Padda, Mandip 26 Jul 2018 | ······································ | |
| Papadimitriou, Andre 11 Jun 2018 andre papadimitriou@gmail.com | | |
| Topouzova, Svetoslava 25 Jun 2018 svetlatopouzova@yahoo.ca | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 11 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Laskin, Shelley, 01 May 2018 shelley@shelleylaskin.ca shelleylaskin.ca | | |
| Principe, Tania 27 Jul 2018 | # 1 | |
| Stevens, Voula 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 12 | Jump to: | , Y |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Brown, Alexander 11 Jun 2018 | | |
| Forsyth, Serafima 14 Jun 2018 forsyth, serafima@gmail.com www.serafimaforsyth.ca | | |
| Kirby, Rose 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Lee, Jooheon 18 May 2018 | | |
| Xie, Min 10 Jul 2018 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 13 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name→ Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | ingerseen en |
| Chemos Lin, Rachel 27 Jul 2018 rachel@rachelchernoslin.ca | | |
| Hinder, Jennifer 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Khan, Hafiz Abdul Settar 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Mamdouhi, Haman 26 Jul 2018 haman@votehaman.ca | | |
| Possian, Amara 26 Jul 2018 Info@voteamara.ca 647-580-8804 www.voteamara.ca | <u> </u> | |
| Rougas, Stavros 23 Jul 2018 | <u></u> | |
| Yousuf, Abdi 07 May 2018 1 1 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 14 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | | |
| Alam, Mahbubul 26 Jun 2018 mishu722@hotmail.com 416-529-4533 | | |
| Ashkir, Fos 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Banks, Nicholas 27 Jul 2018 | | |

| Name - Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Crysler, Ted 13 Jul 2018 T 1 | -, | |
| Gapka, Susan 13 Jun 2018 I.I.I. | | |
| González Ponce, Sandra 11 Jun 2018 1 | | |
| Kasilingam, Kuga 26 Jul 2018 kuka@nill.ca 437-220-1299 kugakasi.yahoosites.com | | |
| Kung, Fan Hsin 27 Jul 2018 1 1 1 | | |
| MacCallum, Joel 08 Jun 2018 | | |
| Muhammad, Alamgir 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 15 | Jump to: | ▼ |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | • |
| Asif, Nilofar 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Bell, George 24 Jul 2018 1 1 | | |
| Lee, John 26 Jul 2018 irustlee2018@gmail.com | | |
| Manga, Jasmin 26 Jul 2018 | ., | |
| Rooney, Matthew 13 Jul 2018 rooney4trustee@gmail.com | | |
| Story, Jennifer 14 May 2018 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 16 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | |
| Aarts, Michelle 17 May 2018 michelle@aarts.ca www.aarts.ca | | |
| Balashi, Ejona 09 May 2018 | | 4,0,000 |
| Huff, Brandy 23 Jul 2018 brandy.huff1234@gmail.com 416-578-2778 http://www.brandyhuff.ca/ | | |
| <u>Lazopoulos, Gus</u> 01 May 2018 gglazo1981@gmail.com 647-377-3514 | | |
| Pothen, Phil 18 Jun 2018 campaign@philpothen.ca www.philpothen.ca | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 17 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Chaudhry, Shahnaz 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Doyle, Trixie 01 May 2018 campaign@trixiedoyle.ca www.trixiedoyle.ca | | |
| Harrison, Sean Michael 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Jain, Ambika 26 Jul 2018 1.1. | | |
| Li, James 27 Jul 2018 | • | |
| Lin, Shawn 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| McBeath, Margaret 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Montero-Rios, Maria 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Neto, Deepti 25 Jul 2018 trusteeNeto@gmail.com www.trusteeneto.ca | | |

| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
|--|--|---|
| Punja, Aleem 04 May 2018 1 1 1 1 | | |
| Rayale, Siham 27 Jul 2018 | w 8 4 m. 1660 (1887) (1884) v - 8000 (1887) (1877) (1870) | |
| Reid, Cathy 21 Jun 2018 reidcl1957@gmail.com | | |
| Tana, estin, a real set of test test test test test test test tes | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 18 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Ashraf, Ammar 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Bari, Ferduse 07 May 2018 tdsbward18candidate@gmail.com | | |
| Gibson, lan 26 Jul 2018 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Kaid, Naser 29 May 2018 | propriy apparate se delicible riskalisatemati Arricold (1994 - 1 e - 400) | |
| Kandavel, Parthi 18 Jul 2018 | | |
| Parsons, Michelle 26 Jul 2018 | incin — wa an a-manifest outsidestries to month company of the | 48 480 490000000000000000000000000000000 |
| Sidiropoulos, Anna 22 Jun 2018 | | |
| Spencer, Robert 21 Jun 2018 | | |
| Thrift, Jody, 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 19 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | Jump to: | <u> </u> |
| Abdi, Samiya 18 Jul 2018 | | |
| Abowath, Maaz 11 Jul 2018 | | |
| Chaudhry, Irshad 26 Jul 2018 1.1.1 | | • |
| Grant, Angus 26 Jul 2018 7.1.1.1 | and a specific for Sold water Abbridges of harves styles as pr | |
| Harrison, Scott 08 May 2018 scott@scott-harrison.ca 416-948-8282 | | amagatra delegateta espepti que a in endermina d'attivo della c |
| Sebastian, Joy 23 Jul 2018 joysah@yahoo.com | ······································ | |
| Smith, David 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| <u>Ullah, Obaid</u> 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Presentation and the second se | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 20 | Jump to: | 7 |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Chung, Sarah 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Gregor, Matthew 30 May 2018 gregorfortrustee@gmail.com | | |
| Wang, Louis 31 May 2018 louiswangtrustee@gmail.com | | gazeti (amelijishi) isa i ilika ye yeganganga dadalahi dabishi |
| Wong, Manna | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Zhao, Guo Qiang 25 Jul 2018 | may aya qaa qaagan ayayad yolundi bidiishii bilayamayaa aada dobbiirda dabbi | myhada tudustermana va rayu yyd synmasyndat da sifir Manfild |
| The state of the s | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 21 | Jump to: | ▼ |

| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | | |
|--|----------|--|
| Datta, Sudha 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Kulasegarampillai, Ganesh 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| Mollah, Kabirul 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Rajakulasingam, Yalini 04 May 2018 info@yalinifortrustee.ca | | |
| Rudrasingam, Akila 13 Jul 2018 | | |
| Shah, Sible 23 Jul'2018 1 | | |
| Syed, Zuhair 01 May 2018 www.votezuhairsyed.ca | | |
| Wills, Jean 21 Jun 2018 1 1 | | |
| Yeung, Sonny, 20 Jun 2018 | | |
| TDSB, Trustee Ward 22 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Bartley, Alonzo 02 May 2018 | | |
| Doyle, Kirsten 16 Jul 2018 votekirstendoyle@bell.net 647-377-0795 | | |
| Ghazi, Baquie 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Khargle, Joseph 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Kutas, Cecilia 30 May 2018 . | | |
| Marshall, Robert 23 Jul 2018 marshallryerson@gmail.com 647-355-7233 | | |
| Patel, Zakir 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Vernon, Andrée 18 Jul 2018 andree.vernon4trustee22@gmail.com | | |
| Wright, Roxanne 21 Jun 2018 roxannewright4trustee@gmail.com 416-799-0660 | | |
| · | | CONTINUED COMMENTS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP |
| Toronto Catholic District School Board (TCDSB) | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 1 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Abis, Benjamin 01 May 2018 11 1 | | |
| de Domenico, Markus 01 May 2018 mark.dedomenico@gmail.com | | |
| Martino, Joseph 12 Jul 2018 | | |
| Santos-Bidinost, Vilma 24 Jul 2018 | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 2 | Jump to: | Y |
| Name V Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | • | |
| Andrachuk, Ann 13 Jul 2018 | | |
| Arsenault, Nicole 13 Jul 2018 | | |

| AUTOMORPHICATE OF CONTROL OF CONT | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | SCISTRATE AND ADMINISTRATION OF A STATE OF THE STATE OF T | | |
| Bialy, Margherita 06 Jul 2018 margheritabialy@hotmail.com margheritabialy,wixsite.com/electmargherit | abialy | | |
| King, Stuart 27 Jul 2018 | , | | ······· |
| | 1 | | |
| ГCDSB, Trustee Ward 3 | Jump to: | | · · · |
| Name → Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | ···· | |
| Capisciolto, Frank 26 Jul 2018 | | • | |
| Figliano, Matteo 17 May 2018 | | | |
| Piccininni, Sal 08 Jun 2018 | ~, <u></u> | | · |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Sist, Randy 26 Jul 2018 [-1-2-] | | | ······································ |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 4 | Jump to: | | ٧ |
| | - | | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | | |
| Fiorante, Joe 24 Jul 2018 1 - 1 | | | |
| Laccona, Marina 18 Jun 2018 [-1.1.] | | | |
| Salvatori, Paul 27 Jul 2018 | | | |
| HARTEST CONTROL AND THE CONTRO | 1 1 | | |
| TCDSB; Trustee Ward 5 | Jump to: | | |
| Name → Nomination Date + Email + Campaign Office Phone + Website + | | | |
| Da Re, Louise 26 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Musalem, Yenny, 26 Jul 2018 | | | |
| Rizzo, Maria 24 Jul 2018 | | | |
| NICZU, WIGHTA ; 24 JUL 2010 | | • | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 6 | Jump to: | | |
| ACTION CONTINUES AND ASSESSMENT OF CONTINUES AND ASSESSMEN | | | |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website | | | |
| Bennett, Dave 26 Jul 2018 | | | |
| D'Amico, Frank 07 May 2018 | | ······································ | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 7 | Jump to: | | |
| (ODOD, IIIIOCO FRAIGI . | | | |
| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | | |
| Del Grande, Mike 01 May 2018 | | | |
| DI Mauro, Enzo 01 May 2018 | | | |
| | aring and the second | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 8 | Jump to: | | |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Website Name | | | |
| THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH | | | |
| Jose, Jobin 2018 Iose4irustee@outtook.com | | -E | |
| Potenciano, Miguel 19 Jul 2018 | | | |

| Name → Nomination Date ⇒ Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
|--|----------|-----|
| Tanuan, Garry 24 Jul 2018 | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 9 | Jump to: | · • |
| Name → Nomination Date ♦ Email ♦ Campaign Office Phone ♦ Website ♦ | | |
| Di Pasquale, Norm 01 May 2018 | | |
| Harrison, Angelo 27 Jul 2018 ecanus@gmail.com | | |
| MacCallum, Marnie 19 Jun 2018 | | |
| Pincivero, Don 24 Jul 2018 dpincivero@sympatico.ca 416-243-9205 | | |
| Ruffle-McDonald, Tyler 25 Jul 2018 | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 10 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Alpeza, Diana 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| Homak, Phil 24 Jul 2018 | | |
| Lubinski, Teresa 17 Jul 2018 | | |
| Pearson, Edith 15 Jun 2018 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| Poplawski, Barbara 23 Jul 2018 | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 11 | Jump to: | |
| Name → Nomination Date ◆ Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Cocon, Axcel 14 May 2018 14 May 2018 | | |
| Kennedy, Angela 09 Jul 2018 | | |
| Morrison, Kevin 26 Jul 2018 | | |
| Sajnovic, Anna 27 Jul 2018 | | |
| TCDSB, Trustee Ward 12 | Jump to: | ¥ |
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | | |
| Crawford, Nancy 22 Jun 2018 | | |
| Montemayor, Maria 01 Jun 2018 1 1 | | |
| Olivo, Enrique 20 Jul 2018 | | |
| Russell, Worrick 27 Jul 2018 - L. J. J | | |
| | | |
| Conseil scolaire Viamonde (CSV) | | |
| CSV, Trustee Ward 2 | · | |

| | NAMES OF THE PERSON OF THE PER |
|--|--|
| Name → Nomination Date → Email → Campaign Office Phone → Website → | |
| Baeta, Julien 13 Jun 2018 11 | |
| Bichay, Rafik 26 Jul 2018 | |
| Fortin, Benoit 06 Jul 2018 | |
| Kalogo, Youssouf 05 Jun 2018 Dr.Kalogo@youskalo.info 1 | |
| - | |
| CSV, Trustee Ward 3 | |
| Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | |
| Guillot, Thomas 04 Jun 2018 thomas@revonsengrand.ca www.revonsengrand.ca | • |
| Robert, Chloë 08 Jun 2018 | |
| | |
| CSV, Trustee Ward 4 | |
| Name → Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | The second secon |
| L'Heureux, Jean-François 03 May 2018 jeanfrancoisiheureux@bell.net www.jfiheureux.wixsite.com/elections2 | 018 |
| Oger, Geneviève 14 May 2018 info@genevieveoger.com csv.genevieveoger.com | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir (CSC MonAvenir) | |
| Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir (CSC MonAvenir) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, James 12 Jun 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, James 12 Jun 2018 Lill Demers, Paul 26 Jul 2018 paulidemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalie 27 Jul 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aruda, James 12 Jun 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aruda, James 12 Jun 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, James 12 Jun 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, James 12 Jun 2018 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, James 12 Jun 2018 Paulidemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Demers, Paul 26 Jul 2018 Paulidemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalle 27 Jul 2018 Fortino, Iola 26 Jul 2018 CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 4 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website D'Algle, Claude-Reno 04 Jun 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aruda, James 12 Jun 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, Jemes 12 Jun 2018 Jul Jul Demers, Paul 26 Jul 2018 Paul Jemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalie 27 Jul 2018 Fortine, Iola 26 Jul 2018 Jul Jul 2018 CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 4 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website D'Algle, Claude-Reno 04 Jun 2018 Davidson, Laurie 27 Jul 2018 Godbout, Anne 24 Jul 2018 | |
| Arruda, James 12 Jun 2018 Demers, Paul 26 Jul 2018 Dauljdemers@gmall.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalie 27 Jul 2018 Fortino, Iola 26 Jul 2018 Davidson, Laurie 27 Jul 2018 D | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Arruda, Jemes 12 Jun 2018 Jul Jul Demers, Paul 26 Jul 2018 Paul Jemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalie 27 Jul 2018 Fortine, Iola 26 Jul 2018 Jul Jul 2018 CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 4 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website D'Algle, Claude-Reno 04 Jun 2018 Davidson, Laurie 27 Jul 2018 Godbout, Anne 24 Jul 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aruda, James 12 Jun 2018 Jul 2018 paulidemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalle 27 Jul 2018 Fortino, Iola 26 Jul 2018 CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 4 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website D'Aigle, Claude-Reno 04 Jun 2018 Davidson, Lauria 27 Jul 2018 Email Campaign Office Phone Mebsite Davidson, Lauria 27 Jul 2018 Email Email Campaign Office Phone Mebsite Muamba Idikay, Zino 27 Jul 2018 | |
| CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 3 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website Aruda, James 12 Jun 2018 Jul 2018 paulidemers@gmail.com 647.521.8890 Dufour Seguin, Nathalie 27 Jul 2018 Fortino, Iola 26 Jul 2018 CSC MonAvenir, Trustee Ward 4 Name Nomination Date Email Campaign Office Phone Website D'Aigle, Claude-Reno 04 Jun 2018 Davidson, Laurie 27 Jul 2018 Muamba Idikay, Zino 27 Jul 2018 | |

| Name → Office ÷ Ward + Withdrawn Date + |
|--|
| Ali, Bidor Toronto District School Board 2 27 Jul 2018 |
| Anobile, Richard Councillor 21 26 Jul 2018 |
| Chen, Steven Toronto District School Board 17 09 Jul 2018 |
| Chung, Sarah Toronto Catholic District School Board 5 27 Jul 2018 |
| Colle, Josh Councillor 13 25 Jul 2018 |
| Cookson, Paul Councillor 44 12 Jul 2018 |
| Coutinho, Jude Councillor 39 17 Jul 2018 |
| Creber, Colton Toronto District School Board 8 27 Jul 2018 |
| D'Andrea, Marisol Councillor 29 21 Jun 2018 |
| Davidson, Jacob Councillor 2 27 Jul 2018 |
| De Groot, Simon Councillor 6 26 Jul 2018 |
| Fortino, Iola Toronto Catholic District School Board 6 26 Jul 2018 . |
| Gershon, Gerri Toronto District School Board 13 27 Jul 2018 |
| Gomez-Carty, Antuanette Councillor 20 27 Jul 2018 |
| Kalbfleisch, David Toronto District School Board 5 27 Jul 2018 |
| Landau, Jake Toronto District School Board 12 13 Jul 2018 |
| McGulgan, Robert Toronto District School Board 19 26 Jul 2018 |
| Minhas, Avtar Toronto District School Board 1 17 Jul 2018 |
| Parker, Noah Councillor 21 26 Jul 2018 |
| Sebastian, Joy Toronto District School Board 18 23 Jul 2018 |
| Spencer, Robert Toronto District School Board 16 21 Jun 2018 |
| Syed, Alam Councillor 39 20 Jul 2018 |
| Thibodeau, Pascale Conseil scolaire Viamonde 2 16 Jul 2018 |
| Wang, Lucy Councillor 8 18 Jul 2018 |
| Winterink, Marjolein Toronto District School Board 9 13 Jul 2018 |
| Commence of the commence of th |

Export **⇒**

This is Exhibit "H" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer

8/10/2018

Email address

JOIN





Home

Dear Friends, Neighbours and Residents of Ward 2;

I am pleased to announce, that I am a candidate in the 2018 Municipal Election for Councillor in Ward 2!

I am proud to have lived in Etobicoke North! This community has helped shaped me into the person I am: trustworthy, unbiased and open-minded like my fellow Ward 2 community members. Etobicoke North has many excellent characteristics, but to me, the most pronounced is its diversity – which has enriched our community both culturally and economically.

As a director of Freedom For All People, I've had the opportunity to speak to and address a number of issues from poverty to injustice to inequality, and I am certain that these concerns also speaks to your core values as individuals and community members. It is time for us to renew our commitment to be our brother's keeper — let us work together to ensure that every family member in Etobicoke North, has an equal opportunity to reach his or her full potential through fairness. This effort is essential to our economic strength, social progress and quality of life.

This campaign is about being - "Resilient, and Resounding while we Rebuild!"

- Resilient in reducing poverty among the vulnerable in our community and improving services and quality
 of life;
- Resounding in delivering a clear and concise message to City Council; and
- Rebuild a stronger middle class by supporting small businesses and entrepreneurship in our community.

My priority is to ensure that your concerns are heard. I am not here to make any appeasing, attractive promises; I am here to be a voice for the community. I will continue on the legacy of maintaining the high level of service that you have come to expect, by working hard to keep taxes low, increasing the level of community investment, and creating an environment for economic growth.

375

Home

8/10/2018

I would appreciate the opportunity to serve you as Councillor for Etobicoke North; can I count on you for your support?

Please feel free to contact me anytime and I look forward to hearing from you, or meeting with you to share how we can revitalize our community together.

Yours truly,

Carol Royer

Candidate for Ward 2 - Etobicoke North

Carol Roy

BECOME A VOUNTEER (volunteer_form.html)

Sign up today and become a volunteerl



REQUEST A LAWN SIGN (lawn_form.html)

We would be pleased to install a sign on your lawn today!



GET UPDATES (updates.html)

Get all your campaign updates here!





Remember to Vote on October 22, 2018!

754

376

8/10/2018


Home



© 2015 The Ekklesia Hub

Back to top

ANGELO CARNEVALE




Angelo Camevale

8/10/2018

WHO IS ANGELO CARNEVALE?

Hi, my name is Angelo Carnevale and I am a devoted husband, father of two, and community advocate. My wife of over 20 years, Nancy, and I own a small construction company in Toronto. I love being a positive role model to youth in our community by coaching my son AJ's hockey team. I have sat as a board member on different committees for a number of years for Villa Colombo and Toronto Property Standards, just to name a few. Currently, I am the president of an Italian social club. As president, we have collectively raised an impressive \$250,000 for Sick Kids and various other charities. Show your support by visiting my website at votecarnevale.com




Angelo CARNEVALE

City Councillor Candidate for Ward 4 - Etoblcoke www.VoteCarnevale.com

CAN ANGELO COUNT ON YOUR SUPPORT?

LET ANGELO KNOW HE HAS YOUR SUPPORT.

8/10/2018



GET YOUR ANGELO CARNEVALE LAWN SIGN




Donate S C P P P

FOLLOW ME ON INSTAGRAM @VOTECARNEVALE



























CONTACT ME

CITY COUNCILLOR CANDIDATE FOR WARD 4 - ETOBICOKE

Angelo Camevale

EMAIL: ANGELO@VOTECARNEVALE.COM


PHONE: 647.881.9335



8/10/2018

https://www.votecamevale.com/#

HAVAGASINE ENGLA WAS



MORLEY
FOR ETOBICOKE-LAKESHORE



VOLUNTEER PLEDGE YOUR VOTE

SIGN UP TO GET THE LATEST UPDATES



Friends and neighbours,

On July 26, 2018, Premier Doug Ford and the PC government announced their intention to reduce the number of elected Councillors for the City of Toronto from 47 members to 25. The Premier's decision to make such a drastic change - in the middle of an election - is anti-democratic and a blatant attack on our beautiful and diverse city.

This was not something Doug Ford campaigned on and his government did not consult people living in Toronto. In fact, this decision wastes tax dollars already spent on an extensive study that determined the City of Toronto is best served with 47 wards delivering fair and equal representation for everyone.


I, for one, will not stand for this hasty and undemocratic decision to slash our access to fair and honest municipal representation. I was born and raised in this community and I am committed to continuing the campaign we started in May and to representing Etobicoke-Lakeshore with inclusivity and transparency.

Here are a couple of ways you can help in this fight:

- 1. Call, email, or tag on social media to tell them how you feel:
 - Premier Doug Ford 416.325.1941, doug.ford@pc.ola.org or premier@ontario.ca, Twitter: @fordnation
 - Local MPP Christine Hogarth 416.259.2249, christine.hogarth@pc.ola.org, Twitter: @CHogarthPC
 - Minister of Municipal Affairs, MPP Steve Clark 416-585-7000, steve.clark@pc.ola.org, Twitter:
 @SteveClarkPC,
 - Ward 6 Councillor, Mark Grimes 416-397-9273,
 councillor_grimes@toronto.ca Twitter: @Mark_Grimes
- 2. Donate, volunteer or take a sign for the #Morewithmorley campaign

Let them all know that, in Etobicoke-Lakeshore and across this city, representation matters.

Amber Morley #MoreWithMorley



City Council candidate, Etobicoke-Lakeshore

| Do you like t | his d | age: |
|---------------|-------|------|
|---------------|-------|------|

56 people like this, what your friends like,

to see

G+

SHOWING 3 REACTIONS



GERRY DESCHAMPS POSTED ABOUT THIS ON FACEBOOK 25 DAYS AGO

#MoreWithMorley



LISA TJERNSTROM POSTED ABOUT THIS ON FACEBOOK 3 MONTHS AGO

#MoreWithMorley so excited and eager to help Amber Morley with her campaign to be the new Toronto Councillor for Ward 6! Etobicoke Lakeshore has a great future!



@LEELEJA TWEETED LINK TO THIS PAGE. 3 MONTHS AGO

#MoreWithMorley so excited and eager to help Amber Morley with her campaign to be the new Toronto Councillor for Ward 6! Etobicoke Lakeshore has a great future! http://www.morewithmorley.com/? recruiter_id=24

Reply · Retweet · Favorite





Emali Address


HOME

GET TO KNOW MICHAEL

ETOBICOKE-LAKESHORE - WARD 6

PLATFORM & OBJECTIVES

GET INVOEKSEPAREUSOBER TEREQUEST A SIGN





Tim a testimonial. Click to ethi me and add text than says something ince , abolit you and your services. Let yourenstoners review you and tell their friends have great you are." s Robert King

"I'm a testimound. Click to call me and aid text that says something note about you and your services. Let your customers revealyou and tell their foreds how great you are,"

"Fin a testimonial Click to ada me and add text that says something nice about you and your services. Let your customers review you area tell then friends how great you are,"

VISIGH electronics

· Gary Levels -



Get Involved

Make a Suggestion Volunteer Request a Sign Polling Stations

luio

About Forum Contact Support

FAQ Donate Suggestions


List of bylaws to Modify

Contact

Customer Service; 437-836-3051 mtloomans@gmall.com

f @ 🕊 🐯

4, 2018 The Communic Comparism, Providing the attack with Prof. of Plus Comp.



In The News





Dear Neighbours & Friends,

Ward 7 is the only home I know. I was born in our community and never left. Our community has shaped me into the person I am today. It's where my passion for advocacy was nurtured, and where I've had the honour to serve as our local public school board trustee.

Over the past three years as trustee, together we have increased capital funding to our local ward 7 schools by 186% and created the first parent and student advisory councils in our ward. Empowering students and listening to the voices of parents has resulted in Better Schools, Better Education, and a Better Community.

Together, we can advocate for issues that are important to our community, including, affordable housing and childcare, accessible transportation, youth and senior programs, and community economic development.

This campaign is about us working together, and making decisions on what we need for our community. We live here, we work here and the best decisions can be made together. Share this journey with me. Together We Can.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Ford

CAMPAIGN EVENTS



TIFFANY FORD




- 19 AUGUST
- All Day

Community Canvasing

- At-Ony Event
- 26 **AUGUST**
- All Day
 Community Canvasing
 - **②** ♥. Day Event
- 22 OCTOBER
- All DayVoting Day
 - Anderthert







TIFFANY

Home Volunteer Newsletter Signup Donations Events

PAID FOR BY TIFFANY FORD 2018

Tiffany Ford © 2018, All Rights Reserved.













395

Dear Neighbours,

Like you, Ward 11 is my home. Having grown up in Weston-Mount Dennis, I know and love the pride and resiliency that we all have in our communities. Home to diverse and hard-working neighbourhoods, there are so many reasons why I'm proud to call Ward 11 home.

But for too long, we've been forgotten by City Hall.

Overcrowded buses, potholes, flooding, abandoned lots with overgrown weeds - these are just a few examples of the municipal neglect in our community. Growing inequality, unaffordability, declining city services, and a lack of meaningful community engagement from the current leadership at City Hall, threaten to worsen the quality of life for all of us.

But it doesn't have to be like this.

Today our community is at a crossroads. The decisions we make at City Hall over the next few years will influence the shape of our community for the next few decades. This is why it's time for change.

It's also why I have decided to run to be your City Councillor for Ward 11.

It's time we build a city based on the needs of our communities.

It's time we prioritize community engagement that empowers our neighbourhoods.

It's time for change in Ward 11. Together we can build a community that works for all of us. Will you join us?

Sincerely,

Chiara Padovani

Do you like this page?

396

| 8/1 | n# | 201 | O |
|------|-----|------|---|
| Q) I | U/a | 2U I | ٥ |

Chiara Padovani - It's Time - Ward 11

269 people like this. Sign Up to see what your friends like.

G+

ediselle and expansion

Tweets

@chipadovanı



Project to Ochestoved

More info without having to go to Facebook:

Emergency Community Meeting: Flooding in #RockcliffeSmythe Tuesday, August 14th, 6:30pm 1289 Weston Road



🔓 Toronto Police OPS 🚳

Trailines

Flooding rescue: Alliance Ave / Rockcliffe Blvd

Embed

View on Twitter

Home

Meet Chlara

Join us

Contact

News

Accountable to You

Volunteer Get updates Donate

Get a Lawn Sign

Weston Updates Mount Dennis Updates Rockcliffe-Smythe Updates

Social

Twitter

Facebook

Get updates

Email address


Postal code

Authorized by the Chlara Padovani for Ward 11 Campaign

NationBuilder theme by DemocracyKit. Learn more.








"With every backyard BBQ, chat at local grocery stores or walk outside with my kids, I am reminded that our community is built on the hard work of all of us who live here. My wife and I are both working while raising our three children and making sure my retired parents are doing well, this is what happens across our community, we take care of each other. Now, I want to make sure that our community gets what it needs to be a stronger place to live and that someone at city hall is finally working for you."


- Lekan Olawoye, Candidate for Ward 12 City Councillor



Get Involved

I want to join the movement.

Help us make sure your voice is heard by volunteering your skills and time today. You'll not only make a difference, but get to meet other community members just like you.





Statement from Lekan Olawoye on Premier Ford's plan to slash size of Toronto City Council



#TeamLekan Kicks Off



City News: Lekan Olawoye on gun violence

See all posts



Join the Movement

Are you ready to step up and make a difference?...

Read more

Sign up

Your voice matters. It's time to make sure it's heard. Join our movement today and help Lekan shape the future of Ward 12.

 $\mathcal{T}_{X_{k+1}} = \mathbb{R}^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{X_{k+1} \times 1}$

STAY UP 6 Y/2 ?


*

Sign in with ,

or : .

OLEKSANDR BOMSHTEYN

For City Councillor - Ward 13



About Oleksandr Bomshteyn

Oleksandr Bomshteyn is a small-business owner running to bring positive change in his community at Toronto City Hall. He believes it's time for City Council to put residents back at the centre of its services.

Oleksandr was born in the small town of Konotop in Ukraine. He was 13 years old, when he came to Toronto to study. It was a world of difference from his home country, full of opportunity and promise. While in school Oleksandr was introduced to his future wife Avigail, also a student from Ukraine. They were married in 2006 and are raising their three children in Ward 13.

City Councillor's number one job is to listen to residents, respond to their concerns in a timely manner, and advocate for frontline

services at City Hall

Oleksandr is a former financial advisor, and currently a business owner of a web development and consulting firm, located here in Toronto. In his role, he has worked with companies across many sectors to advise them on the best technology solutions to help streamline and improve their business. He wants to bring this same enthusiasm and experience to the City Hall, to help modernize and streamline services for Torontonians. To make it work for the people, not the "system".

Over the last decade, the infrastructure in our ward has not improved, with every winter causing more watermain breaks and potholes than the last. Added to that, there are long wait times to have these issues fixed for residents.

All the while city council spent many hours and thousands of your tax dollars debating inconsequential projects, like the mural design for an underpass, instead of addressing the five-day wait for snow to be cleared in one case for our community this winter.

Oleksandr strongly believes the city needs to do a better job prioritizing critical infrastructure improvements like road and watermain repairs. Oleksandr also believes in modernizing the TTC to offer reliable and convenient transportation options for the residents of Ward 13 residents. He will make sure residents are part of the process in shepherding new developments in the neighbourhood and look for new ways to relieve congestion in our neighbourhoods.


Above all, Oleksandr believes a City Councillor's number one job is to listen to residents, respond to their concerns in a timely manner, and advocate for frontline services at City Hall.

Do you like this page?

99 people like this. what your friends like.

to see

G+



Email address

Sign Up

Sign in with Facebook. Twitter or email.

Created with NationBuilder

313

BETH LEVY FOR WARD 14



I want to work with my community to make sure that Ward 14 continues to be a vibrant & inclusive place to live, work, and raise a family.



Check out my Facebook Page, Twitter, or my Instagram

Platform Information

My platform is rooted in sensible development, road safety, supports for small business, and much more. Follow the link below to see the full picture.



...

a

Contact Information

I want to hear from you! Feel free to reach out by email, phone, or lettermail. All the information can be found on my contact page below.

Contact Beth »

Donation Information

If you'd like to make a donation to help me run my campaign, or read about Toronto's generous rebate program, please click the link below.

Donate to Beth's Campaign »



Subscribe To Beth's Campaign

Sign up with your email address to receive news and updates.





Beth Levy for Ward 14
We respect your privacy and will never share your email.


"When we returned from living in the UK 15 years ago, Beth was the first person I met at Allenby playground with her two children. She welcomed us into the community and made the transition back to a new school and neighbourhood much easier. I will never forget how friendly and helpful she was to us! "

- Rosamund Witchell, Resident of Ward 14

Let's talk!
I'd love to hear from you.




BETH LEVY # 2018





Christin is a proven leader, working with residents to ensure a stronger, safer community. She is a trusted voice at City Hall and a key member of the team working towards our common goal of making Toronto more livable, affordable and functional. ~

Mayor John Tory






Representing our community of Eglinton-Lawrence as city councillor for the past four years has been an honour and a privilege. My commitment to strong representation and good governance at City Hall is what drives me every day. Together, we have accomplished much in the past four years, but there is still more to do to for a better

community and city.

I will continue to work with residents from all corners of our community and fight to ensure that decisions at council provide a strong, prosperous future. I am grateful for your ongoing support and ask for the opportunity to continue our work at City Hall as your councillor.

Sincerely,

Christin



A lifelong resident of North Toronto, Christin was elected as the city councillor for Eglinton-Lawrence (formally Ward 16) in the 2014 municipal election and was sworn into office on December 2, 2014. Prior to her election, Christin spent her career in the private sector. From that experience, she brings a sense of fiscal responsibility and accountability to her role as councillor.

Christin's commitment to better government and respect for taxpayers has made her a valued ally of Mayor John Tory, whose endorsement she earned prior to the 2014 election.

Christin believes that strong families are the foundation of strong communities. This principle motivates her work and fuels her dedication to contributing to a city that continues to flourish.

Along with her husband Michael and three children, she is proud to call Ward 14 home.

SAFER STREETS

Christin is focused on smarter traffic and protecting our kids by keeping our streets safe.

- Christin has fought for a speed limit reduction on all residential streets.
- Christin has delivered automated speed enforcement in our school zones to protect our kids as they come and go to school.
- Christin is a strong advocate for smart transportation policies - including building the Yonge Street Relief Line -

which wil reduce congestion and keep our city streets moving, safely.

RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT

Christin supports responsible development that protects our community and encourages investment.

- Christin has committed, since day one, to fighting inappropriate growth.
- Christin has made real changes to give residents a stronger voice including doubling the amount of notice residents must be provided and hiring more planners to support better final decisions.
- Christin understands the importance of investments in the ward but she has shown she will oppose projects that don't work for residents like the ill-advised proposals for 250 Lawrence Avenue West and 346-356 Eglinton Avenue West.

BETTER GOVERNMENT

Christin is working with Mayor Tory to create a city that respects taxpayer dollars and provides good, common sense services.

 Christin was proud to eliminate the ban on ball hockey on Toronto streets, restoring an iconic childhood game.

- Christin is a strong supporter of Mayor Tory's vision and is working with likeminded councillors to advance good policies at City Hall.
- Christin is driving real change at City Hall working to reorganize municipal services so that they run more efficiently and work better for residents.



Contact Us!

Questions for our campaign?

8/10/2018

NAME

EMAIL ADDRESS

PHONE NUMBER


INQUIRY

Send

Authorized by the official agent of the Christin Camrichael-Greb campaign.

WARD 14 (FORMERLY 16), TORONTO





WELCOME!

Dear neighbours,

I arrived in Toronto as a Jewish-Iranian immigrant when I was 12 years old.

Since then, I have worked hard to give back to this city which has given me so much. I have volunteered at Baycrest Centre, Lawyers Feed the Hungry, my children's schools, in Israel and in Zimbabwe. I have served on the Board of Directors of Parkdale Activity Recreation Centre (for people with mental health and addiction challenges) and RESULTS Canada (to end poverty worldwide.)

I am a lawyer, a professor, a writer, a non-profit leader, and a life-long volunteer.

My young family and I have lived in the ward for fourteen years. My daughters have gone to Allenby Junior Public School, Associated Hebrew Day School, and Glenview Senior Public School.

I love our Eglinton-Lawrence neighbourhood and the people living here. I feel fortunate to call so many of you my neighbours and friends. As your Councillor, I will be present and engaged. I will listen to you and keep you informed.

I want to advocate for us, to serve the wonderful residents of our ward, and to make our great city of Toronto even better: more vibrant, more connected, more inclusive. I want to ensure that City Council makes smart, sensible decisions that improve the quality of life for all of us. I want to make sure that our decisions benefit us as well as for our children.

I invite you to find out more about me and the campaign issues, to sign up for our newsletter, and to help me make a Toronto a better city by volunteering on the campaign.

I would be honoured to represent you at city hall, and hope to have your support on October 22.

Sincerely,



Twitter Updates

Dyanoosh Yousseff Retweeted

John Howard Society 6h

Aug 10th: Prisoners' Justice Day: Time to take note of all deaths behind bars + to take action: Better health care, compassionate/palliative release, less violence #PrisonersJusticeDay #pjd2018

Twitter

Dyanoosh Youssefi 56m

Ontario_we may soon end up in the red on this index (if they did it by province https://tco/OFITHLEb6S
Ok_orange first

Twitter

Dyanoosh Youssefi Retweeted

Gord Perks @ 60m

<u>@dmrider @JohnTory</u> Could you pass along that many people have told me they want a larger public investment in social housing. Thanks.

1 Twitter

Load More...



Endorsements

See what residents and colleagues say about Dyanoosh.

READ MORE



I Want a Lawn Sign

Lawn signs will be distributed on Sept. 27. Sign up now to help us plan

READ MORE




Volunteer

Help Dyanoosh build a better Toronto. Get Involved with the campaign!

READ MORE

| ASK ME ANYTHING |
|--|
| ACICINIZATITITIO |
| Feel free to <u>email me</u> , call <u>647–545–8647</u> , or fill in the |
| form below. I will try to answer your questions as quickly |
| as possible. |
| |
| |
| Name* |
| |
| Email* |
| Ellicit |
| A STATE OF THE STA |
| Questions? Comments? |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| SEND |



Vote LAURALYN JOHNSTON - WARD 14 - Service, Falmess, Experlise, Working for you



VOTE LAURALYN JOHNSTON – WARD 14

HOME



Why am I running for Councillor of Ward 14? I love our neighbourhood and care about my neighbours. I love the interesting mix of people and businesses it supports. I love the schools that both my daughter and I have attended. I love that it inspired me to volunteer for my neighbourhood and then to become an urban planner with the City of Toronto as a hardworking public servant.

But most of all, I love to bring people together, and I would be honoured to do just that as your Councillor for Ward 14.

ENDORSEMENTS



"Lauralyn is an experienced city planner who knows her way around City Hall. A dedicated civic leader who is passionate about addressing the diverse needs of her community, she would make an excellent Councillor and be a strong voice for Ward 14."

Paul Bedford, former Toronto Chief Planner





"I wholeheartedly endorse Lauralyn in her bid for Councillor for Ward 14. As the head of a non-profit housing agency in the Ward, I can say that this is an issue Lauralyn fully understands from all aspects, and she can help us build the inclusive City we all can thrive in."

Nancy Singer, Executive Director, Kehilla Residential Program

"Lauralyn and I first met almost ten years ago when our children attended Allenby P.S. Over many years, she has been active in our local school and community initiatives. Her passion

for our community, her professional expertise in urban planning, plus her work experience at the City of Toronto position her as an exceptional candidate to represent our Ward."

Christine Tekker, Community Resident

GET INVOLVED

It takes great people to build a great city, and we'd love your help.

CLICK TO VOLUNTEER

DONATE

Help me make a better Ward 14 by supporting my campaign.

CLICK TO DONATE

UPCOMING EVENTS

No upcoming events

CONTACT ME

I want to hear from you! Please reach out to my campaign team with questions, comments and suggestions.

CONTACT

I'M SOCIAL

Follow me on:







RECENT POSTS

Protect Small-Scale Rental in Ward 14

Why I'm Running

Premier's Plan to Shrink Council is Irresponsible, Undemocratic

PAGES

ABOUT LAURALYN

CONTACT & VOLUNTEER


DONATE

HOME

ISSUES

NEWS & EVENTS













Email address



Ana Ballao for Ward 18 Toronto City Councillor

Ana Bailao Files for Re-Election in Newly Created Ward 16


Open Letter to the Community

May 1, 2018

428

Dear Neighbours,

First thing this morning, I filed my nomination papers and made it official: I am seeking re-election to continue to implement our vision for a fair, vibrant and affordable community for all.



This election will be different. The City has made changes to the electoral boundaries and the new Ward 16 will consist of neighbourhoods that I have been proud to serve for the past 8 years, and neighbourhoods that I look forward to serving for the first time.

While the boundaries have changed, the issues - and my commitment to addressing them - remain the same. Davenport is my home. It is the community that welcomed me and my family

from Portugal and is the community that invested in me, raised me and helped me discover my passion for community-building.

Over two terms, we have made incredible progress, creating new parks, community centres, transit stations, employment spaces and revitalization projects. I am running because I believe that we can and must continue to move forward on the many important community initiatives currently underway. But, first at the core of my decision to seek your support again, are the same reasons I first decided to run for City Council.

Before I entered city politics I worked with many community organizations in this neighbourhood and learned two important lessons that have shaped my platform and my work in office: first, that change is inevitable; and, second, that the communities that prosper are those that band together to lead this change, rather than be led by it. I felt strongly, as I do still, that elected leaders should be embedded in the community they serve, should foster dialogue, look for common values and engage residents in creating a shared vision for their community's future. That's why I ran to serve my community in 2010, and that's why I'm running again.

During my time in office, I have dedicated myself to creating a platform for neighbours to come together in order to achieve more for our community. Whether creating vibrant new employment spaces, as we have done on Sterling Rd. and Wade Ave., or seizing the rare opportunity to build a robust network of trails throughout our community, with projects like the West Toronto Railpath, the Green-Line, and the Guideway, we have demonstrated the incredible power of committed residents working together to improve how we live, work and play in our community. I am proud to have led and championed these projects on Council and will continue to advocate for their expansion.

By commissioning planning studies, striking a ward advisory Council and holding community led consultations, we were able to guide development projects and deliver many new community services, including new parks and greenspaces, childcare spaces, a museum and arts space and a new library. We also secured new Smart Track stations and GO Stations and better service on peak hours on our main bus routes. We worked together with our Business Improvement Areas on major streetscape projects like Dundas, College and Bloor and negotiated hard to unlock new land for public space, trails, a new station and world-class public art, all as part of the Davenport Diamond Overpass. That is what engaged communities can accomplish.

I believe that every community, whether defined as a single building, a city block, or the entire City of Toronto, must continually create opportunities for everyone. That is why I have been a strong voice on Council for fair wage issues, community benefit agreements and the Poverty Strategy that included a low-income Metropass and free TTC fares for kids under 12. That is why I have fought hard for programs to repair and build affordable housing in our city and deliver millions in new funding for critical social and city-building initiatives. This track record of success, along with the respect of my Council colleagues, was recently recognized by my appointment to Deputy Mayor for Toronto and East York — a role through which I will continue to implement a compassionate, caring and community-focused vision for our city.

As a Deputy Mayor, a City Councillor, a community-builder and your neighbour, I am running for reelection to continue to champion and deliver on this important work. As my father often said, "Mudança é inevitável. O progresso é opcional" ("Change is inevitable; progress is optional)." Let's lead this change together and, with a shared vision, achieve the results our community deserves.

Our Community. Our Vision. Our Voice.





Contact us Don't post this publicly First Name Last Name **Email** Mobile phone (optional) Send me email updates Send me text messages Address (Street, City, State, Postal code) Do you like this page? 220 people like this. Sign Up to see Ġ÷ what your friends like.

You can join us using

CONNECT









Ana Faustino just joined.

220 people like this. Sign Up to see what your friends like.

SIGN IN WITH FACEBOOK, TWITTER OR EMAIL.

PREOUEST/A SIGNE VOLUNTEER'S LIDONATES CONTACT

Re-ELECT Cesar PALACIO for WARD 16





ON OCTOBER 22 I AM ASKING YOU TO VOTE CESAR PALACIO

Friends,

October 22, 2018, I am humbly asking for your support in the City of Toronto municipal election. As you may have heard, the Ward Boundaries are changing and our Ward will now be called Ward 16. For those new parts of Ward 16 that have not had me as their representative, (and for all of those new residents who have recently moved in) here is a bit about me: I have lived, worked, and raised my family in the Davenport community for over 40 years. I am a proud first generation Canadian and I speak 4 languages (English, Portuguese, Italian and Spanish). Since 2003 it has been my honour and privilege to serve as City Councillor for Davenport – a job to which I have given my heart and soul.

As your City Councillor, helping residents who need assistance 7 days a week all hours of the day and night has always been my highest priority. It is usually not glamourous and there are no accolades for doing so, but helping residents is by far the most important part of this job, and the one where experience counts for everything. I believe in taking action, not cheap talk. My approach has always been to focus as much of my time and energy as possible on actually building and improving our local community, street by street, block my block, neighbourhood by neighbourhood. I consider every moment of public service to be an honour and I want to keep working and fighting for you, and our community, for another four years. Thank you for your friendship, your support, and your help in continuing to build our community.

Sincerely, Cesar Palacio

Learn more about Cesar Palacio 🧇

votepalacio.ca





Volunteer

We need your help. If you are available to volunteer in any capacity please contact us today.



Request a Sign

Showcase your support by requesting a lawn sign today.



Donate


Looking to donate to the campaign please contact us for full details - thank YOU for your support.



Host an Event

Host an event in support of the campaign and invite your family, friends and neighbours - thank YOU for your support.

GETTING MORE THINGS DONE A PROVEN TRACK RECORD



A Cleaner & Greener Community



More Infrastructure Investment and



Fiscal Responsibility, Financial

F

436

votepalacio.ca

Developments

Sustainability & Transparency

I have advocated passionately for a cleaner and greener community.

Read More

I have advocated hard for investment in infrastructure and supported good developments for our community.


Read More

I have advocated hard for fiscal responsibility, financial sustainability & transparency.

Read More

CELEBRATING OUR COMMUNITY







WHAT PEOPLE ARE SAYING... ABOUT CESAR PALACIO

Rose and Vito Ramundi

Ward 16 Resident

I have no words. I have just finished reading your newsletter and I am not surprised, but yet overwhelmed by all your initiatives, achievements and proposals for Ward 17. Do you ever sleep?

I understand why you have no time for coffee. Vito and I are continuously supporting you. You're truly one of a kind.

Kathleen Allan

Ward 16 Resident

Words cannot express how for helping us with the prol street is back to the way it a peaceful and safe. Resident enjoying their properties, so with children. Our family at with your tremendous effor our NEW Wadsworth Park a your annual compost days.



ALWAYS SUPPORTING OUR COMMUNITY



https://www.votepalacio.ca/









https://www.votepalacio.ca/







REQUEST A SIGN

First Name

Last Name

Address

Email Address

Phone Number

If you're looking to donate or volunteer for our campaign please fill out all of the above fields and check mark the appropriate boxes below, once you're done please click the submit button and one of our campaign volunteers will be in touch. Thank you for your support.

Donate

Knock on Doors

Deliver Flyers

Install Lawn Signs

Host an event

Other







CONTACT US

Telephone: 416-242-8562



EMAIL US

cesar@votepalacio.ca




SOCIAL MEDIA





DAVID GINSBERG 444





Dear Friends,

I'm excited to be running for Toronto City Council to represent you in our new Ward 18.

From Roncy to Parkdale, Brockton Village to High Park, Little Portugal to Dufferin Grove, the southern Junction Triangle to the Western Waterfront – my job will be to bring new vision, new thinking, and new representation that better reflects the values of our new Ward.

I will listen to and understand the needs of the community

For the past 20 years, I've worked to bring our community together as the Chair of the McCormick Playground Arena and Co-Founder of the Parkdale Community Hockey League.

As owner of the White Squirrel Coffee Shop, Aunties & Uncles, and P&L Burger, I've supported independent businesses for a thriving and vibrant economy.

And as a father of two, I understand that safe public spaces, schools and access to activities are needed to maintain livable downtown neighbourhoods.

DAVID GINSBERG



445

Ward 18 will be a collection of diverse voices: families and businesses; newcomers and neighborhoods. Our voices deserve a change after 12 years: a new Councilor that understands the needs of all members of our community, and who has an eye toward the future of Toronto.

I will strengthen your voice at City Hall

- Ensure that city projects are properly managed to minimize disruption for businesses and residents
- Develop affordable housing, by utilizing our laneways as a fresh and creative
- Take a fair and transparent approach to managing the needs of both businesses and residents
- Apply a simple test before committing to investing in TTC projects: "Will this help get us to work on time?"
- Prioritize community-led initiatives at City Hall such as the forthcoming Wabash Community Centre

You Can Help


It is your vision, hard work, and pride in community that has made the neighbourhoods of Ward 18 some of the most successful and desirable places to live in the city.


On October 22, help me bring your dynamism and creativity to City Hall! Volunteer! Donate! Vote!

NEW WARD

NEW COUNCIL

NEW VOICE






David Ginsberg for City Council, Ward 18

* 11 (2. 11)

Join

Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Created with NationBuilder.



Friends,

I'm running for City Council in Ward 18.

Looking back at the twelve years I've had the privilege to spend working with community members, I see so many moments when we have made a real difference in the lives of our neighbours, friends and families. That is why I will



DONATE

Community spaces have been the centrepiece of our work together and I look forward to continuing to work with you to expand the public realm. Together we have been leaders in building community spaces. We have rebuilt a dozen parks in our ward, and added two new ones: King-Dufferin Park and Rita Cox Park. We have created unique public spaces: the Wabash Town Square, Jameson Outdoor Art Gallery, and the Roncesvalles Peace Garden. We are in the design stages for two new public projects: the Wabash Community Centre, and the Parkdale Community Hub.

I am proud to be our voice at City Hall for protecting and expanding affordable housing. Over the last twelve years, our community has been a leader in affordable housing. Our efforts have preserved and legalized hundreds of affordable rooms in Parkdale, improving the quality of life and security of tenure for our neighbours. We built Edmond Place and provided housing for Na Me Res. Together we are creating a City fund to protect deeply affordable housing. This Parkdale-specific pilot project will help social housing providers purchase rooming houses and stop them from being converted away from affordable housing.

I will continue to advocate for improved and increased cycling and pedestrian infrastructure. We have also been leaders in responding to the challenge of making our streets safer for cyclists and pedestrians. Ours were among the first neighbourhoods in the City to adopt reduced speeds on local streets. We have added new cycling infrastructure on many streets in our ward, and created safe pedestrian and cycling access to the waterfront.

I will continue to be a voice to protect and cultivate city services that enrich all of our lives. As a large and growing City we need to invest in transit, housing, and childcare, at the same time we must keep all our other services up to the task of accommodating record population growth.



DONATE

- Gord Perks

Join Gord's Campaign











GET INVOLVED

Become a Volunteer

Donate

Request a Sign

CONTACT US

Email: info@gordperks18.ca

Mailing Address:

179 Sorauren Ave

Toronto, ON, M6R 2E7

Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Authorized by the CFO for the Gord Perks Campaign. Created with NationBuilder.

KALSANG DOLMA





My Story

Hello neighbour,

Parkdale has been my home for the last fourteen years. I came to Canada as a Tibetan refugee in 2004. Since then, as a resident and an organizer, I have devoted myself to working and leading in many different initiatives: youth empowerment and employment, immigrant settlement, arts and culture programs, community health advocacy, affordable housing, and access to justice.

KALSANG DOLMA

Ξ

452

Bloordale, Brockton, Parkdale and Roncesvalles—remain the same.

In this time of change, we need someone who brings a diversity of backgrounds and perspectives. Someone who understands the realities of both small business owners and rooming tenants, teachers and students, artists and accountants, lawyers and librarians, cyclists and drivers, Canadians new and old. Ward 18 needs a representative in city hall who will fight for our children and youth, for a more efficient public transit, stronger social services, greener parks, and bustling community hubs.

Because a Toronto that is healthier, more vibrant, accessible, and affordable is possible. And that can only be achieved through city councillors who are truly committed to innovation, inclusion, and representation. Your voice matters; representation matters.

A better Toronto is possible.

Believe in better.

Sincerely,

Kalsang Dolma

Toronto City Council Candidate, Ward 18 #believeinbetter #voteDOLMA

8/10/2018

KALSANG DOLMA FOR CITY COUNCILLOR WARD 18

Ξ

453

Source: Believe in better

CONTRIBUTE TO THE CAMPAIGN!

KALSANG DOLMA FOR CITY COUNCILLOR WARD 18 454



ABOUT

JOIN THE CAMPAIGN!




KALSANG DOLMA FOR CITY COUNCILLOR WARD 18





Created with NationBuilder.



ABOUT APRIL

I am very excited to be your candidate for Ward 20 Toronto City Councillor. I was born and raised in Toronto, attended Toronto public schools, and live and work around Ward 20. I am passionate about improving Ward 20 and I would be honoured to represent you at City Hall.

My goal is to make your daily life easier – by decreasing your commute time, making our roads safer, improving our green space, and maintaining affordability.

Before launching this campaign, I walked around the ward and spoke to local residents about important issues. I found that you have a lot to say

and I am eager to listen. After dozens of inspiring, lengthy and productive conversations, I am convinced that Ward 20 residents share my enthusiasm and commitment to this ward and this city. I designed my policies based on our shared vision.


I currently work as a litigation lawyer for an investment regulator, and was an entrepreneur prior to law school. I have experience working hard in fast-paced and high-stakes environments, advocating for others, and creating a business. I want to apply those skills to representing you.

If I am elected, I will advocate for Ward 20 every day.






Contabute to Aphilis Camparen




APRIL'S PLATFORM

April Engelberg for Toronto Ward 20




Investing in Ward 20's Transit




Safer, Practical Streets for Everyone




April Engelberg for Toronto Ward 20




New and Improved Parks in Ward 20




Green Initiatives



April Engelberg for Toronto Ward 20



Affordable Housing




CONTACT APRIL

Email: april.engelberg@voteforapril.com



SITE MAP

HOME
PLATFORM
CONTACT
CONTRIBUTE



Dear Neighbour,

Downtown Toronto is my home. Our vertical communities are where more of us live, work and get around than ever before. This is the moment to be bold and invest in building the infrastructure our growing communities require: more public spaces, parks, reliable transit, affordable housing, and support for local businesses.

As your public school board trustee, I have worked collaboratively to ensure our neighbourhoods are

welcoming to everyone, including families. That's why I'm proud to be opening Jean Lumb Public School, the first new downtown public school in twenty years. Now, working together, I believe we can make sure development serves all our neighbourhoods and that we create a downtown that is safe, people-centred and sustainable.

City hall needs new voices -- more people who have the vision and courage to fight for a better city. I am committed, determined and have the experience to work with you to make our vision a reality.

Sincerely,

Ausma Malik

Malik

Toronto City Council candidate, Ward 20



Get involved in our community campaign





READ AUSMA'S STORY

BECOME A **VOLUNTEER**

Connect with Ausma



Sign up for Ausma's community updates

Email address

Postal code

SUBSCRIBE

Give what you can to Ausma's campaign

\$5

-\$25

\$50

\$100

READ MORE

New Ward Boundaries

City of Toronto Elections Office

GET INVOLVED

Commit to vote

Volunteer

Donate

CONTACT US

Email: info@ausma.ca

Mail: 868 Ossington Ave, Toronto ON M6G 3V1

Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Created using NationBuilder powered by volunteers.

Photo credit: Andreea Muscurel.

Karlene Nation for Ward 20



On October 22nd Vote for Karlene Nation

Honesty, Integrity, Trustworthy

I am proud to run for Toronto City Councillor in Ward 20. I've lived in our community for twenty years. I'm familiar with all of the issues and challenges in our community.

I am passionate about this ward and will work hard to represent the concerns of residents. I will be a strong voice for Ward 20 residents and get your issues on the agenda at city hall.

Key issues for residents are:

- Community safety initiatives/enhanced security for neighborhoods
- · Community policing and calls for more security cameras
- Pro-business more support for local businesses
- Support for entrepreneurs and innovators
- Call for changes to the King Street Pilot Project to help businesses
- · Create more jobs and apprenticeship programs for youth

- More affordable and accessible housing and more Co-op housing
- Improved transit service in the community
- Greater fiscal accountability and transparency at city hall



Karlene Nation is the founder of a Toronto-based media and communications company. Her company helps small businesses and not-for-profit organizations to get media coverage. Karlene worked in broadcast news for twenty-three-years as a reporter and producer.

8/10/2018

She was the first journalist appointed to the position of Diversity Producer in a Canadian newsroom. She helped to increase coverage of Canadians of all ethnic, gender backgrounds in local and national news programs. She is a strong advocate for people with disabilities.

On October 22nd VOTE FOR KARLENE NATION, WARD 20

Contact Info

- 416-580-0675
- karlene@karlenenation.com

FIND US ON SOCIAL MEDIA

7



HELP OUR CAMPAIGN



Site Created by Cyber Pros Consulting. Visit Our Website to See How WE CAN HELP YOU.

473

AUNIGO

/Sabrina Uniga

For a Livable Toronto







474

8/10/2018

Sabrina Zuniga for a Livable Toronto

Let's create a livable downtown in our dynamic city. One where we support and encourage innovation and the entrepreneurial spirit, while we support the most vulnerable in our community.

I will listen to and actively consult with the community to achieve these goals. With your input, I will:

- Make our streets & sidewalks safe, and our transit reliable
- Keep our parks clean, and our trees healthy
- Support mental health, & physical health services to those in need
- Make housing affordable with mixed use neighbourhoods
- Keep the vibrancy of small unique shops and businesses

About Sabrina

- > Professional Life
- > Volunteer Life
- > Education
- ▶ Family Life

Tweets :. @SabrinaZunigaTO



Sabrina Zuniga

Tonight is the first Sall-In Cinema at Sugar Beach! You can join by land or water. The weather looks to be great for this fun event. @PortsToronto #SailinCinema #TOWaterfront #familyfun #summerinthecity






Embed

View on Twitter







REGISTER, DONATE OR VOLUNTEER AND MAKE A DIFFERENCE!

Gladys Larble For Toronto Centre - Gladys Larble For Toronto Centre

MEET GLADYS LARBI


Our campaign will only be as strong as our volunteers – people from around the province who are villing lend their time and energy to strengthening our community and our cause. Take the first ten involuncement to the community by signing up now.

VIEW BIO


VOLUNTEER



LATEST CAMPAIGN NEWS



Meet Gladys Larbie and The Team at



Tory Considering Moss Park Armoury



APRII

#CLE#

Join Up 1 Gladys Larbie For Toronto Centre - Gladys Larbie For Toronto Centre


8/10/2018


Women's March


as A Temporary Shelter


READ MORE


READ MORE


















8/10/2018

OUR CAMPAIGN IS POWERED BY CONTRIBUTIONS FROM SUPPORTERS LIKE YOU

3,233

CDN Dollars in Compaign Contributions So For

MAKE A DONATION TODAY



CONNECT WITH GLADYS LARBIE













LATEST POSTS

Join Us As We Clean Up Moss Park!

Team Gladys will be on hand on April 21 to help clean up Moss Park!...


Multifaith Vigil for Esen, Kinsman, Kayhan, Mahmudi & Lisowick

The Metropolitan United Church (56 Queen St E) will be hosting a Multi-faith Service on...

Today is Bell Let's Talk Day

Today, Bell Canada will be donating \$0.05 for each Bell SnapChat filter, text message, FaceBook...

TWITTER





Jennifer Hollett FOR CITY COUNCILLOR

Volunteer

Donate

Let's connect

489

I love Toronto. The neighbourhoods, the communities, the people. I love grabbing a chail latte at a local cafe that already knows my order. Being able to bike to and from work.

Calling St. Lawrence Market my local market. Everything is right here. The new Ward 21 includes Corktown, the Distillery District, St. Lawrence, Moss Park, the Canary District

and more.

As our city grows, and it is growing quickly, we need to make sure it continues to work. Too often three full streetcars pass by a group of us, or a bus doesn't show up at all. With the cost of housing, too many people are being priced out of the downtown core. While there are exciting new developments along the Waterfront, we need to ensure it's green, open and accessible.

In my work as a broadcast journalist I've had the great opportunity to cover stories on people and ideas that shape our city. Working at Twitter Canada, I've seen how technology can build community and create change around issues that matter most. This election is an opportunity to connect with our neighbours and work together to ensure we're building a city that makes us proud.

Let me know what you'd like to see in our community. Thanks!

Jennifer
@jenniferhollett
contact@jenniferhollett.com

Pitch in to help bring Jennifer to City Hall. Thank you for supporting Jennifer's campaign. Through your donation we can ensure that we print campaign marketing material, pay for office space and outfit our volunteers with noticeable swag. \$\frac{\$50}{100}\$

| | \$ <u>250</u> Other | |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| | | |
| | Will you volunteer? | |
| | Local politics is about people. We are building a team to connect our community of condos and co-ops to City Hall. Join us! | |
| | First Name | |
| | | |
| | Last Name | |
| | | |
| | Email | |
| | | |
| | Phone | |
| - | | |
| | | |
| | I have knocked on doors beforeI have made campaign phone calls before | |
| | ■ This is my first involvement in a political campaign | |
| | Save volunteer info | |
| ************************************** | | |

Stay in touch with the news, events, and issues of our community campaign. First Name*

Last Name*

491

Email*

Mobile phone

Postal code*


Send me news from Jennifer







Created with NationBuilder



OFFICIAL CAMPAIGN VIDEO



SIGN UP FOR CAMPAIGN UPDATES

Name *

Email '

Phone (optional)

Message (optional)

Send

TO LEARN MORE OR TO JOIN THE CAMPAIGN. CONTACT US ON



contact@joneallegher.com



(647) 563 3095



Campaign for Jon Callegher 260 Arlelaide St. East, Box 93 Toronto, ON M5A 1N1

FOLLOW THE CAMPAIGN ON SOCIAL MEDIA








io 2018 by the Campaign to Elect Jon Callegher







Meet Matthew Plourde

Matthew Plourde is the eldest of four children and has lived in the GTA his entire life. He first moved into the ward over a decade ago, and now lives with his wife Rachelle in Old Town.

Matt takes pride in his hardworking nature and looks forward to the opportunity to put it to work for you and all the residents of Ward 21 on Toronto City Council.



Let me be your voice in Ward 21.

I've got a plan for our community based on five key pillars: better transit, smart development, affordable housing, accountability, and bringing your voice to City Hall.

Together, we can make our city a better place. I hope I can count on your support in the upcoming election!

READ MY PLAN

Can I count on your vote?

First Name

Last Name

Email

Mobile Number

STAND WITH MATTHEY

Stay Connected

8/10/2018

496
Matthew Plourde for Ward 21 - Together, we can make our city a better place. I hope I can count on your support in the upcoming election!








FOR WARD 21

Built by eddt. Authorized by the official agent for the Matthew Plourde campaign.



"More than ever we need proven leadership at Toronto City Hall, Suzanne Kavanagh is that Community Champion in Ward 21. Her experience advocating for Quality Affordable Housing, Safer Streets and Better Transit will ensure our neighbourhood is represented by someone with experience from the community."

SUZANNE KAVANAGH KAVANAGHCAN.CA







https://suzannekavanagh.ca/



SUZANNE KAVANAGH EKAVANAGHCAN CA





Suzanne Kavanagh @SuzarneKavenagh

- Housing Affordability
- Better Transit
- Safer Bike Lanes and Sidewalks
- Less cars stuck in intersections
- Smarter Development
- More Co-op Housing
- Data-Based Decisions

Just in case anyone needed a reminder what I stand for #topoli #ward21 #CityofTO



[→



Suzanne Kavanagh

(3)Seant-nercavenegi:

Laura Carlson talking about the first few years of British Toronto on the @heritagetoronto Walk.

Did you know @StLawrenceMkt actually served as Toronto City Hall for a period of time?#seetorontonow #httours#Toronto



Embed

View on Twitter




Waterfront, Yonge to King, King to Jarvis, Jarvis to Shuter, Shuter to Dundas, Dundas to River, River to Don River, south on Don River to waterfront.

View a more detailed Ward 21 Boundary Map here.

We need a city councilor who will work for and speak up for the needs of inner-city children and families and for the charitable sector. With your experience and your commitment, you should be that councilor.

John O'Leary


CEO (former) Frontier College, Volunteer, Regent Park











GREETINGS FROM TIM

This is your home; This is my home. The all new Ward 21 is truly and arguably one of the best places to live in Toronto. The future is bright as tech companies and people looking for their next home, eye our amazing districts. 'East is home' and I want to help keep that statement one that is held

8/10/2018 Tim For Ward 21 | Corktown | Moss Park | Canary District | Historic Distillery District | Old Town / St. Lawrence Market | East Bayfront – E... strongly with pride by all of us.

With over 13 years of being a small business champion, I believe in supporting local business while carefully, and thoughtfully, attracting more business to our area. This brings jobs and an enhancement of everyday life, and all that is within our districts, in Ward 21. I also believe in ensuring we stay human and improve life for all walks. This includes focus on careful planning for green spaces, and ensuring access to affordable housing, for those who give everything of themselves and sometimes it just isn't enough at the end of the month. It's about coming together as one while celebrating the unique diversity and awesomeness of each district within Ward 21.

I am a doer. I have a passion for creating new ideas and fostering others' ideas with one common goal; The betterment of our Ward; Our home.

Reach out and let me know what you would like to see as part of my focus for your district within the new Ward 21. We are stronger together and I look forward to serving as your voice at City Hall.

BECOME A VOLUNTEER!

WARD 21 IS YOUR HOME!

Join Tim as a volunteer and part of our Team in helping to bring a fresh voice to City Hall to better Ward 21 and our home districts of Corktown, Canary District, Distillery District, Moss Park, East Bayfront and Old Town / St. Lawrence Market.

Full Name *

Fust Name

Last Name

E-mail *

ex myname@example.com


Phone Number *

Area Code

Phone Number

Comments or let us know your suggestions you would like to see part of Tim's focus as Councillor of Ward 21:

I WANT TO BECOME A VOLUNTEER!





" I AM EXCITED AND PROUD TO BE RUNNING AS YOUR VOICE AT CITY HALL FOR SUCH A DYNAMIC WARD.

I AM A DOER. I HAVE A PASSION FOR CREATING NEW IDEAS AND FOSTERING OTHERS' IDEAS WITH ONE COMMON GOAL; THE BETTERMENT OF OUR WARD; OUR HOME. "




MEET & GREET COMING SOON TO CELEBRATE MY OFFICIAL ENTERING INTO THE RACE FOR WARD 21 COUNCILLOR. STAY TUNED.

GET SOCIAL WITH OUR CAMPAIGN!

8





SIGN UP TO GET THE LATEST UPDATES

Join

Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Created with NationBuilder



Dear Residents,

I am proud to announce my candidacy for re-election in the new Ward 22 in Toronto's upcoming October 2018 municipal election. Over the past seven years as City Councillor, I have had the honour to work with residents, businesses and communities to build a vibrant, healthy and liveable Toronto.

I believe in a Toronto that is affordable, beautiful and creative. I believe in a Toronto where people of all ages can live, work and play, and where services are efficient and respond to the needs of all residents.

As City Councillor, I have:

Improved parks and public spaces

- Guided new development to address local needs
- · Built new affordable housing
- Fought for walkable streets and safer neighbourhoods
- Improved services and sustainable communities
- Led city-wide initiatives, such as TOCore and TransformTO

With the many changes to the ward boundaries, the new Ward 22 is closest to where I live and running here will allow me to continue working with sizeable communities such as Downtown Yonge, Ryerson University, McGill-Granby Village and the Garden District which I already represent and that will soon become part of the new Ward 22.

Looking ahead, I am excited to lead in the new Ward 22. I look forward to working with active and growing communities, including the dynamic Waterfront neighbourhood where I worked for over 16 years. I look forward to supporting the residents of Toronto Islands, Queens Quay, Old Toronto, South Core, Financial and Entertainment Districts in further enhancing their vibrancy. Learn more about the issues and what we can accomplish together.

I will continue to be a proven and principled leader at City Hall. I will work relentlessly on the issues that matter and bring both integrity and accountability to City Hall. I will proudly continue to be your city champion.

I look forward to working with residents and businesses in the new Ward 22. Learn more about my campaign and sign up to get involved.

Yours in service,

512

8/10/2018

Biston

Kristyn Wong-Tam

kristyn@kristynwongtam.ca

Will you volunteer?

First Name

Last Name

Email

Mobile phone

- Send me text messages

Address (Street, City, Province, Postal code)

When are you available? (optional)

Comments, other ideas, etc. (optional)

| Sign up for the latest news | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Email address | | | |
| JOIN | | | |
| Give what you can t | o Kristyn's campaign | | |
| \$26 | \$50 | | |
| \$100 | \$500 | | |
| | | | |

Kristyn Wong-Tam



READ KRISTYN'S LETTER

SIGN UP TO GET UPDATES

BECOME A **VOLUNTEER**

Kristyn Wong-Tarres (2018, All Rights Reserved, | Created with NationBuilder.



Join The Team

Sign up to receive updates from the candidate on the trail!

Email Address



----Living Downtown



JOSEPH RICHARD FORGET B.ADM., LL.B.

Is a bilingual lawyer (over 20 years of legal experience), who actually resides in Ward 22. Forget is a vegan and he is a community concerned individual. Forget wishes the best lifestyle for all residents of Ward 22 and all residents of the City of Toronto as well, which is his motivation to seek being elected your ward councillor. Forget wants to improve this community.

VOTE - FORGET - WARD 22



Forget will appreciate all support and confidence from anyone in the upcoming election on October 22, 2018. Forget wants to ensure that all residents in this crucial ward enjoy the best standard of life that the City of Toronto must offer in order to demonstrate to the world our truest values. Ward 22 is in the centre of downtown Toronto.

517



Ask yourselves this election, in Ward 22, why so many condo units are elected without any affordable housing? (meaning rents under \$1000 for a two bedroom, under \$750 for a single bedroom). Why are all small business leaving Ward 22? Certainly, I want better for my community.

Ward 22 is a diverse area starting from the north boundary at the exciting wonderful life on *College Street* and *Carleton Street*, home leisure and work to many wonderful people. The eastern boundary is from *Carleton Street* southerly down *Jarvis Street* to *King Street*, and *King Street* to *Yonge Street*, then *Yonge Street* southerly. The western boundary is from *College Street* southerly down University to *Queen Street*, and the entertaining *Queen Street* to *John Street*; then again southerly.

Doing the right thing

Voting is October 22, 2018 from 10am to 8pm with advance voting October 6, to October 14.

Electors can verify where to vote: myvote.toronto.ca

Electors can verify or update, their eligibility to vote at: voterlookup.ca

8/10/2018

VOTE - FORGET - WARD 22



Photo Gallery

Copyright © 2018 forgetward22 - All Rights Reserved.

Powered by GoDaddy Website Builder


519

520

8/10/2018







LOCAL CHAMPION


That means a record of getting things done working with the local community. (And winning all 4 elections I contested within Ward 23 boundaries).


8/10/2018

8/10/2018

ABOUT

Some of what you need to know.





OUR TEAM

8/10/2018

NEWS

http://georgesmitherman.com/

B/10/2018

City Council Candidate

GEORGE SMITHERMAN

Free Family BBQ

HALAL HOT DOGS & @ **SAMOSAS**

> Smitherman campaign.


FRIENDS

Saturday August 11

Noon-2:00PM







Approved by the CFO of the George

WWW.GEORGESMITHERMAN.COM

Join GEORGE in the Fight Against Dang Ford



Message SUBMITERINION 231B Carlton Streett, Toronto, ON M5A 2L2 smithermantoronto@gmail.com 416 807 6626

f a 0





Our campaign is officially launched!

Thank you Ward 23 for an amazing night.

See more pictures from Walled's Campaign Launch on the blook and Tell of

Watch Walied's Campaign Launch Video





17000 March 1900 Parker 23 Official Compaign Launch - Walled Khogall All - Ward 23 - Tuesday, July 24th 2018

Walled's Story

Walied Khogali witnessed his parents make many sacrifices to give him and his six siblings the best possible opportunities, instilling the values of hard work, education and social responsibility. This inspired Walied to "pay it forward" and dedicate himself to public and community service.

Walied has made Regent Park his family home since 2005. He has worked to champion issues such as affordable transit, environmental stewardship, equity and human rights in both the community and across the city. Walied is known for his work with residents from all backgrounds to create safe, inclusive, sustainable communities that are free from discrimination and hate. As a Canadian Muslim who immigrated from Sudan, he has experienced firsthand what it's like to be a newcomer youth growing up in Toronto. Walied is passionate about building a society in which all people can reach their full potential.

His professional track record reflects this commitment. Since 2016, Walied has worked to support the campaigns of the United Way, as well as in communications at the Labour Community Services of Toronto. Walied served as the President of the Toronto Environmental Alliance, and is a founding member of the transit advocacy organization, TTC Riders. Walied has also held key positions in a number of organizations including the Canadian Arab Federation and the Toronto and York Region Labour Council.

He is the Co-Founder of the Coalition Against White Supremacy and Islamophobia (CAWSI). This coalition of 170+ organizations, mobilized a national day of action in February 2017 in response to the Trump administration's "Muslim ban" and the deadly islamophobic and racist attack on a mosque in Quebec City in January 2017. Walled is a community mentor for youth experiencing marginalization, and an advocate for education and career opportunities, as well as meaningful youth participation in public discourse.

Walled is committed to helping the community, please help us elect Walled by committed and walled each today! And be sure to vote, WALIED KHOGAĻI for City councillor - Ward 23.



| | Opportunity to run associatity Countries. | |
|--------------------------|---|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | тантан | |
| First Name | | |
| Last Name | | |
| Email | | |
| Mobile phone (optional) | | |
| Address | | |
| Comments | | |
| | | 2011 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Don't post this publicly | | |
|) want to volunteer | | |
| Send melemail updates | | |
| Send me text messages | | |
| | | |

 \equiv


Walied Khogali © 2018. All Rights Reserved.

* Email address



Sign in with \mathbb{Z}^2 , where \mathcal{L}_{p} or \mathcal{L}_{p} . We

Treate, worth I off who



Dear Neighbours,

Over the last four years, it has been a privilege to represent our downtown communities. As they continue to grow and change, we've welcomed new neighbours and new growth, and with that comes new challenges and opportunities. In this fall's Municipal Election, I have decided to run to continue our work together in the new Ward 24. Read my community letter on my decision here.

We've accomplished so much together. We've expanded community services and facilities that we all rely on. We've built new parks and greenspaces, We've expanded services so all our friends and neighbours have a chance to access what they need, and deserve. We've built historic bike lanes and made safer streets for all, expanded public space and prioritized public transit.

We've worked together to implement life-saving harm reduction services. And, we've fought together to support local business, protect arts and culture, and expand the vibrancy of so many of our unique downtown neighbourhoods.

Together, we've built more liveable, caring, and sustainable neighbourhoods, and a better city.

But, there's so much more to do. Together, we can build the neighbourhoods, and city, of our dreams.

We need effective, local, leadership that embraces change and invests in our community with compassion and integrity.

I hope to continue to do that as the City Councillor for the new ward 24.


With thanks,

Joe Cressy

City Council Candidate for Ward 24



Get involved in our campaign



Re-Elect Joe Cressy for City Councillor Ward 24



| Sign up for updates from Joe | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Email address | | |
| Postal code | | |
| | SUBSCRIBE | |
| Give what y | you can to Jo | e's campaign |
| \$5 | | \$25 |

\$50

READ MORE

New Ward Boundaries
City of Toronto Elections Office

GET INVOLVED

Pledge to vote

Volunteer

Donate

CONTACT US

Email: info@joecressy.ca

Mail: 868 Ossington Ave, Toronto ON M6G 3V1

Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Created with NationBuilder.

537

Toronto City Council OUT City, Your Choice

Marc Albert Cormier





ABOUT MARC

Marc has lived in various neighborhoods of Toronto for the past 26 years: from Moore Park to the Annex, from Davenport to Little Italy and finally the Grange.






MY VISION FOR WARD 24

Will be updated on August 10th, 2018. Thank you for your patience. You can also contribute to the platform by volunteering with us.



8/10/2018

Marc Albert Cormier - Candidate for City Council in #Ward24.



MY VISION FOR TORONTO

Will be updated on August 10th, 2018. Thank you for your patience. You can also contribute to the platform by volunteering with us.



| ma n Praide | |
|--------------|--|
| 19131 | Marine Control Who control Who Who Who Who I was a second of the control Who Who Who Who Who Who Who W |
| strar Status | Contract the second sec |
| ٠, | THE SECTION OF STATES OF SECTION |
| Contact | · |
| dairst | A STATE OF THE STA |
| acat in | $oxed{E}$. The second of E is the E |
| | - 田水 Company of School 14 mark Ger Coffs |

WEBSITE PROUDLY HOSTED IN CANADA

AUG 7, 2018 MARCCORMIERWARD24_G3R51241

蓝 CANADIAN MADE

I can providly care that my campaign waheita for #Mard74 is bactad on Canadian Canadra and

ontinued to flow into the city's elect up to run in wards that will vanish

name on the registration paper, Mancumbent Cressy was running unor

mocracy," Cormier said. "Now we ca

TORONTO STAR INTERVIEW

JUL 27, 2018 MARCCORMIERWARD24_G3R51241 🗮 QUOTES AND NEWS


Quote in the Toronto Star, Friday Edition, July 27th 2018: Marc Cormier decided to run in Ward 24, after he heard incumbent Cressy was running unopposed. "It's not good for him or democracy" Cormier said. "How we can have a healthy debate."

540

Tweets : @marc_a_cormier



Marc Albert Cormier



Question for @TCATonline - are there specific reasons safe bike lanes are not being installed on secondary or residential roads within the city instead of major thoroughfares?





Marc Albert Cormier



្នាស់ ស្រុក ពេក្សាស

I just filled out @TCATOnline's survey of council candidates. #BuildTheVisionTO: Safe and Active Streets for All





□ September 1976 Add to Compare 1976 Add to 1



The Napanee Beer Co.

เมืองสมุดกระทั่งสา

Red by to the manufactor and 5 others.

We will absolutely not participate in the "buck a beer" exercise. Our beer is well made, high quality and award winning — and its price reflects that. There are a lot of things that our province needs, but "buck a beer" isn't one of them.



Aug 6, 2010

🖼 war 🕖 on finance flowers




Don't Blame Me

্ৰেপ্তত ক্ষতি কৰ

Replying to QCountries in @Great all assess

As soon as I heard about GLB's brave stand against the #BuckABeer bully I ran to my #beerfridge and cracked open an #octopuswantstofight to toast their decision Bravo people BRAVOII!





Embed

View on Twitter

#TOPOLI

541

Toronto Politics

A Transfer by @AgentRalphEvans



TorontoStar 🤣

@Parketa Yel

A construction worker was airlifted to a trauma centre with life-threatening injuries after falling at a construction site in Vaughan Friday morning, York Regional Police say.thestar.com/news/gta/2018/...



Worker airlifted to hospital in life-threatening condition after fall at Vaughan construction site...

A male construction worker in his 50s fell and was taken to a trauma centre by Omge air ambulance in life-threatening co... thesial.com









jump around @Jodies.hoops.id

Embed

View on Twitter

. 7,


SITE OVERVIEW

About Marc

Contact Marc

Donation Confirmation

| Department in its its de | | > | į |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Donation Failed | | | |
| Donation History | | | |
| En français | | > | |
| _My Vision-For Taronto | | <u> </u> | |
| My Vision For Ward 24 | | > | |
| New Ward 24 | | > | |
| Voter Information | | > | |
| Voter Information: Appoint A Proxy V | oter | > | |
| Voter Information: Identification | | → | |
| Voter Information: Voting Days | | > | |
| Voter Information: Who Can Vote | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | |
| DAFT O | | | |
| META | | | |
| | | : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Log in | | | |
| Entries <u>RSS</u> | | > | |
| Comments <u>R\$\$</u> | | · | |
| WordPress.org | | > | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 41 1 | nt: Up Therres Ltd (1996) (1997) Wordpa | 825 | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



BRING PROVEN LEADERSHIP #FORWARD25

| | JOIN | 110 |
|---|------|-----|
| f | JOIN | UB |

JOIN US

Count on my vote l'Il take a sign

Email

Mobile Phone

Postal Code

Elect Chris Moise #forWard25

We need to hit the ground running to keep our new Ward 25 progressing forward -- for neighbourhoods that are:



Safe

Our community is our home; it's where we all belong. Together we will make our ward safe, secure, and comfortable for everyone.




Affordable


Our homes, our neighbourhoods, and our communities are what make Toronto truly incredible, and together we're going to keep it within reach for everyone.



Livable

Vibrant, livable neighbourhoods are the key to our downtown. Together we will fight for better development, vital amenities, and great public spaces.





https://www.chrismoise.ca/

John Jeffery Candidate for Councillor, Ward 25, Toronto

Why am running for city council?

I generally support the direction that Mayor Tory has been taking the city; a good balanced approach to many of the issues.

I am concerned the views of many candidates running in this election may hinder advancing long term projects in the city which are to the benefit of all citizens not just a vocal minority.

Toronto is a great city that could be greater. We are going through a period where we have an opportunity create a better city. We should be planning in 10 to 20 year horizons. deciding how the city should look and function. Making decisions with 4 year horizons when successive council redoes and undoes work from previous councils is wasteful and counterproductive to building a city.

The amount of money that is wasted in making changes could be better directed to assisting people in the city that need help.

I believe that I would make a good councilor. I am driven to make Toronto a better place to live and work for our children and grandchildren while at the same time dealing with the day to day issues that irritate the current residents. With my decades of experience running a variety of companies I have learned many skills which I look forward to using to make this a better city for all.



John Shi da Shier Veronica Muddy York Rugby maloi, at Floriber's Fields Some Issues.

City planning should be based on longer horizons, ideally 10 – 20 years. Short term planning leads to waste and no master plan where developers call the shots and we end up tearing apart neighbourhoods. City planners (the experts) should lead this work with politicians providing a sounding board. City planning must include the integration of transportation systems.

Affordable housing, the city can not fund the repairs to it's existing stock of affordable housing. More innovative methods must be used. Public, private initiatives have proved that they work well and should be looked at to relieve this long running issue. Regent's park and the St Lawrence developments are examples of projects that work. Why can we simply not replicate these models?

Protection of certain neighbourhoods. With the rate of development in the area the Village the character and the look of the Village wont be around much longer. Yorkville has

549

carved out a niche as a thriving village within the city, it's a great place to spend time and attract tourists. What would it take to replicate Yorkville in the Village?

Speed up the approval process for developments that dovetail in the city's master development plan. Projects should be able to move ahead quicker, we're still working on Regent's Park 30 years after it was proposed.

Our streetscapes need to be made more friendly to all users. Currently sidewalks are too narrow in many parts of the city. New buildings are not set back to give adequate space to users of the sidewalks and roads. They give the impression of walking in a canyon without any character or beauty. Perhaps the use of more one way streets in the city would allow for wider sidewalks, bike tanes, and the integration of public transit and vehicle traffic in the remaining space. Pedestrian enjoyment would increase, cyclists would be better protected and traffic would flow easier.

Background.

I have lived in and worked in Toronto since the 60's, residing in the downtown core since graduating from Ryerson.

Professional life has included; management consulting, plant management in Toronto's garment district, and owning and operating several businesses in Toronto, with operations in Europe, Asia and South America.

I've been fortunate to have traveled extensively.

Mentored young adults.

Actively involved in gay sports leagues in Toronto. Canadian representative for International Gay Rugby.

Lucky to have a wonderful daughter and supportive family.

CONCERNS FORM

What are your concerns and ideas which would improve Toronto?

Postal Code *

| Name | |
|--|-----------|
| First | Last |
| Email | |
| | |
| What are you concerned with? | Concerned |
| Acts of Violence using Guns | |
| Traffic Congestion | 0 |
| Developers shutting down lanes and sidewalks | s O |
| Gang Violence | |
| Condition of Affordable Housing Stock | 0 |
| Location of Safe Injection Sites | 0 |
| Streetscapes that are not user friendly | 0 |
| Inadequate set back of new buildings | 0 |
| Reuction of city council to 25 councilors | 0 |
| Please use this space to list any other | |
| concerns that you may have, if you | |

What could be altered to make this a better city?.

have a potential solution please give it.

11

4/8

May we contact you?

○Yes



I CONTACT US



Name *

Email *

Subject *

Please provide you postal code

Postal Code


Message, comments and ideas. *

May we contact you?

Yes

○No

MY FACEBOOK PAGE





Be the first of your friends to like this

| FOLLOW ME ON TWITTER

Tweets @gyunm φÇ




John Jeffery ভুটা ভালা

Thank you for trying. Let's hope DOFO listens. Not holding my breath.








A great organization @TIRFregby





[->



Mike Schreiner 🕹

I just voted in favour of second reading of private member motion 13 to end carding and destroy the data collected from carding and motion 11 to oppose cuts to mental health services. And supported the voice vote on motion 10 to reduce youth unemployment. #onpoli









Bob Rae 🧐

Anyone who thinks these young people are acting on their own is naive in the extreme. This is all choreographed by Central Casting.









John Jeffery

Shame on DOFO









Steve Palkin 💆

i wonder whether it's time to name, shame, and embarrass the political staffers who debase themselves by behaving in this unprecedentedly unprofessional way at government news conferences, shame on all of you. #onpoli






1. 1215


Embed

View on Twitter



555

Jules Monteyne for Ward 25



ABOUT

I chose Toronto as my home more than fifteen years ago when I came here with my family. I was standing in the middle of Nathan Phillips Square as thousands peacefully protested an unjust war in Iraq. At that moment, I knew I would make Toronto my home.

https://www.julesmonteyne.ca/

I have lived in Downtown Toronto for nearly a decade. I have invested in and grown with this community first as a student, always as an artist, and professionally as a lawyer. I know how much our city has to offer, and I am inspired every day to help it improve.

I will use my experience as a private sector attorney to give a clear and firm voice to our community on Council. I will bring fresh ideas on how to transport and house a growing population safely and comfortably. I will build upon Toronto's status as one of North America's premier technology hubs by nurturing a new generation of Toronto's independent innovators.

I have a lot to offer to the future of this city, and I will bring fresh blood to City Council. I am humbled by this opportunity, and I look forward to earning your support as we work together to make this community safer, more efficient, and even better than it already is.

VOTER PLEDGE

This campaign will be successful only if we can identify supporters and ensure they know how to vote!

Can I count on your vote?



I support Jules.



support Jules, tell me more.



I do not support Jules.

Do you like this page?

| | f Sign in with Facebook | |
|---------|---|------------------|
| | Sign in with Twitter | |
| | | |
| | ing in Charles Company in the contract of the | |
| | Email address | |
| | JOIN | |
| Sign in | with Footbook, Tanger or coast. Created wit | th Fan CM, 1906) |

d | WARD 25 Community Advocacy About Nicki Background

1jcki ソンスD ソソスRD 25

<u>Join Our Team</u>






ommunity
Associations, Local
A, Sherbourne Health,
http://www.nickiward.ca/



Advocacy
Federal Human Rights, OMB
Reform, Housing, CAP Report,



About Nic Live, work, shop & p neighbourhood, active 1/2

8/10/2018 Nicki Ward - WARD 25

munity Centre, & more Community Safety & more

family, friends and Wis

More

More


More

Nicki Ward, a well-loved and effective community advocate is running for city council in war grass-roots, local and incredibly well qualified. She's a perfect councillor but only if we get

ij

If you know her, have heard her speak or have seen her on TV or radio fighting for our cor you know she is an amazing woman. She is exactly what we need for our community. If yo her, ask around, explore this website and her profiles in social media. What you will discovarticulate, caring woman who is dedicated to being of service.

25 Campaign Suite 225 25












8/10/2018







MEET RYAN



Donate



CONTINUE

Yes! I will volunteer with Ryan.

START

Events



05:00 PM


+ INFO & RSVP

5th Floor Party Room Toronto, ON, Canada

Campaign Launch & Fundraiser

Join us to officially launch the Campaign to Elect Ryan Lester as your City Councillor...

EVENTS CALENDAR





hello a ryanlester.ca
Campaign Office: 647-725-9699
© 2018 All Rights Reserved
Made with NationBuilder | Built by Tectonica

Like Jave on <u>Facebook</u> Follow Jave on <u>Twitter</u> <u>Donate</u> to Jave's Campaign

RE-ELECT

Jaye ROBINSON

FOR WARD 27

CITY VISION, COMMUNITY VOICE.









DEAR FRIENDS AND NEIGHBOURS,

It has been a true honour to serve the residents of Ward 25 - Don Valley West and I'm excited to announce my candidacy for the new Ward 27!

As you may know, the City of Toronto's ward boundaries are changing and Ward 25 will become Ward 27 for the upcoming municipal election. I'm excited to see the Ward boundaries extend south to Eglinton Avenue and look forward to working with the new residents of Ward 27.

The past four years have been incredibly productive in Ward 25 and across the City of Toronto. I would like to sincerely thank all of the residents who worked toward the betterment of our community this term!

On City-wide issues, I spearheaded Toronto's data-driven Road Safety Plan, advocated for the Downtown Relief Line, implemented new waste management strategies, and accelerated the clean-up of the Don River and Toronto waterfront. Together with the residents of Ward 25, I've championed new, neighbourhood-based approaches to community planning and the development process. I've also introduced policies to protect Toronto's tree canopy and enhance green spaces throughout the City.

In Ward 25, I've worked closely with residents' associations and neighbourhood groups to address local issues and revitalize community amenities. Over my two terms as your City Councillor, I've moved more than 250 motions at Community Council to protect and enhance our neighbourhoods. In consultation with local community leaders, I've spearheaded initiatives to implement new residential infill construction regulations, revitalize parks, and improve safety in Ward 25.

Don't forget to subscribe below for email updates on my campaign and as always, please do not hesitate to contact me with your questions or concerns.

Warm regards,

Jahra gapier

Jaye Robinson

contact@jayerobinson2018.ca







CONNECT WITH JAYE

Name *

First Name

Last Name

Email Address *

Subject *

Message *


SUBMIT

Subscribe

Sign up with your email address to receive news and updates on Jaye's campaign:

568


Jaye Robinson







ASFILEY For Ward 27







My name is Kyle Ashley - you may know me as <u>Our Revivie</u> on social media, Toronto's safe streets advocate.

Today, I am pleased to announce my campaign for City of Toronto Councillor, Ward 27, Don Valley West.

It was during my time as a former parking officer, and as an advocate for reducing road violence, that I discovered my life's true calling: making our roads safe. The City of Toronto needs safer roads for driving, cycling, walking and for transit users, whether you are 8 or 80 years old.

Over the past year, I have spent time travelling across Canada giving keynote lectures to various institutions such as The University of Toronto's Faculty of Medicine, the Ontario Traffic Council, the Bike Minds Talk-Show and the South Western Bicycle Summit.


I also have provided keynote addresses on my experiences as a former LGBTQ officer and advocate of anti-bullying initiatives through the International Day of Pink.

I look forward to being the next City Councillor in Ward 27, Don Valley West, representing your voice and issues that impact the way you live, work and travel around our great city, safely!

I'm ready to get Toronto moving!

Yours truly,


Kyle Ashley



Authorized by the CFO for the Kyle Ashley Campaign - Toronto 2018.

Powered by NationBuilder

3/3



About Gerald

Gerald is a community leader and one of Ontario's most influential young adults of his generation.

Read More



Representing Your Interest. Standing Up for Willowdale.

Dear Neighbours,

I am proud to call Willowdale our home. Growing up, I had the privilege of attending our public schools such as Willowdale MS and Earl Haig Secondary. What Willowdale needs is new leadership for the fresh horizon that lies before us. Which is why I have decided to run in this fall's Municipal Election.

MY COMMITMENT TO WILLOWDALE IS:



Safer Streets and Hiring More Officers



Stop the Reduction of Lanes on Yonge



Fair and Democratic Community Processes on City Development



Greener Technologies




Fiscally-Responsible Leadership

I have spent over 15 years volunteering in our community, supporting many organizations such as Big Brothers Big Sisters, Community Policing Liaison Committee, Digital Media Zone, Toronto District School Board, Princess Margaret Hospital and both Ryerson and McMaster University.

What we are witnessing in our city streets is an unprecedented rise in violence. Having served as Executive Director on the Community Policing Liaison Committee, former Chair of the Chief's Youth Advisory Committee, I can tell you first-hand how crucial policing plays in building a community with safer streets. At the heart of this issue is giving our officers the resources they need to forge lasting relationships with the communities they serve and improving the quality of life in our neighbourhoods.

574




อนดู trieres so made more we need to do: As a cyclist, ram strong believer of bike lanes on safe side is an uning it ราวาย โดย รอง เล เล อนr community. Putting cyclists in harm's way will cause another war with motorists. I will

expand our bike lanes on dedicate streets without jeopardizing our already congested streets.

Together, we will build a Willowdale that represents all of us through a fair process for our collective progress. A safe and strong community.

I hope you will join me in this new chapter for Willowdale.

Serald Gerald



575





Gerald Mak for Willowdale @Geralestal

Thanks @JohnTory for celebrating this long weekend with our fellow neighbours from #Willowdale **

What a great turnout! #northyork #willowdale ##ward28 #ward29 #topoli #onpoli #cltyhall #torontofood #torontolife #the6 #longweekend #bbq #summervibes



≝nıbed

View on Twitler

GERALD MAK FOR WILLOWDALE

CONTACT

Gerald Mak Campaign PO Box 1039 Toronto, Ontario MSK 192 Integrga stomakca









Copyright 2018 Cerafti Mak

FOR CITY COUNCILLOR O'BRIEN FEHR



Home /

because this is our home

Dear Neighbours,

Willowdale is where I have lived for over 15 years. It's the place my wife Vicky and I have chosen to make a home and raise our young family.

Like you, I care about our community. I want safe streets and neighbourhoods, a government that spends our money wisely, and investment in public transit to relieve

8/10/2018

congestion. I want to control development and make sure we have the schools, parks, daycare spaces and transportation capacity that we need for a rapidly growing population.

I believe in Willowdale's bright future. I look forward to getting us there by working hard with you as Councillor for our new Ward 28.





contact

Contact

E-mail: campaign@votemarkus.ca

Phone: 647-920-VOTE (8683)


Twitter: @votemarkus

Facebook: markusobrienefehr

Instagram: markusobrienefehr

Linked In: markusobrienefehr

Contact us



First Name

Last Name

Email

Mobile phone (optional)

- Send me cmail updates
- ✓ Send me text messages

Address (Street, City, State, Postal code)



Do you like this page?

48 people like this, Sign Up to see what your friends like.

+ئ

SIGN IN WITH:








OR SIGN UP:

Email address



| ., | eko (konsulational) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|--|
| | connect | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | get updates | | | | |
| | Email address | | | ขอเท _ี | |
| | w t | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | Sign in with Facebook, Twitter | or email. | | | |
| | Created with NationBuilder | | | | |
| | using a public theme by eStree | er. | | | |
| | | * | ** | | |




Meet Lily Cheng

I'm Lily Cheng, your neighbour and candidate for City Councillor in the new Ward 29. I love Willowdale - it's where I am raising my family.

I'm passionate about building community. As a young mom, I started North York Moms, a community organization which now includes more than 7,000 families. In response to the van attack, I co-founded We Love Willowdale to bring healing to our neighbourhood.

Willowdale has grown into a vibrant and beautiful community, but with that growth comes its own set of challenges. Development, infrastructure and safety are key concerns. These challenges require a leader who understands the impact of these issues on residents and can make the right decisions for Willowdale now and for the Willowdale of the future. I look forward to speaking with you about your dreams for our new ward. Vote for someone who will champion our community on October 22.

LEARN MORE



Endorsements




"When I knew that Willowdale would be divided into two wards for this October's municipal election, Lily Cheng was the first person I thought of to become councillor in the new Ward 29. She knows Willowdale, is a natural leader, and has the vision to solve problems and bring people together. I enthusiastically support Lily Cheng as the new councillor for our community." - John Filion, City Councillor Willowdale



"Our family met Lily 6 years ago, ever since we've been drawn to her contagious enthusiasm for family and passion for our community. Lily not only has our vote, she has our fondest respect. Thanks for all you do in our community Lily! We support you all the way!" - Victoria & Ed Wilson, Residents of Willowdale



"We would like to highly recommend Lily Cheng for city councillor. We are residents of the Willowdale community for 15 years and have three young boys. One of us is a Senior Director at one of the top five banks and a full time parent while being deeply engaged in the school and neighbourhood community. We have personally known Lily for more than 5 years and can personally attest to her strong work ethic, her passion for her community and absolute integrity. We have always been impressed with Lily's vision to bring people together and her success in building an impressive community of families in North York. Lily is not afraid to back up her beliefs with her commitment of her time and effort. Lily is able to deal with a variety of people and personalities, yet not lose her cool, remain impartial and still get the job done. We see that as a gift that will be of great value to our community in her role as city councillor. Lily's passion, vision and love of her community is exactly what our city and ward needs most at this time. "- Warren & Patricia Mathias, Resident of Ward 29




"I've known Lily for just over three years and was impressed with her sincere concern for people, she reflects this concern through prayer and action. I've seen her follow through on commitments, making a difference in the people she's touched. I've watched her lead and motivate people to serve together for the well being of groups and individuals. I'm one of those people and am happy to continue to


584

8/10/2018

Lily Cheng - City of Toronto Councillor Candidate for Ward 29 Willowdale

support her since her motivations are for others and not self." - Doug McDougall, Former Senior VP of Celestica





Friends,

It is time for change in North York. We need new leadership that brings people together and responds to the needs of Bayview Village, Bayview Woods-Steeles, and Hillcrest Village.

I live in the community, I work in the community, and I've been part of this community my whole life. I'm running again because I want to deliver change that works for you.

After the 2014 campaign, I never stopped fighting for the issues that matter to our community; committing my time to showing up and helping wherever I could. Together we can achieve so much at the

Dan Fox for Ward 30

8/10/2018

grassroots level, and we can do even more if we had a voice at City Council who works with us and for us.

We sparked a movement last time, we built on it for three years, and together we can prove that community-driven leadership can accomplish anything.

This campaign is not about me, it's about us. I'd like to invite you to be a part of it by signing up to volunteer, making a donation, and joining my email list and following the campaign on social media for updates on events and special announcements.

We need smarter development that includes community benefits and a process that listens and delivers on promises. Together we will stand up for much-needed infrastructure, schools, libraries, community centres, retail stores, green space, traffic control and more. We deserve a seat at the table for a change.


Please feel free to reach out any time. I look forward to hearing from you, meeting with you, and learning how we can build a better community together.


Yours,


Dan Fox

dan@danfox.ca


Change that works for you.








Dan Fox for Ward 30









I've been living in this community for the past 15 years with my husband Alex and my two lovely children, Andrew and Fiona. I share your values and I understand your concerns. I know Ward 31 can be better!

I worked as a paralegal for many years but changed my career to pursue my passion in children and education. I am now an entrepreneur; I founded and operate two language schools and I also run sports camps for youth.

I decided to run for City Councilor because I have seen an increase in violent crimes hitting our communities in recent years and felt that something has to be done. I made up my mind when I learned that two children were shot in a local playground in June. Enough is enough! I see it as my civil duty to run for office and push for more police and safer communities.


In the next couple of months, I will be canvassing and knocking on your doors. I look forward to meeting with you and hearing your concerns. Together, I believe we can make Toronto a seler and better place to live, work and raise a family.

Sincerely,

Christina Liu



Christina Liu, Your Voice as Toronto Ward 31 City Councilor



Ken Lister

This site was designed with the WIX.com website builder. Create your website today.

About Ken

liam seeking to be elected as our City Councillor because libelieve we can do better. I live in Don Valley North in Ward 31 with my young family. For four years, I have served as our School Trustee and served as Chair of the Budget Committee with a \$3.1 Billion Operating Budget I believe my diverse experience at the school board, provincial and federal levels of government will allow me to effectively serve the residents of Ward 31. We need a strong voice to build subways and make our streets safer. I believe we need more townhalls, youth job, fairs, and term limits for city councillors. I hope to have your trust on voting day, October 22.



Twitter @kenlister1

@kenlister t

Fri Aug 10 2018

RT @RaiphGoodale All of Canada stands with the two brave Fredericton Police officers who lost their live; protecting their community today.

Gkenlister t

Frt Aug 10 2018

FT &tdsb During this evening's Special Board meeting, Trustees actiq trisminglices were a beyongque for Treating ward beendaries. More ៣(១៣ អ

@kenlister?

Thu Aug 09 2018

Well, it finally happened to me. After 41 years, yesterday was the first time a blid son me. Was canvassing and just leaving a house, but was just steps from my can where this divides and water .

@LenBster1

Thu Aug 09 2018

R: - Alenn Jer_Arp , Two of there options will be #Ept aw combail d with St. Pour I FESB Broad meet. this evening to make a decision

Wed AUG 08 2018

R' @Tourre cobs? It have been a strong supporter of Rankert Ballets for many years, and am provid to













VIDEO:

With Stephen LeDrew on CP24 discussing keeping marijuana away from schools, Oct 20, 2017


Trustee Moves Against Hate Speech Toronto Sun August 17, 2017

VIDEO With Jie Yang of Omni discussing Chinese Heritage Month February 8, 2017

VIDEO With Naomi Parness of CTV

This site was designed with the WIX.com website builder. Create your website today.


MODE BAL



https://www.kenlister.ca/

Ken Lister

This site was designed with the WIX.com website builder. Create your website today. Start Now repairs at the TDSB, Δίται et 1/1. 2015 **VIDEO** With Stephen LeDrew on CP24 discussing report cards, June 17, 2015 G'chal? **VIDEO** With Mark Carcasole of Global discussing school closures, February 10, 2015



Friends,

Over the past 15 years, we have watched our community grow into one of Toronto's most vibrant neighbourhoods. New facilities and services, more housing, increased development and a growing population have changed the landscape of our community for the better.

For those 15 years I had the honour of serving as your City Councillor, and I'm proud of what we accomplished together — but there is still so much work to do.

That's why I am announcing my candidacy for re-election as your City Councillor for Ward 31 (formerly named Ward 33) in Toronto's upcoming municipal election.

It's our same great community — from Highway 401 to Finch, the Don Valley River Trail to Victoria Park Ave, plus Shawnee Park — with a brand new number and name: Ward 31, It is a pivotal spot in Toronto and it deserves the best representation possible.

I am running again to work with you to advance Ward 31 with a "quality of life" approach. Growing communities like ours need representatives who know how to advocate for progress while keeping the best interests of residents in mind, and I hope to continue to do just that.

As City Councillor, I:

- Delivered and upgraded community spaces we rely on;
- Introduced Toronto's Basement Flooding Mitigation program;
- Conducted Toronto's first-ever Participatory Budgeting Process;
- Served as Chair of the City's Budget Committee during the global financial crisis;
- Created the "Ward 33 Weekly E-blast" to directly engage with residents on the issues of the day.

In the coming months, the campaign will begin in full force. There will be plenty of thoughtful debate on how to move our community forward. As the citywide election unfolds, I think you will see now is the time for strong leadership, action and experience from someone who has always seen public service as a 24/7 calling and not just a job.

Taking exciting ideas and exploring them with community members is something that takes energy, leadership and a really super team — that's where you come in! If you are interested in volunteering or donating to the campaign, sign up below.

I am looking forward to working with all of you over the next few months as we discuss and debate our vision for Ward 31 for the next four years.

Yours,

Shelley Carroll

Candidate for City Council - Ward 31

8/10/2018

STAY CONNECTED



DONATE NOW!



RECEIVE UPDATES & GET INVOLVED

Name *

First Name

Last Name

Email Address *

Phone *

(###)

###

####

Address *

Address 1

Address 2

City

State/Province

Zip/Postal Code

Country

How Can You Help?
I want to knock on doors
I want to make phone calls





Election 2018 Thoughful Change

Elect Stephen Ksiazek
Toronto City Councillor Ward 32 Don Valley East

Photo Gallery Contact About Stephen Home Support our Campaign POLLS OPEN IN: 10=17- 43 Make a Contribution Today Hours Minutes Seconds October 32, 2018 Click Here to Contribute VOLUNTEER VOLUNTEER Click here to CONTRIBUTE to my campaign. PER HABITAL HARA **Get Updates** CO Email Address Don Valley East Ward 32 Select Language Thoughtful Change Powered by Go gle Translate News



Slephon Kste wa





Be the first of your friends to like





Stephen Ksiazek Ward 32

on Thurzday

Someone in the neighbourhood took this picture of the blimp on the weekend of the World Cup with a drone. We had the French and Croatian flags flying.

Stephen Ksiażek-

Mobile Version | Full Site



Q & △ =




Jon Burnside

I have been proud to serve as your Councillor for the last four years. Whether it's been as a member of the Mayor's Executive, championing the needs of all residents or just spinning candy floss to help local charitable fundraisers, I am committed to the people of Don Valley West (now to become Ward 33).


I want to continue working hard for our community and would appreciate your support.

CLICK TO DONATE

DONATIONS (SORRY WE ARE UNABLE TO ACCEPT CORPORATE OR UNION DONATIONS)



\$100 Donation \$100.00



\$300 Donation \$300.00





8/10/2018 Jon Burnside Campaign

SIDE

\$500 Donation \$500.00

SIDE

\$750 Donation \$750.00

BURNSIDE ON YOUR SID C

\$1000 Donation \$1,000.00

BURNSIDE ON YOUR SIDE

Other Donation - Select multiples of \$20 \$20.00



https://jonburnside.com/




Search

© 2018, Jon Burnside Campaign Powered by Shopify



≡





₩ Follow

Lacence for reference on version

Level de la completa del completa de la completa del completa de la completa de la completa del completa

This includes the factor becomes the constant of the ment for the constant of the constant of

t design properties of them, "Siers were Consider to been and counties and the first design and a security of the contract of

For expenses, present the real fears and forther one of except starts (france between the except). I will the visiting contrast, engineeradors, and previous sources will the visit you source.

The collection of the leading of the collection of the collection

A hereiska ka

Marisol D'A


Aprilal Dentes, Albi 960



BIO PLATFORM

Why did I decide to become a candidate? First, I want to give back to my community. I am a long-term resident of this ward and have been living in this community for almost 21 years, and I am aware of the needs of our ward. Second, oddly enough, my new dog played a key...

READ MORE



I WILL TAKE ACTION

From talking to the people of the ciding, come of the issues that are of concern to the community

Follow

congestion, traffic signs, and crossing guards Adhering to building by-laws Programs for youth and elderly Programs for health, job, and education Programs for newcomers...


READ MORE



SUPPORT CHANGE!

-DONATE— I will very much appreciate any contribution you may make. Please note your support counts. You will receive a government rebate for up to 75% of your donation. For instance, if you contribute \$50.00, you will get back \$37.50 from the City. I will provide all the required forms for this rebate. Sample Rebate...

READ MORE



HOME ABOUT ME MY PLATFORM **SHARE YOUR CONCERNS** BLOG DONATE CONTRIBUTE WITH PAYPAL Donate. Copyright @2018 Marisol D'Andrea **ABOUT ME** l am Marisol D'Andrea (née Elias) I am running for City Councillor, Ward 34, and my mission is to TAKE ACTION on the issues affecting our community... **RECENT POSTS:** Crime Is Not Just About Guns, It Is Also About Mental Health Problems by Marisol D'Andrea I am angry, mad, sad, and in shock about the horrific mass shooting at the Danforth on July 22, 2018 that took the life of an 18-year old and a nine-year-old child; it has left a dark cloud over both the Danforth and the community in Ward 34. This tragedy will be remembered in our [...]

The Vision Zero Road Safety Plan: How are Torontonians killed or seriously injured (KSI) on our streets by Marisol D'Andrea

In 2016, to identify areas of road safety concerns, the City of Toronto prepared, the Vision Zero Road Safety Plan, which is a five-year (2017-2021) action plan that looks at collisions (involving vulnerable

Follow ...

609

Meet My Assistant, Woof Woof! By Marisol D'Andrea

Special guy!

Powered by WordPress.com.

renda MacDonalc ty Councillor, Ward 35 Beaches/Ea

ABOUT

POLICIES


CONTACT

DO

Ward 35







Vote Morley!







KEY ISSUES

8/10/201B

Affordable housing is an issue across the GTA.

Moriey is experienced in land use development and mediation having served on the Ontario Municipal Board and the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Toronto. He knows how to address housing issues and get things done.

Morley Rosenberg City Council Ward 35

With a growing population, there is an ever increasing strain on traffic and transportation. Toronto needs safe, affordable and efficient ways to get around.

Morley will work hard to increase transportation options with an eye to both current and future needs.

A vibrant and diverse community is a strong community. When people come together to share concerns, ideas and get to know one another, incredible things can be accomplished. For over 36 years Morley has brought communities together to hear issues and work collaboratively to support positive change.



VOLUNTEER

Interested in helping Morley? Please contact us at info@morleyrusenberg.com

| Join our mailing list Never miss an update | - |
|---|-------|
| Email Address | 43447 |
| | |

HOME MEET MORLEY EXPERIENCE PLATFORM GET INVOLVED Blog Members

JOIN THE CONVERSATION:

Groß by Morley Rosenberg Promity crossed with Workson

CHRIS BUDO




FOR TORONTO CITY COUNCILLOR IN NEW WARD 36

OLD WARD 30





Willing to tell the truth and speak truth to power



https://www.chrisbudotoronto.com/

8/10/2018





A NEW VOICE, A NEW VISION

About Me

I was born and raised in this community, and have built a hard work ethic as well as a passion for helping others.

... Learn More →

Follow

Facebook

Twitter

Instagram

Contact

chrisbudotoronto@gmail.com

416-660-6461














4/8











Chris Budo

RT @TorontoComms: #TorontoStrong Fund is co-ordinating philanthropic donations for victims of the recent Danforth shooting. Details...

https://t.co/JJD56qY2Uv

2 weeks ago



Chris Budo

Very beautiful to see the outpouring of support at the vigil tonight. Together as a family, we are strong and nothi... https://t.co/paFrfrCL3X 2 weeks 2go



Chris Budo

UPDATE: John is recovering from his gunshot wound well and is in good spirits. He thanks the community for coming t... https://t.co/SIYuRcOuIr 2 weeks ago



Chris Budo

This strikes very close to home, my family friend Joanna and her son John both fell victim to this senseless violen... https://t.co/MVhoiMr4tz 2 weeks ago



Chris Budo

Everyone please stay safe, anyone who was in the area with any information please contact @TorontoPolice. This sor... https://t.co/nsFA17GtAz 2 weeks ago



Chris Budo

This young man is only 15 years old but has an excellent grasp of local issues and thinks far beyond his age buildi... https://t.co/arna2xeO4q

A month ago

619



Chris Budo

Mr.Buckley "Like the medicine" is one of the nicest people I've ever had the pleasure of meeting. He is proud to se... https://t.co/Wmb58Qq0nu A month ago



Chris Budo

I've had the great pleasure of meeting residents from all over the ward, listening to their problems and noting how... https://t.co/9du4AIqMB5 A month ago



Chris Budo

Met with Ms. Joyce who runs her own business in Ward 36. Talked extensively about the problems she faces and how the... https://t.co/9LukgaSiw8 2 months ago




Chris Budo

Met with Mr.Rahman he was thrilled to hear that I am running for councillor in Ward 36 out of complete passion and... https://t.co/Op8URU2YTo 2 months ago

Contact Chris

chrisbudotoronto@gmail.com

416-660-6461



≝ Menu

DIXON CHAN – CANDIDATE FOR COUNCILLOR IN WARD 36

Why are you running?

This city and this ward need good people to step up to change the conversation and turn it positive. We must invigorate City Hall with new people, new ideas and new perspectives to get things working and progressing.

How do you plan to be successful?

My style is simple, honest and transparent. I have run a business for 26 years now. I got here by taking care of the problems, servicing the customer and most importantly communicating. The pride I get from the longevity and connection to people in my work has been the greatest reward.

The people of Toronto and Ward 36 deserve a leader who can see the bigger picture, knows how to connect with people to get things done and has experience completing projects. I want to bring this entrepreneurial energy and skill to city hall.

When?

The election is on October 22, 2018.

Where?

I live, shop and eat in our Ward 36. My daughter goes to school in this ward. I cycle through to go to work. Ward 36 is my home.

What do I want to accomplish?

623

8/10/2018

I'll be writing a series of posts and articles to introduce my platform, my position on those issues and ideas to work towards. This is the starting point to read, MEET DIXON



RECENT POSTS

List of Posts


Crime and Community Housing

Putting it all together - Cycling Part 3

It's more than green paint - Cycling Part 2

The Correct Mindset

Proudly powered by WordPress



http://dixon2018.com/



LANRICK FOR TORONTO-DANFORTH

Torontonians Deserve Better From Their Municipal Government

On July 27, 2018, we learned about Premier Doug Ford's plan to reduce the number of Toronto's wards from 47 to 25 and of Mayor Tory's proposed response.

Toronto underwent a massive four-year study that involved large public consultations as well as discussions with stakeholders and experts on urban development. The study did explore having 25 wards but ultimately council decided on its current form of 47 to make each ward's population more balanced now and throughout future growth.

The city is growing at a staggering rate with some wards' population growing at a rate of over 40 per cent. We need to have balanced ward sizes in order to make our city more efficient and equally representative. There are many ways to find efficiencies in our city without slashing down the number of wards and creating chaos a little over three months before the election on October 22. Minor decisions can be downloaded to community councils to free up city council for more important discussions and decisions.

I agree with Mayor Tory that it is not fair to change the rules in the middle of the game however I have concerns that a referendum is not the solution. A referendum discussion would displace the many important issues about the future of this city which should be the subject of this election, such as transit, housing and security. A referendum would also displace the very valid expert and public consultation that took place during the previous ward boundary review.

While I do not support the Premier's plan, I've committed myself to #DoMORE by being your voice at City Hall whether I'm representing the current Ward 36 or in representing a larger ward. If elected, I will be your voice and stand up for you, the residents of our great community.

I will be monitoring this and will keep you updated on changes and how it could affect you. If you have any questions please contact me at lanrick@lanrickbennettjr.ca."

- Lanrick Bennett, July 2018


| Do you like this page? | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| 213 people like this. Sign Up to see | G |

Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Lanrick Bennett for Toronto-Danforth

8/10/2018

Created with NationBuilder





Home

Last week, Premier Ford announced that without any consultation he would be cutting the number of city-council positions in Toronto in half and redraw wards to mirror provincial and federal electoral boundaries.

Since the campaign started, I've been to over 13,000 doors so far and I can tell you people want more democracy, not less. People want a responsive local government that fights for community-led change and candidates that understand the issues. What has never been asked for is a haphazard plan that reduces the power of local city councillors and disrupts elections already underway.

Premier Ford's decision leaves city council less able to serve its residents and I find this unacceptable. We are incredibly disappointed that our democracy is being undermined in this way but I remain determined to bring positive change to City Hall. While we are doing everything we can to stop Doug Ford's meddling in Toronto's elections, my team will be expanding the campaign to the rest of the Beaches and East-York.

I want my community to know: I've got your back and will tirelessly advocate for Beaches East-York. That includes fighting the province on this reckless plan for Toronto.

Brad Bradford - Toronto City Council Candidate Ward 37

8/10/2018

We know Toronto is a great place to call home but we also know we need to make our streets safer, address inequality, improve transit, provide more access to children's programs, and address environmental challenges locally by protecting trees and reducing plastic pollution. Doug Ford will not divert my attention away from these issues.

Between now and election day, I will be meeting with my neighbours every day to discuss the challenges facing our community and ensure that all voices are included in shaping our shared future.

If you agree that Doug Ford's undemocratic actions are bad for the City of Toronto, you can sign the petition here.

For more information or to get in touch, please visit bradbradford.ca or call 647-951-1709


Twitter: @BradMBradford

Instagram: @bradfordgrams

Facebook: www.facebook.com/BradMBradford

I would love to hear from you.

- Brad



Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

420 people like this. Sign Up to see what you

Created with NationBuilder - Theme by Tectonica

○ 2018 CityZen & NationBuilder - Some rights reserved Brad Bradford - Toronto City Council Candidate Ward 37

8/10/201B







P. Comp. 5


I'm running for City Councillor in Beaches-East York to make a di. erence. To get things done. I know first-hand how lucky we are to live where we do. I also know that we can do better.

Crime and guns. Vacant storefronts. Congestion, transit, Woodbine.

Everyone's talking about the issues. I hear you.

It's time for new leadership and new ideas in Beaches-East York.

8/10/2018



Why I'm Running

I believe that the Beaches-East York should be the best place in which to live, to raise a family, and to do business. To realize our enormous potential - and with your help - I'm committed to making our community a more sustainable, shared, and dynamic place to live.

LEARN MORE


Can I count on your support?

I'M IN!

Stay Connected







8/10/2018

MATTHEW KELLWAY for city council ward 37

633



MATTHEW KELLWAY for city council ward 37



Twenty-two years ago Donna and I chose to start a family here. From park to park, dance studio to rink, in the stands and behind the bench, over the years the rhythms of this place have become our own and its streets and sidewalks — its public places — have become our home.

I am deeply invested in this place. From school parent council to the rink. From the Toronto Energy Coalition and GreenEast to the Upper Beach Refugee Resettlement Group. From Out of the Cold to the House of Commons. I have served.

I am committed to serving, and I am passionate about this place I call home. We have a past to protect. We have today to fix. We have a future to create together for ourselves and our children. We have much to do together.

Please join me in this campaign that is about our everyday lives. About our shared right to participate in the creation of our home – and to make everyday life better for all of us.

Matthew Kellway

Candidate, City Council Ward 37

apausi

12

Volunteer Training and Canvassing Blitz

601 Kingston Road Toronto, ON, Canada 01:00 PM



Our Home in the City – Matthew's Launch Party Speech

8/10/2018


MATTHEW KELLWAY for city council ward 37





Sign in with Facebook, Twitter or email.

Created with NationBuilder.





PAUL BURA BUILDING A BETTER BEACH

Dear Neighbours,


I'm Paul Bura, running for your 2018 Beaches-East York Ward 37 Councillor and I am thrilled to work with you to build a better beach. I am here to represent our neighbourhood in building better streets, better businesses and a better infrastructure.

Like many people looking to settle down and start a family, my wife Jen and I always wanted to live in the big city but still have a small-town community feel. When looking to invest in our home, we knew we wanted to live in the Beaches-East York area. Our extensive research into safety, school districts, amenities and accessibility, all led us to this wonderful neighbourhood. This area is the place where we live, the place

637

where we are raising our loving twin boys, and the place where we will retire. This community is **our home**.

Our family built a plan which involved me taking parental leave as a Federal public servant, which enabled Jen to pursue her career aspirations in the Oncology field. This opportunity allowed me to be fully immersed into Beaches-East York living. This dedicated time has allowed me to explore the many streets, bike paths, parks, libraries, schools, shops, and programs our area has to offer. This experience has given me first hand knowledge of how we can improve this area and build an even better beach community.



I have a love for our neighbourhood and a vested interest that this community continues to thrive and prosper. I want to represent the

Beaches-East York and the interests of this great community. As your councillor my key priorities are:

- 1. Safe, secure streets allowing for fluid transportation of transit, bikes, pedestrians and cars;
- 2. Bustling main streets with viable businesses;
- 3. Improving the aging infrastructure. Keeping the charm of the past but preparing us for a community of the future.

I will work closely with the community and stakeholders to ensure our ward continues to thrive. As your councillor, your important suggestions, needs, and concerns will be mine as well. I look forward to sharing with you my passion, commitment and ideas for Ward 37.

Let's discover the further potential of this community together.


On October 22nd, 2018, please vote for me, Paul Bura, building a better beach.





639









I'm running for City Council in Beaches-East York!

My name is Valerie Maltais. I'm an enthusiastic leader with a strong professional background. I'm committed to making sure that we work together to propel our community and our city forward. I believe in the power of community and small business, and I look forward to earning your vote.

Learn More



Upcoming Events

- Val is my Pal Pep Rally

 Aug 19, 2018 · 7:00 PM 10:00 PM
- I'm Eating with Val
 Aug 28, 2018 · 7:00 PM 9:30 PM

Val Out in the Community









1020

642

8/10/2018

Valerie Maltais for Toronto City Council















643













H









© 2018 VALERIE MALTAIS CAMPAIGN

Ward 37, Toronto, ON

info@vmaltais.com

ENGLISH

Meet Valerie

Priorities

Val-Tube

Voting FAQ,

ACTION

Volunteer

Contact

FRANCAIS


À propos de Valérie

Priorités






f in








Curtis Smith





I am running for the position of Toronto City Councillor in Scarborough Southwest/Ward 38 (TBC) because I feel my skills, experience & vision will assist in bringing positive change for our residents. Scarborough is an awesome place to live and work, and together we can make it great.

Contact us

Don't post this publicly

First Name

Last Name

Email

Mobile phone (optional)

- ∀ Send me email updates
- Send me text messages

Address (Street, City, State, Postal code)


SEND MESSAGE

Curtis Smith

Do you like this page?

17 people like this, to see what your friends like.

G÷







Be the first of your friends to like this

Sign in the Facebook Twitter of email

Cleated use NationBuilder

MOTERIO CHARLES AND AND P CONTRE CONTRACTOR SEATERO PO ANT STORMENTE STO

VOTE FOR JOHN LETONJA CITY COUNCILLOR SCARBOROUGH SOUTHWEST TO STAND UP FOR YOU



CTTAXIPAYES

BOW MANAPION

realizations in climations

BANTAL KON MANI DENE Heran V 200 W Griv

MENNYS A BETTHESE TLEVENC IRC CONTINUENTINA

TE AND VIBLE THAT I WILL.

Notee 1908: I Noted Techonsy Notee 1908: I Noted Here 1900

जेवन माणाः ∻



I WHAL STONE TOO TEST REACH DEOPLE TO THE TOO TEST REACH DEOPLE TO

如可以通过证明的

HAU THE LIBRATE AND THE LAST THE MOST WAS THE WAS THE

HEAD WOLLAND WOLL CAUSE.

DOPTANS ON MENT HELY MANE LO D'O' WOMEN WO GIELNOIT HOU AGAIL IN OU HOM MOU HO MEAGL LON MASSIE L'MILL USAGETHEL WEAGL AND GOSMAIL

OTHER VOIS HOR WHE HELD WAS SIND CHIEF BY DIST GOVERNMENT SO THE W. CAN SAY ON OTHER WASHINGTON DESIGNATION OTHER WASHINGTON OF THE WASHINGTON

Samore 🕙



DEPOS ISUCIAMINAS AND A

BOCKER DO DA HORNE NE MUNEOU TAZAR AD HO LAMOL MAKE MEM MOMENT


Baurstian evanger. Daniti mengenal ausalusi

i meri Maki apmendidi. I meri Maki apmendidi

PONERIO MONTANE PROMES PLOMETE ELEVA MONTANE PER PLANTE ELEVATE ELEVANE PER

HWILL GURAF! MORE ACHE THE PROPERTY WARE TO

देवनुन्त्रं सुविद्धाः स्त्रा





A MESSAGE TO MY SUPPORTERS

Dear Neighbours,

Toronto is the greatest city in the World, and I am very fortunate to call Toronto, my home.


As a first generation Immigrant - like many of you, when I moved to Toronto, I saw a dream a dream of equity, a dream of fairness, a dream of accountability, a dream of righteousness, a dream of a world class life that my children and grand children will have. But alas, the current reality of our city, is that it is becoming increasingly un-affordable and the income inequality is widening. People, communities and an entire generation is being squeezed out. We cannot let that happen.

It is #TimeForChange.

I want to be your Voice on City Council to guide Toronto back to its potential as a progressive city. I will bring the new voice, vision and courage to City Hall to work towards a better city. I am committed, experienced and determined to work with you to make our dream a reality.

Sincerely,

Luman Roy





Fawzi Bidawi Ward 40



o Safer Streets

657

- a Improved Public Transit
- e Job Creation
- e Lower Taxes

A new ward deserves a new representative. Ward 40 needs someone with the skills and experience to tackle the many complicated issues facing the city of Toronto. Toronto needs someone who can reduce violent crimes, create jobs, improve public transit and lower taxes. The city of Toronto deserves someone who is dedicated, hardworking, energetic and who won't ignore the real issues. I, Fawzi Bidawi, pledge to make Toronto safe again, improve business conditions, bring a subway system to Scarborough, and lower taxes.

Tweets @BidawiFawzi



Fawzi Bidawi @FidavaFawzi

Good Morning Everyone.





Aug 8, 2018



🔯 Savru Sidovi Retwested



John Tory 🧐

@contary

I'm determined to do everything we can to build more affordable housing in Toronto. I'm proud of the progress we have made this term approving and building affordable housing.

For Immediate Release August 7, 2018

John Tory only candidate with a record of actually building affordable housing

In John Tory's four years as mayor, he has led City Council in approving nearly 4,000 affordable housing units.

"I'm determined to do everything we can to build more affordable housing in Toronto and we need to do it much faster. I'm proud of the progress we have made this term approving and building affordable housing and we're just getting started."

Past administrations had previously set a target of approving 1,000 units of affordable housing per year but only since Tory took office has the city started meeting and even exceeding those targets

Embed

View on Twitter

Contact Us

1360 Birchmount Rd, Unit 2 Scarborough, Ontario M1P 3C2 (416) 826 9754

Name:

Email:

Telephone:

Message:



©Copyright 2018, FawziBidawi.com.

🕻 +1 64744787710 💝 randy.bucao@bell.net

RB4TO



660

VOTE Randy BUCAO for Toronto City Ward 40 Councillor for a much LIVABLE Toronto

October 22, 2018-Toronto City Election (click to MYVOTE)

Days to Toronto City (Ontario Municipal) Election Day

16

Day(s)

Hour(s)

Minute(s)


Second(s)





Randy Bucao Will Strongly Advocate and Support:

Safe Community
Affordable Housing
Accessible Community Housing
Accessible Transit
Accessible City Parks
Children Recreation Activities
Seniors Community Programs


Reduce Carbon Emissions







GET OUT AND VOTE





Be the first of your friends to like this



VOTE Randy Bucao for Councillor on Wednesday

Thank you to our Toronto Police



Toronto Police Service
Law Enforcement Agency · 48,935 Likes
- August 8 at 2.06 PM ·

Officers improvise a dramatic rescue with only minutes to spare



Vote Randy Bucao | for Toronto city Councillor-Ward 40



.

Randy BUCAO for Toronto City Ward 40:Councillor

Welcome to my campaign website

Sheppard Subway Petition -

Rooming House Survey

Guns have no place in our community petition

The property of the set provided to represent the residents of Searborough-Admiction as your on the last restrictions of provided the restriction of Parliament of heathern matchings use to serve our of the forms.

tions of wish to come of me, you can less than elliptime by the lati jim@jimkarygiannis.net or by others) at Instance of ABA

- And Andrews (1995年) 東 Cent Covern (OCE Richest Ed.) Treshow (1995年) Treshow (1995年) Www.karygiannis.net - Andrews (1995年) Andrews (1995年) Andrews (1995年) Andrews (1995年) Treshow (1995年) Treshow (1995年) Andrews (199 utting council seats will fix 'unequal balance' between downtown & suburbs: Karygiannis
West Scarborough townhouse plan may become new home for community hub
Rooming House Survey
Sheppard Subway Petition



on the Anglian religion (by a constitution of the second o



FOLLOW ME ON



© 2018 Jim Karygiannis, Council Candidate Ward 43 – Authorized by CFO Jim Karygiannis Campaign.


WARD44



INTERNICOLA Anthony

Welcome to the official site of INTERNICOLA Anthony, your source for information about upcoming events, current issues, my history and background, and so much more.

Please feel free to browse the website and get to know what INTERNICOLA Anthony represents for Ward 44.




-lome 671

A Voice of the People

Democracy is about having a voice, and recognising that you will be heard.

With INTERNICOLA Anthony as councillor of Ward 44, you can rest assured that if you have a need, or would like to address issues within our fine city, you can easily do so. Anthony is more than ready to listen to all individual's needs, concerns and suggestions. Along with his own thoughts for growth and development within the City, it is essential that the citizens of Ward 44 feel properly represented in City Council.

You are not alone! Your vision, your voice!



About Ward 44

WARD44 - Home

- Diverse and multicultural, which is ultimately a reflection of our great City
- Region boasting a myriad of residential areas, bordered by transportation systems
- A population requiring a voice than understands it's intricate and fundamental needs, and can promote these needs effectively to City Council

My Platform

8/10/2018

Through Strong Leadership Anthony will bring The People's Voice and Vision Where It Matters!

You are not alone.

Internicola Anthony will target social and political issues you care about by formulating strong policy and exercising the power of the people.

Your interests are at stake and Internicola Anthony wants you to know that he will support your needs by making changes for the better.

4 changes that will SERVE YOU

- 1. Investing in public infrastructure starting with a Scarborough subway line, recreational parks, and public roads
- 2. To engage and foster a community that has their needs met by developing and expanding its services while promoting citizenship and inclusion in community life
- 3. To increase women empowerment and redefine gender roles so that women and men can coexist on an equal playing field
- 4. To influence public policy surrounding mental health by forging strong relationships with policymakers and enable those who are most affected to directly participate in decision-making

Your Vote Counts!


On October 22 2018

Have an impact with your ballot, vote for change, vote

INTERNICOLA Anthony for Ward 44.

Thank you so much for visiting my website, and I hope you all come out on election night!





674

8/10/2018

James Chow for Ward

44

Improve. Make it work.

HOME

WHO AM I

PLATFORM

GET INVOLVED

DONATE

CONTACT


WARD 44

中文

தமிழ்

CAMPAIGN UPDATE

HOME



On Monday, October 22, 2018

Elect James Chow For City Councillor, Ward 44

Make Our Ward Better

Make Infrastructures Functional

Make Transit Move

Make the Process Work

11 Views: 675

TRANSLATION 翻譯 மொழிபெயர்ப்பு ——

Select Language

Powered by

Goge


Translate

PLEASE

GET INVOLVED!

DONATE!

WARD 44 -




Improve



Make It Work

Copyright © 2018 James Chow for Ward 44 - WordPress Theme: By Offshorethemes
Privacy Policy



CLICK HERE TO DONATE

Volunteer, Donate, Vote

AMANDA CAIN



Candidate for Toronto City Council





AREADS CEA

Like Page 45 lines

Be the first of your friends to like this



Amanda Cain 2 hours ago

I hope that all Toronto mall property owners take note and make the switch. $\xi_{-}(\xi_{-})$



Jan-Michael Wildeman

The better way...Good idea!!!



How one Canadian food court el

CREATE A FREE WEBSITE

POWERED BY WEEKE

CHERYL FOR WARD 45

VOTE FOR CHERYL
LEWIS-THURAB

CANDIDATE FOR TORONTO CITY COUNCIL
WARD 45. SCARBOROUGH-ROUGE RIVER

Hi Neighbour!

Wouldn't it be nice to have a neighbour working for you at City Hall? I am a long-time resident of Scarborough-Rouge River and over the years I've seen generations of families, including my own, make Ward 45 home. Sadly, I have also seen many of these families leave due to the lack of good paying jobs in our area, lengthy TTC commute times and unaffordable childcare options. As a proud progressive, I'nt running for City Council to bring



positive changes to our communities and to the people of Torontol

Scarborough-Rouge River is a cultural melting pot and one of the most diverse communities in Canada. We have some of the most beautiful green spaces in Ontario, including the Rouge Urban Conservation Park and the Rouge Camp Ground, as well as world class attractions such as the Toronto Zoo! We are also close to the University of Toronto Scarborough and Centennial College, two of the best ranked schools in North America. These are just a few of the reasons why we are proud to call Ward 45

home!

Sadly, the concerns of Ward 45 are not being heard at City Hall. We can do better! We need a strong voice to ensure that our concerns are heard before decisions are made that affect our families and communities. I'm running to put families first at City Hall by:

- · Attracting Well-Paying Jobs to Scarborough
- · Cut TTC commute times
- · Create Safe, Affordable & Flexible Childcare
- · Engage Businesses & Communities
- Improve Road & Community Safety
- Develop Youth & Seniors Strategies

On October 22, 2018, you have a chance to change the landscape at City Hall.

Join Me and let us work together to build the type of city we deserve. Remember, strong families make strong communities!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Lewis-Thurab


Candidate for Toronto City Council - Ward 45, Scarborough-Rouge River


Join Our Campaign

Make a Donation


Get Connected

CHERYL FOR WARD 45 - WELCOME






8/10/2018 CHERYL FOR WARD 45 - WELCOME







Volunteer with Us

Subscribe for Updates


* indicates required field

Troub! *


I agree to receiving marketing and promotional materials *.

This is Rouge


Images from #RougeRiver, #RougeValley and #TorontoZoo on Instagram





https://www.cheryllewisthurab.ca/



https://www.cheryllewisthurab.ca/








CREATE A FREE WEBSITE

POWERED BY WEELY



Like Priyanth on Facebook

Follow Priyanth on Twitter

Watch Priyanth on Youtube


See Priyanth on Instagram

номе

MEET PRIYANTH

PLATFORM

GET INVOLVED





My dear friends, family, and neighbours,

Whoever makes up our next city council will have to make key decisions on taxation, budgeting, and city-wide infrastructure and transit planning. This means that the next municipal election will have profound effects, not only on the next few years, but on the next few generations.

However, this also means that on October 22nd, we have the chance to change our future.

My name is Daniel Cubellis and I am running to be your next Ward 47 Councillor

I decided to run in this election because of the need for change. Ward 47 needs someone who will fight for us all in city hall. Someone who has the knowledge and experience needed for planning a city. Someone who will engage with the community. Someone who will lead the way to change. And I believe I am that someone.

695

If you choose me as your next city councillor, I will bring experience that counts. I have experience in the fields of infrastructure planning, social housing development, financial modeling, and public communications. This means that I have the qualifications needed to help bring sustainable modes of efficient public transit to Ward 47, the understanding of what is needed to make housing affordable for our seniors, and our indigent and new families, the ability to budget and perform financial projections, and the capacity to engage with the public and fight for Ward 47's needs.

In addition to my experience, I will also be bringing commitment to Ward 47.

I am committed to continuing to make Ward 47 a more vibrant and livable community.

I am committed to building stronger bonds within the community.

And most importantly, I am committed to serving you.

With your help, not only can we bring change to our ward, but we can also build a better Toronto.

Sincerely,

Daniel Cubellis

Like And Share Us With Your Friends



Sign-Up For Newsletters From Daniel

| Name (Required) * | |
|--------------------|------|
| First | Last |
| Email (Required) * | |


Submit

8/10/2018 Home - Vote Daniel Cubellis For Ward 47

Copyright

Daniel Cubellis Building A Better Toronto

697









Jennifer McKelvie for Ward 47

Dear Neighbours,

I am committed to working for you at Toronto City Hall.

I fell in love with Ward 47 more than twenty years ago, when I enrolled at UTSC and first volunteered with Rouge Park. For 13 years, my husband and I have lived here with our children because it's a fabulous place to raise a family.

8/10/2018

Vote McKelvie

I want to use my professional experience and grassroots activism to work for you.

As your Ward 47 Councillor, I will foster the creation of community working groups to ensure we have local development with vision. We need to attract and foster local, family-owned restaurants and shops. We need to create seniors housing. And we need to ensure new housing developments fit the character of our community.

I recognize that community development is not just about the built environment. We need to develop programs that allow our seniors to stay longer in their homes, and we need to ensure safe school zones for our children.

A key component of a vibrant community is fast and reliable public transit. We need an integrated transit network with dedicated long-term funding that relies on all modes of transit, including the Scarborough Subway Extension, Eglinton East LRT, express buses, and GO Transit.

As a local resident, I understand our needs.

As your Ward 47 Councillor, I promise to be a strong voice for our community, while remaining accessible and accountable to you.

Together we can move plans into action and build a stronger Scarborough within the City of Toronto.

Anlebelie

Jennifer McKelvie, Candidate for Ward 47 Councillor

Do you like this page?

80 people like this. Sign Up to see what your friends like.

G+

8/1D/2018 Vote McKelvie 699



Tweets by @votemckelvie

6






Scarborough Mirror

die Barre

Scarborough sprinter Tyreak Holgate may be the next Andre De Grasse, toronto,com/news-story/879. @Running4YL #running #ScarbTO



Embed View on Twitter

1, Sign in

Home About News Get Involved Donate Social
Biography Volunteer Twitter
Medin Get a Sign Facebook
Contact E-Newsletter

Events

Get updates

Email address Postal code GD GD

Jenniser McKelvie Campaign

700

8/10/2018

Email jennifer@votemckelvie.ca

Phone: 416-931-0960

Authorized by the Jenniser McKelvie compaign

Vote McKelvle

NationBuilder theme by DemocracyKit. Learn More.

8/10/2018





Paul C for Ward 4

LEARN MORE

Countdown to Election Night - October 22


72 DAYS

17 HOURS

56

59

Paul's Priorities



Terror Plan

Ten people were killed and fifteen injured in the recent terror attack on Yonge
Street. In this case, it wasn't a terrorist....

Read More



Shootings & Crime

In recent years, Toronto has experienced a sharp increase in shootings. To be a World Class City does not mean we have to...

Read More



Homelessness in Toronto

Paul has donated his time and effort to a charity in Toronto that feeds and clothes Toronto's marginalized. That experience...

Read More

SEE ALL ISSUES

News and Updates



My promise to the constituents of Toronto Ward 47 if I'm elected City Councillor.

Photo

View on Facebook · Share

Why We Support Paul

I have known Paul since high school and he's a good friend and an honest guy. It was always well known around town that if you needed a hand or had to get something done, you better call Paul.

Roy Roman

I have known Paul he will be great for will bring his many business/marketin boundless energy I greatly. Paul has m profitable ideas the implement.

Ken Ruff COO, Ruff Wheels

TELL US WHY YOU SUPPORT PAUL

Paul Cookson for Ward 47 Toronto City Councillor

116 Island Road

Toronto, Ontario

M1C 2P8

Phone: 416-271-7994

E-mail: paul@paulcookson.ca

QUICK LINKS

15.75

200 - 64 - 61

1.00

1 8 3

and the state

17 , 10 1

CONNECT WITH PAUL




705

Copyright © 2018 Paul Cookson. All Rights Reserved. 8/10/2018



REZA KHOSHDEL FOR CITY COUNCIL - WARD 47

Reza Khoshdel





SIGN UP TO GET THE LATEST UPDATES

| | JOIN |
|--|------|
| | |

ABOUT REZA

My fellow neighbours,

I have proudly called Scarborough home for most of my life, and I am thrilled to be currently residing in the West Rouge community with my wife, Mona, and our 21-month old son, Royce. My family immigrated to this great country when I was five years old, and like many others, we struggled early on to get on our feet. We relied on community support and programs to help us settle and as an impressionable child, these early

experiences helped shape who I am today. I learned quickly from a young age the power and significance of government as a force of good in people's lives.

Growing up, the value of education was instilled in me. In the years to come, I earned an advanced college diploma (Business Administration) from Humber College; a Bachelor of Commerce (Business Management) from Ryerson University; and joint graduate degrees (Master of Business Administration / Master of Public Administration) from the University of Manitoba.

I put my skills and passion to use at the Legislative Assembly of Ontario. During this time, I was fortunate enough to serve at both the grassroots level and at Queen's Park. As a community organizer, I was able to help people with complicated problems that were brought to my attention when no other viable solutions were available. It gave me great pride to help people in their time of need. During my tenure at Queen's Park, I served as Legislative Assistant and MPP Liaison to the Minister of Research and Innovation. We worked hard to help foster scientific discovery and commercialization of new technologies and products with private and public sector partners.

Upon leaving public service, I returned to the private sector. I currently serve as an operations executive for an education firm that specializes in mathematics education for high performing and gifted students.

If given the privilege of becoming your City Councillor, I will always be an advocate for fairness, equality, and justice.

You need a representative at City Hall who understands the key issues affecting our community.


You need someone who has real world private and public sector experience to get things done.

You need a strong voice at City Hall. I will be your voice.

A New Way ForWard 47 starts with you.

Join me.






This is Exhibit "I" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer

Let's #DoMore in WARD 36





COMMUNITY

- Work with Toronto Police to prioritize safety, dialogue and accountability to all residents in all neighbourhoods within Ward 36.
- Reignite east end arts, music and culture with the involvement of youth and indigenous people-
- Help make Toronto greener by supporting the City's Transform TO initiative.

RESOURCES


- Expand community input: provide residents with a constituency office and hold regular meetings online as well as in person.
- Work with the City to create more childcare spaces and affordable options in our community.
- Prioritize total housing stock, affordable housing for renters and buyers, and help minimize homelessness.
- Support funding for libraries as community and cultural hubs in Ward 36.

INFRASTRUCTURE

- Improve infrastructure and community safety by supporting the City's proposal for SmartTrack, the Downtown Relief Line and prioritizing Ward 36 in The City's Complete Streets and Vision Zero initiatives.
- Work with residents to create a more effective participatory planning process to ensure developments are appropriate for our community's spaces, parks, condos, and rental housing.
- Support local businesses and create long-term partnerships with our six BIAs & Chinese Chamber of Commerce

416-569-4899 | lanrick@lanrickbennettjr.ca | 🔰 🖸 @lanrick2018 | 🖬 /lanrick36 | lanrickbennettjr.ca





POLLS ARE OPEN 9 AM - 9 PM


Bring your Voter Information Card One Piece of ID that has your name

OR

Bring one piece of identification that has your name and residential address (i.e. Driver's Licence)

713

I WANT TO BE YOUR VOICE




SORRY, I MISSED YOU

VOTE MONDAY
October 22



MOHSIN BHUIYAN

AS A CITY COUNCILLOR WARD - 38



I WANT TO BE YOUR VOICE

√ote Mohsin Bhuiyan

as a City Councillor Voting Date: October 22, 2018

Dear members of the Ward 38, Scarborough South-West community,

Greetings to you! I am a proud resident of this area for over 28 years, and I plan to serve the greater good by putting forth everything I believe in, with hopes of becoming your voice as City Councillor on behalf of Ward 38, Scarborough South-West.



Professional Experience:

- Former President, CHEU (Canadian Hotel Employees Union).
- Honorary Advisor, Actino Research Inc. Canada.
- Worked as an immigration co-ordinator with Gilbert & Yallen law office.
- Provided voluntary services at the Lion's Club.
- President of the Greater Dhaka Association Canada Inc.
- Life Member, BCS (Bangladeshi-Canadian Community Services)
- Member of CBCC (Canada Bangladesh Chamber of Commerce)
- Present Director of Bhuiyan Management Inc.
- Present Director of Mint One Financial Inc.

My Mission:

- Safety first increase funding to hire more police officer.
- To work towards a clean & environmentally creative sustainable community.
- To create a strong, healthy, diverse local economy.
- Work for reduction of property taxes for the community.
- Maintain safety & security of our streets and local community members.
- Protect & expand recreational parks.
- Work towards creating affordable housing for lower income residents.
- Affordable Retirement Housing.
- Enhance/Upgrade community centres & public libraries.

Whether you are a resident of this community, tax payer, or parent; I am one of you. We are all connected.

Let's all join together & collectively re-enforce the same vision by building a safer & beneficial community! Thank You.

Sincerely, Mohsin Bhuiyan

Let us together build a safe community.

Contact


Phone: 416-821-4724

E-mail: mohsin.bhuiyan.2018@gmail.com

Web : www.mohsinbhuiyan.com

Authorized by CFO of Mohsin Bhuiyan's Campaign

NEW VOICE • NEW VISION



CHRIS BUDO

- I will donate 34% of my salary back to the community. I refuse to accept a wage higher than average household income
- I will hold monthly discussions in the community
- I am committed to development that preserves and suits our community
- I will accept the majority vote on any issues voted on by the community (no political affiliation)
- I will push for the quality not the quantity of bike lanes, bike lanes cannot be just painted white lines
- I will never accept a donation


MORE ONLINE...

VOTE CITY COUNCILLOR

VOTE CITY COUNCILLOR

- Born and raised in the Toronto-Danforth community
- Worked in a small family business from a young age and has built strong relationships within the community
- Worked as a property manager for houses in the community
- Worked for Diabetes Canada for 1 year in the finance department, and developed a forecasting tool for a \$50 million dollar a year revenue stream
- Graduated from Ryerson University with an Economics and Finance degree (BA)
 - 416-660-6461
 - ChrisBudoToronto@gmail.com
 - ChrisBudoToronto.com
 - ♠ ChrisBudoToronto
 - @ChrisBudo_TOR
 - ChrisBudoToronto






#voteDOLMA

- Demanding decent, affordable housing
- Representing small business needs and supporting local economic growth
- Advocating for engaged governance and civic consultation across Ward 18 and City Hall
- Enhancing public spaces, parks, arts & culture, community hubs, and social services
- Building efficient, effective public transit

donate • volunteer • order a sign

October 22, 2018



\$ 416-668-2881

h kalsangdolma.ca

☐ info@kalsangdolma.ca

♥ @kalsańgdolmaTO

Reza KHOSHDEL

A NEW WAY FORWARD 47



AS YOUR ELECTED COUNCILLOR, I WILL WORK HARD TO:




- INCREASE COMMUNITY SUPPORT PROGRAMS
- FOSTER A STRONG LOCAL BUSINESS COMMUNITY
- INCREASE INVESTMENT IN CHILDCARE PROGRAMS AND SUBSIDIES
- IMPROVE TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY AT CITY HALL

Reza@RezaForWard47.com



www.RezaForWard47.com





Dear neighbour,

My name is Beth Levy and I'm running for City Council in the new Ward 14 - Eglinton Lawrence. I want to be your councillor because I love Toronto and Eglinton Lawrence. I want to work with my community to make sure that Ward 14 continues to be a vibrant and inclusive place to live, work, and raise a family

As an active member of the community with an urban planning background, I will use my expertise to bridge divides and bring our community together to ensure we preserve the livable character of our neighbourhoods and our city. I want to do this by:

- Serving the residents of the ward by being available, responsive and present in our community.
- Streamlining and improving our building by-laws and zoning rules to promote responsible development.
- Making changes to the streetscape to improve traffic flow and ease congestion, and encourage safer behaviour from drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians.
- Supporting small businesses on avenues where there are long-term construction projects, and finding ways to protect existing merchants who are affected by development.

To achieve this I need your support! I hope I can count on your vote during the city election this fall, October 22, 2018.

Sincerely,

Find out more:

fb.me/BethLevyWard14





Wouldn't it be nice to have a neighbour working for you at City Hall?

I'm Cheryl Lewis-Thurab – a long-time resident of Ward 45, Scarborough-Rouge River. My passion in life is to help others. This passion has guided me as a mom, a volunteer, a Fundraising Manager and Union Mediator for the United Way, a Community Development Officer for the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation, and now as a @WomenWinTO candidate for City Council.

One of the best things about Ward 45 are the diverse families. Our families give our community a rich cultural mosaic. I'm running to put families first at City Hall. Join me and we can make change happen. Remember, strong families make strong communities!

Sincerely,

Cheryl Lewis-Thurab

726

voteKathrynSussman.ca





May I place a small election sign on your lawn?

(647) 300 - 2092

voteKathrynSussman.ca

Dear Neighbour,

As Beaches-East York Ward 37 Councillor, I will work with fellow residents and local businesses to make our community a safer, more prosperous and liveable place.

During the next four years, I will listen to you and work to achieve the changes we need to see at Toronto City Council.

On October 22nd, please vote to elect me.

Your Voice at Council

Kathryn Sussman ... Experience

- Effective Advocate Kathryn has successfully worked with all levels of government to improve public health and safety and animal welfare.
 Her projects include fighting to end whale and dolphin captivity and animal exploitation.
- Transparency and Accountability Kathryn has consistently challenged hidden decision making, demanding proper disclosure and holding officials to account.
- The Local Choice Kathryn was born and raised in Toronto, and has lived and worked in Beaches-East York for over 12 years. She is a parent, pet owner and active member of our community.

Kathryn Sussman ... Priorities

- Safety Improve pedestrian and cyclist safety by reducing dangerous crossings and pushing for cycling lanes, fix unsafe playgrounds by improving visibility and repairing fencing.
- Prosperity Rejuvenate the waterfront and main streets with incentives for local and new businesses and support for local BIAs, transform our neighbourhood into a year-round destination.
- Liveability Advocate for adequate supply of affordable housing, cultivate our green spaces by supporting community projects, work to reduce local noise, pollution and congestion.



fb.me/KathrynSussman2018



@Sussman2018





You told me you want a City that works for everyone.

I'm a progressive community leader who built my own business **listening to people** and turning their ideas into actions.

I'll do the same for you as your City Councillor.


- Affordable & supportive housing
- Efficient, accessible transit
- Safe streets for active transportation
- Support for children, seniors, and vulnerable people
- A responsive City government that listens to you and gets back to you

Join me.



EDRIS ZALMAI

Ward 19 Candidate




As a long-time resident of Toronto; living in the City is a part of my identity. I feel truly lucky and proud to have grown up here, but I am running for City Councillor because the City I grew up in is changing. Today Toronto finds itself in a precarious place - the recent spike in gun violence has made this clear. Communities are experiencing gentrification of historical neighbourhoods, and the housing affordability crisis continues to worsen. There is a drug addiction epidemic while safe injection sites are put into residential neighbourhoods. We continue to make historic investments in transit infrastructure, but have failed to ensure the safety of all road users. It's time for Torontonians to expect more, and stop settling for half-way solutions.

EDRIS ZALMAI

Expect More

EDRIS ZALMAI

Ward 19 Candidate



Expect More from Edris on:

The Housing Crisis - We need a Council that's committed to building affordable housing, as more people are priced out of the housing market and rent continues to skyrocket

Mental Health - We must do better to get people struggling with mental illness the treatment they need, but communities should be consulted when supervised injection sites are put into residential neighbourhoods

Transit - We need long-term vision when investing in our transit infrastructure and transit solutions that get traffic moving today, but most importantly we need solutions that allow all road users to feel safe

The Arts - We are home to many local artists who help create Toronto's unique cultural identity, greater investments in arts fosters creativity and generates economic benefits for the whole community

Let's Connect

www.edriszalmai.ca | edriszalmai2018@gmail.com facebook: edriszalmai | twitter: edriszalmai | instagram: edriszalmai

City Councillor for Ward 20

Zunica

Sabrina


Livable Toronto

www.sabrinazuniga.ca

I am running to represent you in City Hall. I will listen to and work with the residents of Ward 20. Together we can keep downtown Toronto livable for young & old, for families & singles, - for everyone!



- Healthy Trees & Clean Parks
 - Raildeck Park
 - More & Better Dog Parks
 - Vibrant Small Businesses
 - More Mental Health & Community Services
 - Encourage Innovators & Entrepreneurs
 - Smart Development



Share with me your thoughts & ideas! sabrina@sabrinazuniga.ca

twitter.com/SabrinaZunigaTO ♥
instagram.com/SabrinaZunigaTO @
facebook.com/SabrinaZunigaTO f

This is Exhibit "J" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer

735



Tracking Status

- <u>City Council</u> adopted this item on June 11, 2013 with amendments.
- This item was considered by <u>Executive Committee</u> on May 28, 2013 and was adopted with amendments. It will be considered by City Council on June 11, 2013.

City Council consideration on June 11, 2013

| EX32.2 ACTION Amended Ward:All |
|--------------------------------|
|--------------------------------|

A Ward Boundary Review for Toronto

City Council Decision

City Council on June 11, 12 and 13, 2013, adopted the following:

City Council authorize the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a
Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference attached in
Appendix B to the report (May 13, 2013) from the City Manager.

Background Information (Committee)

(May 13, 2013) Report from the City Manager on A Ward Boundary Review for Toronto (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2013/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-58333.pdf)

Appendix A - Population of Toronto Wards

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2013/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-58334.pdf)

Appendix B - Terms of Reference for a Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2013/ex/logrd/backgroundfile-58335.pdf)

Communications (Committee)

(May 28, 2013) E-mail from Brian Graff (EX.New.EX32.2.1)

Motions (City Council)

I - Motion to Adopt Item as Amended moved by Councillor Gord Perks (Carried)
 That City Council delete the Executive Committee recommendations and adopt instead the following recommendation contained in the report (May 13, 2013) from the City Manager:

1. City Council authorize the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B.

Vote (Adopt Item as Amended)

Jun-13-2013 8:13 PM

8/21/2018

Agenda Item History - 2013.EX32.2

| Result: Carried | t: Carried Majority Required - EX32.2 - Perks - motion 1 | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| Yes: 23 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Michelle Berardinetti, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Janet Davis, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Sarah Doucette, Paula Fletcher, Doug Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Denzil Minnan-Wong, John Parker, Gord Perks, Adam Vaughan, Kristyn Wong-Tam | |
| No: 10 | Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Mike Del Grande, Rob Ford, Mark Grimes, Doug Holyday, Peter Milczyn, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson | |
| Absent: 12 | Raymond Cho, Frank Di Giorgio, John Filion, Norman Kelly, Gloria Lindsay Luby, Giorgio Mammoliti, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Karen Stintz, Michael Thompson | |

Motion to End Debate moved by Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong (Carried)

That in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, City Council end the debate on Item EX32.2 and take the vote immediately.

Vote (End Debate)

Jun-13-2013 8:12 PM

| Result: Carried | Two-Thirds Required - EX32,2 - End debate | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Yes: 26 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Michelle Berardinetti, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Janet Davis, Mike Del Grande, Sarah Doucette, Paula Fletcher, Doug Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Mark Grimes, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Peter Milczyn, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Cesar Palacio, John Parker, Gord Perks, Jaye Robinson, Adam Vaughan | |
| No: 6 | Glenn De Baeremaeker, Rob Ford, Doug Holyday, Josh Matlow, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Kristyn Wong-Tam | |
| Absent: 13 | Raymond Cho, Vincent Crisanti, Frank Di Giorgio, John Filion, Norman Kelly, Gloria Lindsay Luby, Giorgio Mammoliti, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Karen Stintz, Michael Thompson | |

Executive Committee consideration on May 28, 2013

| | | | 1 |
|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| EX32.2 | ACTION | Amended | Ward:All |

A Ward Boundary Review for Toronto

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

- City Council amend the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B to the report (May 13, 2013) from the City Manager, to amend the last bullet under Consultant Responsibilities, to now read:
 - "Develop ward boundary options including a possible reduction in the number of wards and a recommended option for City Council's consideration in spring 2016".
- 2. City Council authorize the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B to the report (May 13, 2013) from the City Manager, as amended by recommendation 1.

Origin

(May 13, 2013) Report from the City Manager

Summary

This report responds to the Executive Committee's request that the City Manager report on a ward boundary review process to reflect effective representation within Toronto. At present, there are significant discrepancies in population amongst Toronto's ward boundaries that warrant a review. The City of Toronto Act provides City Council with authority to make changes to its ward boundaries.

The division of ward boundaries is the very basis of representative democracy and must be approached without any preconceived ideas of final ward boundaries such as for example, prejudging the number or populations of wards. The process must be independent and unbiased, include substantial public consultation, and comply with principles set out by the Supreme Court of Canada, Ontario courts and the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB).

This report requests authority for the City Manager to retain a third-party consultant to undertake a ward boundary review for Toronto. During 2013 the consultant will conduct research, develop a work plan and undertake other preparatory work.

The report recommends that City Council approve the consultant's work plan and engagement strategy in winter 2013/2014 and consider ward boundary options and recommendations in spring 2016. After a period for possible appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board, adjustments to Toronto's ward boundaries should be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election.

The consultant will undertake a review process that applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice and implements a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and City Councillors. The first consultation will focus on input and considerations for Toronto's current ward boundaries and the second consultation will focus on broad input on ward boundary options.

Background Information

(May 13, 2013) Report from the City Manager on A Ward Boundary Review for Toronto (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2013/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-58333.pdf)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2013/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-58334.pdf)

Agenda Item History - 2013.EX32.2

8/21/2018

Appendix B - Terms of Reference for a Toronto Ward Boundary Review (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2013/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-58335.pdf)

Communications .

(May 28, 2013) E-mail from Brian Graff (EX.New.EX32.2.1)

Speakers

Councillor Janet Davis Councillor Gord Perks Councillor Adam Vaughan

Motions

1 - Motion to Amend Item moved by Mayor Rob Ford (Carried)

City Council amend the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B to the report (May 13, 2013) from the City Manager, to amend the last bullet under Consultant Responsibilities, to now read:

"Develop ward boundary options including a possible reduction in the number of wards and a recommended option for City Council's consideration in spring 2016".

Vote (Amend Item)

May-28-2013

| Result: Carried | sult: Carried Majority Required - Ford - motion 1 | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Yes: 8 | Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Rob Ford (Chair), Doug Holyday, Peter Milczyn, Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, David Shiner | |
| No: 4 | Paul Ainslie, Frank Di Giorgio, Norman Kelly, Michael Thompson | |
| Absent: 1 | Denzil Minnan-Wong | |

2 - Motion to Amend Motion moved by Councillor Michael Thompson (Lost)
Amend motion 1 by Mayor Ford by adding "or increase" so that it now reads:

"Develop ward boundary options including a possible reduction or increase in the number of wards and a recommended option for City Council's consideration in spring 2016".

Vote (Amend Motion)

May-28-2013

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - Thompson - motion 2 | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Yes: 5 | Paul Ainslie, Gary Crawford, Peter Milczyn, Jaye Robinson, Michael Thompson | |
| No: 7 | Vincent Crisanti, Frank Di Giorgio, Rob Ford (Chair), Doug Holyday, Norman Kelly, Cesar Palacio, David Shiner | |
| Absent: 1 | Denzil Minnan-Wong | |

. 739

3 - Motion to Adopt Item as Amended moved by Mayor Rob Ford (Carried)

Vote (Adopt Item as Amended)

May-28-2013

| Result: Carried | Majority Required - Ford - motion 3 | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| Yes: 12 | Paul Ainslie, Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Frank Di Giorgio, Rob Ford (Chair), Doug Holyday, Norman Kelly, Peter Milczyn, Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, David Shiner, Michael Thompson | |
| No: 0 | | |
| Absent: 1 | Denzil Minnan-Wong | |

Source: Toronto City Clerk at www.toronto.ca/council



STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

A Ward Boundary Review for Toronto

| Date: | May 13, 2013 |
|--------|---------------------|
| To: | Executive Committee |
| From: | City Manager |
| Wards: | All |

SUMMARY

This report responds to the Executive Committee's request that the City Manager report on a ward boundary review process to reflect effective representation within Toronto. At present, there are significant discrepancies in population amongst Toronto's ward boundaries that warrant a review. The City of Toronto Act provides City Council with authority to make changes to its ward boundaries.

The division of ward boundaries is the very basis of representative democracy and must be approached without any preconceived ideas of final ward boundaries such as for example, prejudging the number or populations of wards. The process must be independent and unbiased, include substantial public consultation, and comply with principles set out by the Supreme Court of Canada, Ontario courts and the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB).

This report requests authority for the City Manager to retain a third-party consultant to undertake a ward boundary review for Toronto. During 2013 the consultant will conduct research, develop a work plan and undertake other preparatory work.

The report recommends that City Council approve the consultant's work plan and engagement strategy in winter 2013/2014 and consider ward boundary options and recommendations in spring 2016. After a period for possible appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board, adjustments to Toronto's ward boundaries should be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election.

The consultant will undertake a review process that applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice and implements a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and City Councillors. The first consultation will focus on input and considerations for Toronto's current ward boundaries and the second consultation will focus on broad input on ward boundary options.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The City Manager recommends that City Council:

1. Authorize the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B.

Financial Impact

Costs to conduct a ward boundary review for Toronto will be significant and are estimated to be at a minimum of \$750,000 including costs to retain the consultant and undertake two rounds of broad based consultation. There will also be internal project management costs associated with this initiative.

Funds are available in the 2013 approved operating budget to retain a third party consultant for initial work. Additional costs will be identified in the 2014 operating budget and in the consultant's work plan which will be considered by Council in spring 2014. These additional costs will be included in future year's budget processes as required.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Equity Statement

The principles articulated by the courts in relation to ward boundary reviews support the City's equity framework, and equity goals and objectives. The third-party consultant will be required to incorporate equity considerations in their work plan, throughout the review process and in the engagement and consultation strategy.

DECISION HISTORY

On April 15, 2010, in consideration of the 2010 Operating Budget, City Council requested that the City Manager and the City Clerk report to City Council, through the Executive Committee, early in the next term of City Council, on a mechanism to recognize the uneven population growth in some wards, together with accompanying recommendations for the appropriate allocation of resources. Council also authorized the City Manager to provide one additional staff member at the constituency assistant level for any ward which exceeds the median by more than 50 percent in both population and households, funded through the Council General Expenses Budget, until such time as the report is considered by City Council. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2010.EX42.1, http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2010/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-29379.pdf

On March 19, 2012, the Executive Committee recommended that the City Manager report back on the process for establishing a ward boundary review to better reflect effective representation within the City of Toronto, including possible governance implications, and to ensure that the report include principles that take into account the impact of community revitalization plans; and population densities anticipated in any future Official Plans and Zoning By-laws. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2012.EX18.12

COMMENTS

1. Background

Toronto is the largest city in Canada, and contains a wide diversity of communities and interests. A strong and fair foundation for local government is fundamental for the effective governance of Toronto, and begins with the ward structure. Ward boundaries are dynamic and should not be considered permanent fixtures as local government structures must keep pace with population changes and municipal growth.

Council last reviewed Toronto's ward boundaries in 2000 and since that time some Toronto wards exceed or are approaching the plus or minus 25 percent population variance benchmark for acceptable differences in electoral boundaries as established by the courts.

Ward population variances leave the City vulnerable to a petition under the City of Toronto Act to adjust its ward boundaries. Council has 90 days after receiving a petition to adjust ward boundaries accordingly, after which time one of the people who signed the petition can request the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) to adjust Toronto's ward boundaries thereby removing this power from Council.

The population of each of Toronto's 44 wards is attached as Appendix A.

2. City Council's Authority to Adjust Ward Boundaries and Legal Considerations

Under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 (COTA), City Council has authority to make changes to its ward boundaries through the passage of a by-law. Toronto's ward boundaries do not and are not required to align along federal and provincial riding boundaries.

While COTA sets out the statutory requirements for the enactment of ward boundaries, the expectations regarding a ward boundary review have been largely set out by the courts. The Supreme Court of Canada has set out guidelines for drawing of electoral boundaries and has established that governments must respect the principle of "effective representation."

The first condition that courts consider for effective representation is voter parity, an equal number of voters in every electoral area, but effective representation rather than voter parity is the goal. The Supreme Court has recognized that other factors such as geography, community interests, community history and minority representation justify departure from voter parity to ensure effective representation.

The OMB has applied the Supreme Court factors to justify departures from voter parity in decisions related to municipal ward boundary appeals and identified that the population size of each electoral district should not deviate by more than plus or minus 25%.

3. Municipal Ward Boundary Reviews - Ottawa's Experience

The City of Ottawa's ward boundary review may serve as a helpful guide for Toronto's process. Ottawa took five years and two rounds to complete their ward boundary review.

Ottawa's first ward boundary review process began in July 2001 and their City Council enacted a by-law to adjust ward boundaries about a year later. In 2003, the OMB allowed an appeal of Ottawa's ward boundary by-law and ruled that it was invalid.

The OMB found that Ottawa's ward boundary review gave too much weight to the concept of "representation by population" and not enough to "effective representation." They applied the Supreme Court's approach whereby voter parity could be modified on the basis of geography, community history, community interests and minority representation. The OMB also found that the Ottawa ward boundary review process did not include adequate public participation, including consulting the public on ward boundary options.

The City of Ottawa repeated their ward boundary review and made some key changes to their process based on the OMB decision including retaining a third party consultant to undertake the review and undertaking a two-stage broad consultation strategy involving the public, communities, stakeholders, the Mayor and Councillors. Their consultation strategy entailed a first round of consultation to elicit preliminary input and ideas on their ward boundaries and a second round focused on ward boundary options.

4. Toronto Ward Boundary Review Process and Time lines

Toronto's ward boundary review must follow an independent and unbiased process that includes substantial public consultation and complies with principles set out by the courts, including the Supreme Court of Canada, and the OMB. Ward boundary changes can be contentious and are often appealed so Toronto's process must be as legally robust as possible.

The ward boundary review must provide adequate time to consider a range of options and allow ample time for public consultation. The time required for possible appeals to the OMB must also be considered as well as requirements to implement any changes to Toronto's wards in time for the 2018 municipal election.

This report recommends a Toronto ward boundary review be initiated and that the City Manager be authorized to retain a third party consultant to undertake the review based on the Terms of Reference attached as Appendix B. The Terms of Reference are consistent with other municipal ward boundary reviews, include legally recognized principles and requirements and incorporate lessons learned from Ottawa's ward boundary review process.

The proposed timeline for Toronto's Ward Boundary Review is as follows:

 Spring 2013 – City Council approves undertaking a ward boundary review for Toronto and authorizes the City Manager to retain a third party consultant.

- <u>Fall 2013 Consultant</u> is retained and begins research, work plan development, and other preparatory-work.
- Winter 2013/2014 City Council approves the consultant's work plan including a two stage engagement strategy and estimated financial impacts.
- 2014/2015 The ward boundary review process is underway including broad engagement and consultation with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and Councillors.
- Spring 2016 City Council considers the consultant's report including ward boundary review options and recommendations.
- Spring 2016 to end of 2016 Approximate time for possible appeals to the OMB or Divisional Court.
- 2016 and 2017 Ward boundary changes are implemented and election readiness is undertaken.
- Fall 2018 2018 Municipal election.

The consultant will be responsible for performing all aspects of the ward boundary review process including research, engagement and consultation, the formulation of ward boundary options, and the preparation of a final report and recommendations for City Council's consideration.

The consultant will provide a work plan for City Council's approval in winter 2013/2014 including required ward boundary research and an engagement and consultation strategy with Toronto residents, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and City Councillors. A broad two-stage engagement and consultation strategy has been included to: (1) elicit broad input and considerations on Toronto's current ward boundaries and (2) obtain input and feedback on ward boundary options. The consultant will develop ward boundary options and recommendations for Council's consideration in spring 2016. To ensure the review considers current research and advice, the third party consultant may consult with academic, public policy, electoral and other experts during Toronto's ward boundary review process.

The City Manager will oversee the contract with the consultant including transmitting the consultant's reports to City Council, through Executive Committee, for consideration and approval. City Council will determine the ward boundary review process and any new ward boundary by-law following the review.

CONCLUSION

This report responds to Executive Committee's direction that the City Manager report back on a ward boundary review process. The City's current ward boundaries have been in place since 2000 and there are now considerable discrepancies in population amongst the current wards. This report recommends a ward boundary process to begin in 2013 and to conclude in spring 2016 so that any adjustments to the City's ward boundaries can be implemented in time for the City's 2018 election.

CONTACTS

Lynda Taschereau
Executive Director
Strategic & Corporate Policy
Telephone: (416) 392-6783
Fax: (416) 696-3645
Email: ltascher@toronto.ca

Bonita Pietrangelo
Director, Elections and
Registry Services
Phone: 416-392-8019
Fax: 416-392-3781
Email: bpietran@toronto.ca

Wendy Walberg
Practice Lead
Legal Services
Telephone: (416) 392-8078
Fax: (416) 397-5624
Email: wwalberg@toronto.ca

SIGNATURE

| City Manager | |
|--------------|--|

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A – Population of Toronto Wards
Appendix B – Terms of Reference for a Toronto Ward Boundary Review

City of Toronto Population By Ward 2011

| Ward# | Ward Name | 2011 Population | % Difference from Average |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Etobicoke North | 61,320 | 3.2% |
| 2 | Etobicoke North | 56,720 | -4.6% |
| 3 | Etobicoke Centre | 52,245 | -12.1% |
| 4 | Etobicoke Centre | 54,665 | -8.0% |
| 5 | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 64,020 | 7.7% |
| 6 | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 58,980 | -0.8% |
| 7 | York West | 52,070 | -12.4% |
| 8 - | York West | . 50,330 | -15.3% |
| 9 | York Centre | 46,065 | -22.5% |
| 10 | York Centre | . 64,820 | 9.1% |
| 11 | York South-Weston | 62,380 | 5.0% |
| 12 | York South-Weston | 54,000 | -9.1% |
| 13 | Parkdale-High Park | 53,265 | -10.4% |
| · 14 | Parkdale-High Park | 53,770 | -9.5% |
| 15 | Eglinton-Lawrence | 62,055 | 4.4% |
| 16 | Eglinton-Lawrence | 53,975 | -9,2% |
| 17 | Davenport | 50,510 | -15.0% |
| 18 | Davenport | 44,975 | 24.3% |
| 19 | Trinity-Spadina | 57,245 | -3.7% |
| 20 | Trinity-Spadina | 76,610 | 28.9% |
| 21 | St. Paul's | 48,645 | -18.2% |
| 22 | St. Paul's | 65,510 | 10.2% |
| 23 | Willowdale | 88, 44 0 | 48.8% |
| 24 | Willowdale | 62,340 | 4.9% |
| 25 | Don Valley West | 58,305 | -1.9% |
| 26 | Don Valley West | 64,895 | 9.2% |
| 27 | Toronto Centre-Rosedale | 78,670 | 32.4% |
| 28 | Toronto Centre-Rosedale | 66,580 | 12.0% |
| 29 | Toronto-Danforth | 44,935 | -24.4% |
| 30 | Toronto-Danforth | 53,285 | -10.3% |
| 31 | Beaches-East York | 53,575 | -9.9% |
| 32 | Beaches-East York | 57,365 | -3.5% |
| 33 | Don Valley East | 57, 155 | -3.8% |
| 34 | Don Valley East | 59,410 | 0.0% |
| 35 | Scarborough Southwest | 62,455 | 5.1% |
| 36 | Scarborough Southwest | 54,125 | -8.9% |
| 37 | Scarborough Centre | 64,340 | 8.3% |
| 38 | Scarborough Centre | 66,830 | 12.4% |
| 39 | Scarborough-Agincourt | 54,965 | -7.5% |
| 40 | Scarborough-Agincourt | 62,035 | 4.4% |
| 41 | Scarborough-Rouge River | 68,150 | 14.7% |
| 42 | Scarborough-Rouge River | 77,665 | 30.7% |
| 43 | Scarborough East | ⁵⁵ ,120 | -7.3% |
| 44 | Scarborough East | 60,240 | |
| City | | 2,615,055 | |

Note:

These figures do not include Census undercoverage. While Statistics Canada attempts to count every person and household, some are not captured. The estimate of the total population including Census undercoverage in 2011 by Statistics Canada is 2,753,131.

Sources: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada, 2011.

Data derived from custom tablulations.

Prepared by:



Appendix B

Terms of Reference for Toronto's Ward Boundary Review

Objective

To undertake a ward boundary review process for Toronto, including broad engagement and consultation with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and City Councillors and develop ward boundary options and recommendations for City Council's consideration.

Consultant Responsibilities

- Undertake a ward boundary review for Toronto that is legally robust and will withstand legal scrutiny and possible appeals to the OMB;
- Develop a ward boundary review work plan and engagement strategy for Council's consideration in winter 2013/2014;
- Undertake required electoral, public policy and other research to inform the ward boundary review process;
- Implement a two-stage broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and councillors to elicit input on Toronto's current ward boundaries and input on ward boundary options; and
- Develop ward boundary options including number of wards and a recommended option for City Council's consideration in spring 2016.

The consultant may consult academics, electoral, public policy and other experts during the ward boundary review process.

Project Parameters

The consultant will be responsible to undertake a Toronto ward boundary review within the following parameters:

- Develops a ward boundary review process, work plan and engagement and consultation strategy that does not assume a pre-determined number of wards or specific boundaries of wards for Toronto;
- Applies the principle of "effective representation" as outlined by the Supreme Court of Canada and applied by the courts and the OMB in developing ward boundary options;
- Considers and reflects the principles of geography, community history, minority representation, communities of interest, physical and natural boundaries in developing ward boundary options;
- Adheres to requirements set out in relevant OMB and court decisions about undertaking municipal ward boundary reviews;

- Considers and accommodates Toronto's projected growth and population shifts for a reasonable period of time;
- Builds on lessons learned through other ward boundary reviews and leading electoral and public policy research and advice;
- Considers key City policies in the development of ward boundary options including the Official Plan and community revitalization plans;
- Includes at least two rounds of broad and comprehensive public consultation -- the first to
 provide information to support informed engagement and solicit feedback on existing ward
 boundaries, and the second to provide information and solicit feedback on ward boundary
 options;
- Considers the appropriate number of wards as well as ward boundaries; and
- Works within any additional parameters established by City Council.

Key Project Timelines and Deliverables

- Spring 2013 City Council authorizes the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Toronto ward boundary review
- <u>Fall 2013</u> Consultant retained and begins work
- Winter 2013/2014 Consultant submits a report on Toronto's ward boundary review process including a work plan, and engagement and consultation strategy for Council's consideration
- Spring 2014 to Fall 2015 Toronto's ward boundary review process is underway including required research, implementing a broad two-stage engagement and consultation strategy, and the development of ward boundary options for Toronto
- <u>Fall 2015 to Spring 2016</u> Consultant prepares a final report including ward boundary options and recommendations for Council's consideration
- Spring 2016 Council considers the final report on Toronto's ward boundaries and may adopt a by-law to adjust ward boundaries
- Spring 2016 to end of 2016 Time estimated for possible court or OMB appeals
- 2017/2018 Ward boundary changes are implemented and election readiness is undertaken
- Fall 2018 Municipal election

This is Exhibit "K" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc. Diana Dimmer



Tracking Status

- <u>City Council</u> adopted this item on June 10, 2014 without amendments.
- This item was considered by the <u>Executive Committee</u> on May 27, 2014 and adopted without amendment. It will be considered by City Council on June 10, 2014.

City Council consideration on June 10, 2014

| EX42.4 | ACTION | Adopted | Ward:All |
|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| | | | |

Draw the Line - Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

City Council Decision

City Council on June 10, 11, 12 and 13, 2014, adopted the following:

- City Council approve Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy attached as Appendix A to the report (May 12, 2014) from the City Manager.
- City Council authorize the use of funds in the amount of \$800,050 from the Innovation Reserve Fund, Account XR1713 for 2014 to 2016 to cover the costs for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review.
- City Council increase the 2014 approved Operating Budget for the City Manager's
 Office by \$0.368 million gross and \$0 net to provide for the third party consultant to
 undertake 2014 research, civic engagement and public consultation activities for the
 Toronto Ward Boundary Review.

Background Information (Committee)

(May 12, 2014) Report from the City Manager on Draw the Line - Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-69433.pdf)

(April 28, 2014) Appendix A - Draw the Line - Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-69434.pdf)

(May 27, 2014) Presentation from the Canadian Urban Institute on Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-69622.pdf)

Background Information (City Council)

(June 4, 2014) Supplementary report from the City Manager on Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy -

Information on Project Budget Breakdown (EX42.4a) (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-70335.pdf)

Motions (City Council)

1 - Motion to Defer Item moved by Councillor David Shiner (Lost)

That consideration of the item be deferred until the first quarter of 2015 and City Council request the City Manager to inquire as to when the Province will be reporting on its review of the Provincial riding boundaries and report his findings to Council by March 2015.

Vote (Defer Item)

Jun-10-2014 5:59 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX42.4 - Shiner - motion 1 - Defer the item |
|--|---|
| Yes: 12 Michelle Berardinetti, Vincent Crisanti, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, David Shi | |
| No: 20 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Baílão, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Janet Davis, Sarah Doucette, Mary Fragedakis, Norman Kelly, Peter Leon, Gloria Lindsay Luby, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, John Parker, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, Michael Thompson |
| Absent: 12 | Raymond Cho, Mike Del Grande, John Filion, Doug Ford, Rob Ford, Mike Layton, Giorgio Mammoliti, Peter Milczyn, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Karen Stintz, Kristyn Wong-Tam |

Motion to Adopt Item (Carried)

Vote (Adopt Item)

Jun-10-2014 6:06 PM

| Result: Carried | Majority Required - EX42.4 - Adopt the item | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Yes: 29 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Michelle Berardinetti, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Janet Davis, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, Mary Fragedakis, Mark Grimes, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Peter Leon, Gloria Lindsay Luby, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Ron Moeser, Cesar Palacio, John Parker, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, Jaye Robinson, Michael Thompson | | |
| No: 5 | Vincent Crisanti, Mike Del Grande, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Frances Nunziat (Chair), David Shiner | | |
| Absent: 10 | Raymond Cho, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Doug Ford, Rob Ford, Giorgio Mammoliti, Peter Milczyn, James Pasternak, Karen Stintz, Kristyn Wong-Tar | | |

Motion to End Debate moved by Councillor Paul Ainslie (Carried)
That in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, City Council end the debate on Item EX42.4 and take the vote immediately.

Vote (End Debate)

Jun-10-2014 6:05 PM

| Result: Carried | Two-Thirds Required - EX42.4 - End debate | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Yes: 27 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Michelle Berardinetti, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Janet Davis, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, Mary Fragedakis, Mark Grimes, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Peter Leon, Gloria Lindsay Luby, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, John Parker, Gord Perks, Jaye Robinson | | |
| No: 4 | Glenn De Baeremaeker, Josh Mattow, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner | | |
| Absent: 13 | Raymond Cho, Mike Del Grande, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Doug Ford, Rob Ford, Giorgio Mammoliti, Peter Milczyn, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Karen Stintz, Michael Thompson, Kristyn Wong-Tam | | |

Executive Committee consideration on May 27, 2014

| EX42.4 | ACTION | Adopted | · | Ward:All |
|--------|--------|---------|---|----------|
| | 1 | | | |

Draw the Line - Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

- City Council approve Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy attached as Appendix A to the report (May 12, 2014) from the City Manager.
- City Council authorize the use of funds in the amount of \$800,050 from the Innovation Reserve Fund, Account XR1713 for 2014 to 2016 to cover the costs for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review.
- 3. City Council increase the 2014 approved Operating Budget for the City Manager's Office by \$0.368 million gross and \$0 net to provide for the third party consultant to undertake 2014 research, civic engagement and public consultation activities for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review.

Origin

(May 12, 2014) Report from the City Manager

Summary

At its meeting on June 11, 2013, City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference adopted by City Council.

Following a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process, at its meeting on March 5, 2014, the Bid Committee authorized the award to conduct an independent, objective analysis and review of the City of Toronto's ward boundaries to the Canadian Urban Institute.

The purpose of this report is to transmit the consultant's work plan, Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy, for City Council's consideration. The work plan is consistent with the Terms of Reference adopted by City Council and applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice and implements a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and City Councillors.

Toronto's ward boundary review must follow an independent and unbiased process that includes substantial public consultation and complies with principles set out by the courts, including the Supreme Court of Canada, and the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB).

Background Information

(May 12, 2014) Report from the City Manager on Draw the Line - Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-69433.pdf) (April 28, 2014) Appendix A - Draw the Line - Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-69434.pdf) (May 27, 2014) Presentation from the Canadian Urban Institute on Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy (http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2014/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-69622.pdf)

Speakers

Miroslav Glavic Councillor Shelley Carroll Councillor Janet Davis Councillor Paula Fletcher

Motions

Motion to Adopt Item moved by Deputy Mayor Norman Kelly (Carried)

Vote (Adopt Item)

May-27-2014

| Result: Carried | Majority Required - Kelly - Adopt item |
|-----------------|--|
| Yes: 6 | Frank Di Giorgio, Norman Kelly (Chair), Peter Leon, Cesar Palacio, Anthony Perruzza, Michael Thompson |
| No: 3 | Vincent Crisanti, Denzil Minnan-Wong, David Shiner |
| Absent: 4 | Gary Crawford, Rob Ford, Giorgio Mammoliti, Peter Milczyn |

Source: Toronto City Clerk at www.toronto.ca/council



STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

| Date: | May 12, 2014 |
|--------|---------------------|
| To: | Executive Committee |
| From: | City Manager |
| Wards: | All |

SUMMARY

At its meeting on June 11, 2013, City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference adopted by City Council.

Following a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process, at its meeting on March 5, 2014, the Bid Committee authorized the award to conduct an independent, objective analysis and review of the City of Toronto's ward boundaries to the Canadian Urban Institute.

The purpose of this report is to transmit the consultant's work plan, Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy, for City Council's consideration. The work plan is consistent with the Terms of Reference adopted by City Council and applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice and implements a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders, the Mayor and City Councillors.

Toronto's ward boundary review must follow an independent and unbiased process that includes substantial public consultation and complies with principles set out by the courts, including the Supreme Court of Canada, and the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB).

RECOMMENDATIONS

The City Manager recommends that:

- City Council approve Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy attached as Appendix A;
- City Council authorize the use of funds in the amount of \$800,050 from the Innovation Reserve Fund, Account XR1713 for 2014 to 2016 to cover the costs for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review; and
- City Council increase the 2014 approved Operating Budget for the City Manager's
 Office by \$0.368 million gross and \$0 net to provide for the third party consultant to
 undertake 2014 research, civic engagement and public consultation activities for the
 Toronto Ward Boundary Review.

Financial Impact

Costs to undertake Toronto's Ward Boundary Review is \$800,050 inclusive of all fees and taxes. It is estimated that annual costs include: \$367,626 in 2014, \$376,342 in 2015 and \$56,082 in 2016. A large portion of the cost is allocated to undertake two rounds of broad consultation with the public, key stakeholders, the Mayor and Members of City Council. Funds are available in the Innovation Reserve Fund, Account XR1713 to cover the costs of this initiative. The use of the Innovation Reserve Fund for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review is consistent with its intended purpose. The Innovation Reserve Fund is to fund the incremental cost of acquiring external resources required for Program Reviews.

Should City Council amend the work plan, additional costs could be incurred and the legal agreement between the City of Toronto and the Canadian Urban Institute will need to be amended.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Equity Statement

The principles articulated by the courts in relation to ward boundary reviews support the City's equity framework, and equity goals and objectives. The third-party consultant will be required to incorporate equity considerations in their work plan, throughout the review process and in the engagement and consultation strategy.

DECISION HISTORY

On April 15, 2010, in consideration of the 2010 Operating Budget, City Council requested that the City Manager and the City Clerk report to City Council on a mechanism to recognize the uneven population growth in some wards, together with accompanying recommendations for the appropriate allocation of resources. Council also authorized the City Manager to provide one additional staff member at the constituency assistant level for any ward which exceeds the median by more than 50 percent in both population and households, funded through the Council General Expenses Budget, until such time as the report is considered by City Council. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2010.EX42.1, http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2010/cc/bgrb/backgroundfile-29379.pdf

On March 19, 2012, the Executive Committee recommended that the City Manager report back on the process for establishing a ward boundary review to better reflect effective representation within the City of Toronto. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2012.EX18.12

On June 11, 2013, City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B to the report. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2013.EX32.2

On March 5, 2014, following a competitive Request for Proposal process, Bid Committee awarded the contract for the provision of services to conduct an independent, objective analysis and review of the City of Toronto's electoral ward boundaries to the Canadian Urban Institute.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2014.BD167.7

ISSUE BACKGROUND

Toronto is the largest city in Canada, and contains a wide diversity of communities and interests. A strong and fair foundation for local government is fundamental for the effective governance of Toronto, and begins with the ward structure. Ward boundaries are dynamic and should not be considered permanent fixtures as local government structures must keep pace with population changes and municipal growth.

At present, there are significant discrepancies in population amongst Toronto's ward boundaries that warrant a review. The *City of Toronto Act* provides City Council with authority to make changes to its ward boundaries. Council last reviewed Toronto's ward boundaries in 2000 and since that time some Toronto wards exceed or are approaching the plus or minus 25 percent population variance benchmark for acceptable differences in electoral boundaries as established by the courts.

The division of ward boundaries is the very basis of representative democracy and must 'be approached without any preconceived ideas of final ward boundaries such as for

Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy

example, pre-judging the number or populations of wards. The process must be independent and unbiased, include substantial public consultation, and comply with principles set out by the Supreme Court of Canada, Ontario courts and the OMB.

The Supreme Court of Canada has set out guidelines for drawing of electoral boundaries and has established that governments must respect the principle of "effective representation." The first condition that courts consider for effective representation is voter parity, an equal number of voters in every electoral area, but effective representation rather than voter parity is the goal. The Supreme Court has recognized that other factors such as geography, community interests, community history and minority representation justify departure from voter parity to ensure effective representation.

The OMB has applied the Supreme Court factors to justify departures from voter parity in decisions related to municipal ward boundary appeals and identified that the population size of each electoral district should generally not deviate by more than plus or minus 25%.

COMMENTS

1. Retain a Third Party Consultant to Undertake Toronto's Ward Boundary Review

City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a ward boundary review for Toronto based on the Terms of Reference adopted by City Council. The Terms of Reference for Toronto's Ward Boundary Review are consistent with other municipal ward boundary reviews, include legally recognized principles and requirements and incorporate lessons learned from Ottawa's ward boundary review process. Ward boundary changes can be contentious and are often appealed so Toronto's process must be as legally robust as possible.

The City Manager undertook a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process to retain a third party consultant to undertake Toronto's Ward Boundary Review. At its meeting on March 5, 2014 Bid Committee authorized the award of the contract to the consortium of Canadian Urban Institute, Beate Bowron Etcetera Inc., and the Davidson Group Inc. The consultant consortium bring extensive experience in applied research, urban planning, land use planning, public engagement and ward boundary reviews (including the ward boundary review for the City of Ottawa). The total cost for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review is \$800,050: \$367,626 in 2014, \$376,342 in 2015 and \$56,082 in 2016 and funds in the Innovation Reserve Fund are available to cover the cost of this initiative.

The project will be managed in a manner consistent with the arm's length principle to ensure that the review is objective, independent and unbiased and will withstand possible appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board or Divisional Court. The consultant is responsible for performing all aspects of the ward boundary review process including research, engagement and consultation, the formulation of ward boundary options, and the preparation of a final report and recommendations for City Council's consideration.

The City Manager is responsible for administering the contract for Toronto's Ward Boundary Review and has established a staff Steering Committee for the duration of the project with representation from Strategic and Corporate Policy, the City Clerk's Office (including Election Services) and City Planning. The Steering Committee will monitor completion of deliverables, provide strategic advice and issues management support as required and coordinate City information and data required by the consultants.

2. Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement & Public Consultation Strategy

The consultant's work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategy is attached as Appendix A. The work plan includes five key components:

- Research (June 2014 to November 2014) that will form the background
 information for the first round of public consultation including the legal context,
 jurisdictional reviews, analysis of Toronto's current wards and ward population
 projections out to 2030.
- Civic Engagement (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two:
 May 2015 to November 2015) web-based activities (including social media
 platforms), communication and outreach to educate the public about the purpose of
 the ward boundary review, keep the public informed about the process and provide a
 range of opportunities for the public to get involved.
- Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: June 2015 November 2015) – includes two rounds of public and stakeholder consultation.
 - Round One will elicit input on Toronto's current ward boundaries and will include in-person individual interviews with the Mayor and Members of City Council, all four Toronto school boards, and key stakeholders groups as well as 12 public meetings (3 public meetings in each of the City's 4 Community Council districts).
 - O Round Two will elicit input on ward boundary options and will include in-person individual interviews with the Mayor and Members of City Council, all four Toronto school boards and key stakeholder groups as well as 12 public meetings (3 public meetings in each of the City's 4 Community Council districts. For both rounds of public consultation, in addition to attending a public meeting input may also be provided through the Toronto Ward Boundary Review website or by mail.
- Generation of Ward Boundary Options (March 2015 to April 2015) following
 Round One of the public and stakeholder consultation, and building on the research, a
 series of ward boundary review options will be developed for public and stakeholder
 input in Round Two.

• Final Report (February 2016 to March 2016) – following Round Two of public and stakeholder consultation, the final report will be developed with ward boundary options including a recommended option for Council's consideration. The final report will summarize the input of residents, elected officials and stakeholder groups and will identify any issues raised during the TWBR process that were outside of the scope of the review (for example, governance issues, methods of voting etc.)

It is anticipated that the final report will be submitted to Executive Committee and City Council for consideration in May 2016. City Council will determine any new ward boundary by-law following the review.

CONCLUSION

This report responds to City Council's direction that the City Manager engage a third-party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Toronto Ward Boundary Review Terms of Reference. This report transmits the consultants work plan – Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review: Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy for City Council's consideration.

The City's current ward boundaries have been in place since 2000 and there are now considerable discrepancies in population amongst the current wards. Toronto's Ward Boundary Review will begin in 2014 and conclude in spring 2016 so that any adjustments to the City's ward boundaries can be implemented in time for the City's 2018 election.

CONTACT

Lynda Taschereau Executive Director, Strategic & Corporate Policy Telephone: (416) 392-6783

Fax: (416) 696-3645 Email: ltascher@toronto.ca

SIGNATURE

Joseph P. Pennachetti City Manager

ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement & Public Consultation Strategy

Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy



Institut urbain du Canada

In association with Beate Bowron Etcetera Inc. and The Davidson Group Inc.

DRAW THE LINE

Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement & Public Consultation Strategy

DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28

DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



CONTENTS

| 1. CONTEXT | 1 |
|---|---|
| 2. WORK PLAN | 3 |
| 2.1 Timeline | 4 |
| 3. CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION | 5 |
| 3.1 Civic Engagement Strategy | |
| a) Project Brand | |
| b) Communications Package | |
| c) Social Media | 6 |
| d) Master Contact Database | |
| e) Project Website and City of Toronto Web Page | |
| f) Background and Discussion Guide | |
| g) Summary of Options | |
| h) Input and Feedback Surveys | |
| 3.2 Public and Stakeholder Consultation Strategy | |
| a) Round One - Input | |
| b) Round Two - Feedback on Options | |
| 3.3 Advisory Panel | |
| 4 SIIMMARY | |

APPENDIX A: KEY MESSAGES

APPENDIX B: CITY OF TORONTO POPULATION BY WARD (2011)

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO
DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



1. CONTEXT

The goal of the Toronto Ward Boundary Review (TWBR), generally stated, is to bring a recommendation to Toronto City Council on a ward boundary configuration that respects the principle of "effective representation", as defined by the courts and the Ontario Municipal Board.

To achieve this goal, certain objectives have to be met. The TWBR process must:

- be able to withstand a challenge most likely at the OMB, but possibly in court;
- include civic engagement and public consultation approaches that educate,
 inform and involve residents of Toronto, stakeholders and Council members;
- be based on a current understanding of ward boundary determination principles and practices;
- consider in detail the growth that Toronto has experienced and will experience over the coming years;
- develop a series of ward boundary options for effective representation for consideration and comment by the public, stakeholders and Council members;
- · respect Toronto's equity policies;
- be conducted in an objective, neutral and independent fashion; and,
- provide City Council with specific recommendations.

The TWBR strategy is based on five building blocks: 1) research; 2) civic engagement; 3) consultation of the public, stakeholders and elected officials; 4) generation of options; and, 5) final report and recommendations.

Based on the distribution of ward populations at present, Toronto's ward structure does not meet the requirements of effective representation. Some of the current wards are over 30% to 45% above the average, while others are around 10% of the average (see Appendix B).

Effective representation is a combination of a number of elements – voter parity, protection of communities of interest and neighbourhoods, physical and natural boundaries, ward history and growth trends. While several elements may alter strict voter parity, sometimes referred to as "rep-by-pop", voter parity is a major criterion. It forms the basis for representative democracy. There needs to be some assurance that one elector's vote is roughly equal to that of others.

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO
DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



The focus of the TWBR is to determine the geographical area from which residents elect their councillor. However, the public and stakeholders often confuse ward boundary reviews with other elements of municipal governance such as: municipal government organization (i.e. party politics or having Community Boards or a Board of Control); method of voting (i.e. ranked ballots); who votes (i.e. permanent residents or citizens only); councillor performance; or City operations.

The civic engagement and public consultation process will clearly outline the parameters of the TWBR. However, it is expected that people attending public meetings or commenting online will raise other issues related to the operation of Toronto's government. A significant part of the public process will be an explanation of ward boundaries and their key role in representative democracy and of the limits of the TWBR project.

The TWBR has been designed to respect the 'arm's length' principle with respect to Council involvement. The OMB has ruled in the past that specific direction by councils, such as how wards should be aligned or setting parameters for a minimum or maximum number of wards, has rendered a boundary review unacceptable. The reason for this is a long-held concern about ward boundaries being shaped to the benefit of a specific party. This does not mean that individual Councillors cannot participate in the TWBR. Councillors have valuable and detailed information about their wards, especially with respect to boundary issues and communities of interest. The work program outlined in this report contains resources for two rounds of Councillor interviews and discussion.

To-date, the TWBR terms of reference and consultant selection process have respected the 'arm's length' principle and the TWBR continues to follow this principle through, for example, its relationship to the project Steering Committee. The Steering Committee, which consists of representatives from relevant City Divisions and the consultants, is very specific in its mandate in this regard: "to provide strategic advice, issues management support and City resource coordination in support of Toronto's Ward Boundary Review in a manner consistent with the review's mandate to remain objective, neutral and independent".

The TWBR process will develop and discuss various options for ward boundary configurations that respect the principle of effective representation. Then recommendations will be made to Council on a preferred option. Ward boundary changes are controversial and contentious. Sometimes there is a tendency to stay with the "status quo". This is not a sustainable option in Toronto's case. Its ward populations have grown out of balance.

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



The current ward alignment has been challenged at the OMB, which allowed Toronto time to conduct a comprehensive boundary review. Failure to re-align its wards would, most likely, find the City back in front of the OMB. The Board would then be forced to realign wards based on an adversarial process and without significant public and stakeholder involvement.

2. WORK PLAN

The TWBR will begin in June 2014 and will be completed by May 2016. In addition to this report, the project has five components and associated deliverables:

- 1. Background Research (November 2014)
- 2. Round One Civic Engagement and Public and Stakeholder Consultation (March 2015)
- 3. Options (April 2015)
- 4. Round Two Civic Engagement and Public and Stakeholder Consultation (January 2016)
- 5. Final Report and Recommendations (March 2016)

The Background Research details the legal context of ward boundary reviews as enunciated by the courts and implemented by the OMB. It reviews the experience in Ontario and some larger Canadian cities. Most importantly, it analyzes the current Toronto ward situation and projects ward sizes for three and, possibly, four municipal elections, if no changes are made. These election years are 2018, 2022, 2026 and, potentially, 2030.

The research provides background information for Round One of the civic engagement and public and stakeholder consultation process. The research will be done in phases to correspond to the times when certain types of data are required.

The two-round Civic Engagement and Public and Stakeholder Consultation processes are outlined in detail later in this report. Both rounds involve interviews with all Council members, stakeholder groups and 12 public meetings each. Summary records of Round One of the civic engagement and public and stakeholder consultation process demonstrate the extensive public process.

Based on the public input and fine-grained population projections, options for various configurations of Toronto wards will be generated between Rounds One and Two of the civic engagement and public and stakeholder consultation process. All options will achieve the principle of effective representation, if not at the outset, then for the 2022

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO

U

municipal election. The development of options is a pivotal activity of the TWBR and sets the stage for Round Two of the public process and subsequent recommendations. Summary records of the Round Two process capture the discussions and the feedback on the various options.

The Final Report will be a comprehensive document that outlines the entire Toronto Ward Boundary Review process and includes specific recommendations for ward boundaries to be used in City of Toronto elections starting in 2018. Specifically, the final report will include: the Review's purpose and methodology; its applicable research conclusions; how the City's equity policies were implemented; the results and findings of the two rounds of civic engagement and public and stakeholder consultation; the ward boundary options considered including their ranking, rationale, benefits, limitations and costs; and, the recommended option and rationale for the recommendations.

2.1 TIMELINE

DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28

The table below shows the start and end dates of the major events of the Toronto Ward Boundary Review. The table also includes the dates the six deliverables will be completed. The Review starts officially once City Council has approved this report.

| Task / Deliverable | Start Date | End Date |
|---|--|--|
| Prepare Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation strategy | April 17, 2014 | May 2, 2014 |
| Council review and approval of work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategy* | May 27, 2014 | June 13, 2014 |
| Background Research | June 2014 | November 2014 |
| Civic Engagement (Round One) | June 2014 | February 2015 |
| Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One)* Interviews of members of Council Public Meetings | June 2014 June 2014 December 2014 | February 2015 October 2014 February 2015 |
| Results of Round One | March 2015 | March 2015 |
| Options | March 2015 | April 2015 |
| Civic Engagement (Round Two) | May 2015 | November 2015 |
| Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round Two)* • Interviews of members of Council • Public Meetings | June 2015 June 2015 September 2015 | November 2015 September 2015 November 2015 |
| Results of Round Two | December 2015 | January 2016 |

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



| Final Report | February 2016 | March 2016 |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Final Report submitted to Council* | April 2016 | May 2016 |

^{*} Direct involvement by Members of Council

3. CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION

The TWBR strategy makes a distinction between "civic engagement" and "public and stakeholder consultation". The former is focused on all web-based activities and communications with the public via e-mail, print and social media. The latter includes all face-to-face consultations with the Mayor, all Councillors and stakeholders and 24 public meetings. Public meetings will begin after the October 27th municipal election.

The TWBR civic engagement and public and stakeholder consultation strategy is being delivered in two "rounds". Round One solicits input on Toronto's current ward alignment, gathering suggestions and identifying issues. Round Two collects feedback on various ward boundary options, all of which meet the principles of effective representation.

The civic engagement and public and stakeholder consultation strategy will meet the equity and accessibility requirements of the City of Toronto.

3.1 CIVIC ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY

The Civic Engagement Strategy encompasses all web-based activities and communications with the public via email, print and social media designed to inform people about the opportunities to interact in person with the TWBR process and allows residents and interested parties to connect to the TWBR process online and through social media.

The objectives for the Civic Engagement Strategy are to:

- educate Torontonians about the purpose of the TWBR and provide essential information about the project that helps people to become effective participants in the TWBR process;
- keep people informed and invite them to become involved in the process, both on the web and in person as part of the public consultation component; and,
- provide opportunities for participation that work for different lifestyles and preferences.

The following engagement tools and activities will be developed:

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



a) Project Brand

A project brand will be developed for the TWBR that includes a logo and colour scheme. A strong and consistent brand will help to ensure that the project is recognizable and distinct from other initiatives.

b) Communications Package

The following communication materials will be used to inform citizens about the TWBR process and distribute information about consultations. The focus will be to drive the public to online and physical opportunities, such as online surveys and public meetings, and to learn more and participate in the TWBR process.

- Print advertisements: Prior to each round of public meetings, advertisements will
 be placed in one of Toronto's major newspapers and local/ethnic papers. The
 ads will include the meeting dates and locations, how to participate online, as
 well as information about available interpretation and assisted support services.
- Media releases: Media releases will be used to promote the public meetings as well as other key project milestones.
- Posters: Posters with information about public meeting dates and locations and how to participate online will be distributed through community facilities.
- Mail-outs to selected individuals: Upon request we will mail meeting notices to residents via Canada Post.

The TWBR team will work with select organizations (i.e. residents and ratepayer groups, BIAs, ethno-cultural organizations) to use their existing networks to distribute information about the TWBR process.

c) Social Media

Social media platforms, such as Facebook and Twitter, offer an opportunity to engage diverse community members in the conversation about the TWBR, as well as disseminate materials to a wider and greater variety of participants. We will encourage people to have conversations about the TWBR using social media and will monitor social media traffic related to the project.

The social media strategy for the TWBR includes the following activities:

Creation of a project specific Hashtag (i.e. #TWBR). Anyone who tweets about
the Toronto Ward Boundary Review throughout the project and at public
meetings will be encouraged to use the TWBR hashtag. The hashtag will be
integrated with the project website and all other communication materials.

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



Sample Social Media Content – To encourage our stakeholders to promote the
project on their existing, established social media platforms (i.e. Facebook,
Twitter and email), we will develop sample content that can be easily posted and
shared online.

d) Master Contact Database

Contact information of each person or organization that participates in the TWBR process will be collected and recorded at every opportunity and used to form a Master Contact Database for the project. The list will be a key tool for communicating with people about public meetings and sharing information with them after or in between meetings. The project website (described below) will also have a mailing list sign-up which helps to expand the database.

e) Project Website and City of Toronto Web Page

A project specific website will be developed and maintained. The website will make it easy for people to find project resources and for the project team to communicate key information to the public and stakeholders.

The website will be hosted on a standalone domain with links back to a City of Toronto Ward Boundary Review landing page. It will be mobile-user friendly, include the project hashtag and make information easy to share using social media. It will also include translation via auto-translator.

Following public meetings, project materials such as presentations, maps and meeting summaries, will be posted on the website in a timely manner, allowing interested parties and individuals and stakeholders to participate and follow the process easily online. A separate email account will be created to respond to all requests and communicate with interested parties.

f) Background and Discussion Guide

The Background and Discussion Guide is the key information resource for Round One of the Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy. Its purpose is to provide the public and stakeholders with the information they need to effectively participate in the first round of the TWBR discussion.

The guide will include a set of questions; which correspond to the Input Survey described below. Stakeholder/community groups wanting to participate as a group will be encouraged to download the Background and Discussion Guide as a conversation tool and use it to send in their group's responses in a convenient format.

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO
DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



The guide will be available and easily accessible online through the project website. It will also be printed and circulated at Round One public meetings. Upon request copies of the guide will be sent by mail.

g) Summary of Options

The Summary of Options will provide the public and stakeholders with the information they need to participate in Round Two of the TWBR discussions.

The Summary will be available and easily accessible online through the project website. It will also be printed and circulated at Round Two public meetings. Upon request copies of the summary will be sent by mail.

h) Input and Feedback Surveys

In Round One, the Input Survey will gather general comments about the City's current ward boundaries. In Round Two, the Feedback Survey will gather comments on various ward boundary options.

The results of both surveys will be analyzed and the summaries will be incorporated into the Round One and Two Civic Engagement and Public Consultation records and posted online.

3.2 PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION STRATEGY

a) Round One - Input

During Round One, face-to-face interviews will be held with the following:

- Mayor and each City Councillor (individually)
- Toronto District School Board Trustees
- · Toronto District School Board staff
- · Toronto Catholic District School Board Trustees
- Toronto Catholic District School Board staff
- Conseil scolaire de Viamonde (French Public School Board)
- Conseil scolaire de district catholique Centre-Sud (French Catholic School Board).

In addition, other stakeholder groups will be approached in person to obtain their input and encourage them to get involved in the TWBR process. Examples are: The Toronto Region Board of Trade; Greater Toronto Civic Action Alliance (CivicAction); and the Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas. The TWBR project also will contact community associations in the City of Toronto to encourage them to participate in the TWBR.

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



In order to solicit input from the general public in a face-to-face manner, three public meetings will be held in each Community Council area. Based on experience, this number of public meetings will be required to identify "communities of interest" and meet OMB criteria for assessing communities of interest. The public meetings will be organized by grouping adjacent wards in order to identify boundary issues and for ease of public access. Public meetings will be scheduled in the evening with one meeting per Community Council to be held on a Saturday.

As stated, the suggested number of public meetings is sufficient to satisfy public involvement criteria approved by the OMB in the past and grouping wards is preferable to holding individual ward meetings to identify problems with existing boundaries. Additional meetings in individual wards are not budgeted for, but may use the TWBR material, e.g. the Background and Discussion Guide and the Summary of Options, to provide feedback via the TWBR website.

The public meetings will be interactive and participatory. In our experience, meetings that include an open house, displays, a presentation and facilitated discussion provide the best input and highest level of satisfaction among participants. Round One public meetings will begin in December 2014 and end by mid-February 2015.

Summary records of each public meeting will be developed in a timely manner and posted on the project website with the objective of keeping participants connected to the process, reaching those who were unable to attend and having a record of the meeting than can be used to inform future phases of the project.

Interviews of Council members and key stakeholders will begin in June 2014 and end by February 2015. The municipal election on October 27, 2014 may result in new elected representatives. These new representatives will be briefed on the TWBR project and their input will be obtained at one or more group meetings between Round One and Round Two of the public and stakeholder consultation process.

b) Round Two - Feedback on Options

During Round Two, face-to-face interviews will again be held with the Mayor and each member of Council, as well as the four school boards, to obtain their feedback on the various ward boundary options. The interviews will take place June - September 2015.

The additional stakeholder groups contacted during Round One, as well as community associations, will be encouraged to provide their feedback on the ward boundary options via the website, by mailing their comments to the TWBR project or by attending the appropriate public meeting(s).

To obtain feedback on ward boundary options from the general public face-to-face, three public meetings will again be held in each Community Council area during Round

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO
DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



Two. The combination of wards may stay the same or it may change based on the experience during Round One and/or different groupings suggested by various ward boundary options. Round Two public meetings will begin September 2015 and end in November 2015.

3.3 ADVISORY PANEL

The Terms of Reference for the TWBR adopted by City Council authorized the establishment of an outside Advisory Panel to provide expert advice to the project. This independent 'blue-ribbon' advisory group will provide observations on both substantive and process issues. The panel will be constituted to represent diverse perspectives in the city including the legal profession, academic expertise in electoral and public policy, the business community, youth, and civil society. We anticipate an advisory panel of five members. Panel members will be appointed following Council's approval of this report in June 2014 and their names will be posted on the TWBR website.

The panel will meet three times, first to provide input on the academic, electoral and public policy research; second, to comment on the draft options; and third, to review the final report and recommendations. Prior to meetings, panel members will be asked to review relevant documents and each meeting will be used to discuss their responses and obtain advice.

4. SUMMARY

The TWBR project is scheduled to start in June 2014 and will end by May 2016. The project's work plan allows for all of its components to be completed in an appropriate manner. Once completed, Toronto City Council will have sufficient time to debate the recommendations, make a decision and support its decision before the OMB, if necessary. The time frame allows City staff adequate time to prepare for the implementation of Council's decision in time for the 2018 municipal election.

The TWBR's Civic Engagement and Public and Stakeholder Consultation strategy is extensive and can withstand an OMB challenge. The strategy involves members of Council, stakeholders and the general public in two rounds of consultation, once for input to determine issues with current ward boundaries and a second time to discuss various options for re-aligning the wards. All of the options to be put forward will achieve the principle of effective representation. At the end of the project, City Council will be able to deal with specific recommendations.

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



APPENDIX A: KEY MESSAGES

WHY DO A WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW?

The populations in Toronto's current ward alignment are unbalanced and have already been challenged at the Ontario Municipal Board. This is the reason the City has embarked on the TWBR. If Toronto does not act on the results of the Review, the OMB could impose a new ward structure without appropriate public and stakeholder involvement and Council approval.

WHAT A WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW IS NOT

A ward boundary review addresses the size and shape of the ward a councillor represents. The public and stakeholders often confuse ward boundary reviews with other elements of municipal governance. The TWBR does not cover items such as: municipal government organization (i.e. party politics or having Community Boards or a Board of Control); method of voting (i.e. ranked ballots); who votes (i.e. permanent residents or citizens only); councillor performance; or City operations.

WHAT IS EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION?

Effective representation has been defined by the courts as including the following: voter parity (rep-by-pop); protection of communities of interest and neighbourhoods; respect for natural and physical boundaries; ward history; and recent and projected population growth. Reasonable voter parity must be maintained, even though some variances based on other factors are allowed.

THE TWBR PROCESS AND RECOMMENDATIONS MUST BE INDEPENDENT, **OBJECTIVE AND NEUTRAL**

The OMB has ruled in the past that specific direction by Council, such as how wards should be aligned or setting parameters for a minimum or maximum number of wards, has rendered a boundary review unacceptable. The reason for this is a long-held concern about ward boundaries being shaped to the benefit of a specific party. This does not mean that individual Council members cannot participate in the Review. Councillors have valuable and detailed information about their wards, especially with respect to boundary issues and communities of interest.

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO

DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION ARE SIGNIFICANT COMPONENTS OF ANY WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW

The TWBR includes a sizeable public involvement process that allows Council members, stakeholders and the public to express their opinions on the current ward boundary structure as well as on the options that will be proposed through a variety of means: TWBR project website; e-mails; social media; direct mail; face-to-face interviews; and, 24 public meetings.

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC CONSULTATION STRATEGY PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO

DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28



APPENDIX B: City of Toronto Population By Ward (2011)

| Ward # | Ward Name | 2011 Population | % Difference from Average |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | Etobicoke North | 61,320 | 3.2% |
| 2 | Etobicoke North | 56,720 | -4.6% |
| 3 | Etobicoke Centre | 52,245 | -12.1% |
| 4 | Etobicoke Centre | 54,665 | -8.0% |
| 5 | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 64,020 | 7.7% |
| 6 | Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 58,980 | -0.8% |
| 7 | York West | 52,070 | -12.4% |
| 8 | York West | 50,330 | -15.3% |
| 9 | York Centre | 46,065 | -22.5% |
| 10 | York Centre | 64,820 | 9.1% |
| 11 | York South-Weston | 62,380 | 5.0% |
| 12 | York South-Weston | 54,000 | -9.1% |
| 13 | Parkdale-High Park | 53,265 | -10.4% |
| 14 | Parkdale-High Park | 53,770 | -9.5% |
| 15 | Eglinton-Lawrence | 62,055 | 4.4% |
| 16 | Eglinton-Lawrence | 53,975 | -9.2% |
| 17 | Davenport | 50,510 | -15.0% |
| 18 | Davenport | 44,975 | -24.3% |
| 19 | Trinity-Spadina | 57,245 | -3.7% |
| 20 | Trinity-Spadina | 76,610 | 28.9% |
| 21 | St. Paul's | 48,645 | -18.2% |
| 22 | St. Paul's | 65,510 | 10.2% |
| 23 | Willowdale | 88,440 | 48.8% |
| 24 | Willowdale | 62,340 | 4.9% |
| 25 | Don Valley West | 58,305 | -1.9% |
| 26 | Don Valley West | 64,895 | 9.2% |
| 27 | Toronto Centre-Rosedale | 78,670 | 32.4% |
| 28 | Toronto Centre-Rosedale | 66,580 | 12.0% |
| 29 | Toronto-Danforth | 44,935 | -24.4% |
| 30 | Toronto-Danforth | 53,285 | -10.3% |
| 31 | Beaches-East York | 53,575 | -9.9% |
| 32 | Beaches-East York | 57,365 | -3.5% |
| 33 | Don Valley East | 57,155 | -3.8% |
| 34 | Don Valley East | 59,410 | 0.0% |
| 35 | Scarborough Southwest | 62,455 | 5.1% |
| 36 | Scarborough Southwest | 54,125 | -8.9% |

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW: PROJECT WORK PLAN AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT AND PUBLIC

CONSULTATION STRATEGY
PREPARED FOR: CITY OF TORONTO

DATE ISSUED: 2014-04-28




| 37 | Scarborough Centre | 64,340 | 8.3% |
|----|-------------------------|--------|-------|
| 38 | Scarborough Centre | 66,830 | 12.4% |
| 39 | Scarborough-Agincourt | 54,965 | -7.5% |
| 40 | Scarborough-Agincourt | 62,035 | 4.4% |
| 41 | Scarborough-Rouge River | 68,150 | 14.7% |
| 42 | Scarborough-Rouge River | 77,665 | 30.7% |
| 43 | Scarborough East | 55,120 | -7.3% |
| 44 | Scarborough East | 60,240 | 1.4% |

Population of the City of Toronto: 2,615,055

Note: These figures do not include Census undercoverage. While Statistics Canada attempts to count every person and household, some are not captured. The estimate of the total population including Census undercoverage in 2011 by Statistics Canada is 2,753,131.

Sources: Statistics Canada, Census of Canada, 2011.

Data derived from custom tabulations.



Review of geographical boundaries of City wardsNot about City governance

OBJECTIVE

NEUTRAI



WHY?

Current ward populations unbalanced

Significant variance among large and smal wards

Principle of effective representation not met



EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION

Voter parity (rep-by-pop)

Communities of interest/neighbourhoods

Natural/physical boundaries

Ward history

Recent and projected population growth

Research

- Advisory Panel
- Civic Engagement
 - ConsultationOptions

BUILDING BLOCKS

Recommendations

Communications & social media

- Master Contact Database
 - TWBR website

ROUND 1 - CIVIC

ENGAGEMENT

NPUT

- City of Toronto webpageBackground & Discussion Guide
- Input Survey

ROUND 1 - PUBLIC & STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION INPUT

- Interviews with members of Council
 - Stakeholder interviews
- 12 public meetings, 3 per Community Council area

Summary of Options ROUND 2 - CIVIO ENGAGEMENT -EEDBACK

Feedback Survey
Continuation of website
and social media activity

ROUND 2 – PUBLIC & STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

- Interviews with members
 - of Council

 Meetings with school boards
- 12 public meetings, 3 per Community Council area

TIMING

| ROUND 1 | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| CIVIC ENGAGEMENT | JUNE 2014 – FEBRUARY 2015 |
| INTERVIEWS WITH MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | JUNE 2014 - OCTOBER 2014 |
| STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS | SEPTEMBER 2014 – JANUARY 2015 |
| 12 PUBLIC MEETINGS | DECEMBER 2014 - FEBRUARY 2015 |
| BRIEFING NEW MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | JANUARY 2015 |

TIMING


| ROUND 2 | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| OPTIONS | APRIL 2015 |
| CIVIC ENGAGEMENT | MAY 2015 – NOVEMBER 2015 |
| INTERVIEWS WITH MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | JUNE – SEPTEMBER 2015 |
| SCHOOL BOARD MEETINGS | JUNE – SEPTEMBER 2015 |
| 12 PUBLIC MEETINGS | SEPTEMBER - NOVEMBER 2015 |

TIMING

FINAL REPORT

SUBMISSION OF FINAL REPORT TO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE & COUNCIL

APRIL - MAY 2016





STAFF REPORT INFORMATION ONLY

Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy – Supplementary Information on Project Budget Breakdown

| Date: | June 4, 2014 | |
|--------|--------------|--|
| To: | City Council | |
| From: | City Manager | |
| Wards: | All | |

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the budget breakdown for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review (TWBR) Project Work Plan, Engagement and Consultation Strategy outlined in EX42.4 Draw the Line Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy.

DECISION HISTORY

On June 11, 2013, City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto consistent with the Terms of Reference attached in Appendix B to the report. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2013.EX32.2

On March 5, 2014, following a competitive Request for Proposal process, Bid Committee awarded the contract for the provision of services to conduct an independent, objective analysis and review of the City of Toronto's electoral ward boundaries to the Canadian Urban Institute.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2014.BD167.7

On May 27, 2014, the Executive Committee approved EX42.4 Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy. During consideration of the item, members of the Executive Committee verbally requested the City Manager provide the budget breakdown for the Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Engagement and Consultation Strategy outlined in report EX42.4.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2014.EX42.4

Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy – Supplementary Information on Project Budget Breakdown

COMMENTS

The Toronto Ward Boundary Review Work Plan, Engagement and Consultation Strategy included in the report EX42.4 Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy is based on Council's approved Terms of Reference for the Ward Boundary Review, electoral boundary review best practices and precedents drawn from the successful defence of municipal electoral boundary reviews at Ontario courts and the OMB.

The budget breakdown of the TWBR Work Plan, Engagement and Consultation Strategy developed by the Canadian Urban Institute and including the estimated year over year project costs is attached as Appendix I of this report. In addition to developing the overall strategy, the TWBR Work Plan includes five key components, as reflected in the budget breakdown attached as Appendix I:

- Research (June 2014 to November 2014) that will form the background
 information for the first round of public consultation including the legal context,
 jurisdictional reviews, analysis of Toronto's current wards and ward population
 projections out to 2030. These activities are reflected in Items #2 and #3 in the
 attached budget breakdown.
- Civic Engagement (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: May 2015 to November 2015) web-based activities (including social media platforms), communication and outreach to educate the public about the purpose of the ward boundary review, keep the public informed about the process and provide a range of opportunities for the public to get involved. These activities are reflected in Items #3, #4 and #5 in the attached budget breakdown.
- Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: June 2015 November 2015) – includes two rounds of public and stakeholder consultation.
 - o Round One will elicit input on Toronto's current ward boundaries and will include in-person individual interviews with the Mayor and Members of City Council, all four Toronto school boards, and key stakeholder groups as well as 12 public meetings (3 public meetings in each of the City's 4 Community Council districts).
 - o Round Two will elicit input on ward boundary options and will include in-person individual interviews with the Mayor and Members of City Council, all four Toronto school boards and key stakeholder groups as well as 12 public meetings (3 public meetings in each of the City's 4 Community Council districts). For both rounds of public consultation, in addition to attending a public meeting, input may also be provided through the Toronto Ward Boundary Review website or by mail.

These activities are reflected in Items #4 and #5 in the attached budget breakdown.

Generation of Ward Boundary Options (March 2015 to April 2015) – following Round One of the public and stakeholder consultation, and building on the research, a series of ward boundary review options will be developed for public and stakeholder input in Round Two. These activities are reflected in Items #3 and #4 in the attached budget breakdown.

• Final Report (February 2016 to March 2016) – following Round Two of public and stakeholder consultation, the final report will be developed with ward boundary options including a recommended option for Council's consideration. The final report will summarize the input of residents, elected officials and stakeholder groups and will identify any issues raised during the TWBR process that were outside of the scope of the review (for example, governance issues, methods of voting etc.) These activities are reflected in Item #6 in the attached budget breakdown.

It is anticipated that the final report will be submitted to Executive Committee and City Council for consideration in May 2016.

CONTACT

Lynda Taschereau Executive Director, Strategic & Corporate Policy

Telephone: (416) 392-6783 Fax: (416) 696-3645 Email: ltascher@toronto.ca

SIGNATURE

Joseph P. Pennachetti City Manager

Appendix I: Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy – Budget Breakdown

APPENDIX I

Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy - Budget Breakdown

| | | Allocation of Project Budget | | | |
|-------------|---|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------------------|
| Item No. | Item | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Total Cost |
| 1 | Develop & Refine Work Plan and Civic Engagement Strategy Develop work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategies Prepare presentation materials Present work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategies to Executive Committee & City Council Refine work plan, civic engagement and public consultation strategies based on input from City Council | \$15,215 | | | \$15,215 |
| 2 | Review of Current Legislation and Regulations governing Municipal Electoral Representation in Ontario Research current legislation, regulations and best practices Establish Advisory Panel and hold meeting to provide input on research Prepare preliminary research report | \$39,505 | | | _. \$39,505 |
| 3 | Document and Assess the City's Current Electoral Ward Representation and Develop Ward Boundary Options - Assess and map current ward boundary structure - Project and map current ward structure, including mapping population by Census tract or traffic zone - Assess input from Round One of civic engagement & public consultation process - Finalize research report - Develop ward boundary options - Advisory Panel meeting to provide input on ward boundary options - Draft and review options report - Prepare summary of options report | \$63,713 | \$123,497 | | \$187,210 |
| 4 | Develop Civic Engagement Tools and Materials to Conduct a Two-Stage Broad Engagement and Consultation Process - Prepare and distribute communications package for TWBR project launch - Identify stakeholders/create and maintain TWBR Master Contact List - TWBR Round One civic engagement & public consultation materials (e.g. background & discussion guide, web materials, social media, display maps, etc.) - Prepare TWBR Options Report summary materials - TWBR Round Two civic engagement & public | \$108,693 | \$64,267 | | \$172,960 |


| | | Allocation of Project Budget | | | | |
|-------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|--|
| Item No. | Item | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | Total Cost | |
| 5 | consultation (e.g. background & discussion guide, web materials, social media, display maps, etc.) - Coordinate any required translation, interpretation, accessibility and other equity requirements for two rounds Conduct a Two-stage Broad Engagement and Consultation Process | · | | | | |
| | Round One - Civic Engagement: - Administer, record and analyze input survey (incl. translation of non-English responses) - Monitor, maintain & record social media - Report on Round One - Civic Engagement Round One - Public Consultation: - Interview Mayor, City Councillors and other stakeholders (with follow up for any new Members of Council post-Oct 27/14 municipal election) - Undertake 12 public meetings (3 per Community Council area) - Report on Round One - Public Consultation Round Two - Civic Engagement: - Administer, record and analyze feedback survey (incl. translation of non-English responses) - Monitor, maintain and record social media - Report on Round Two - Civic Engagement Round Two - Public Consultation: - Interview Mayor & Councillors - Undertake 12 public meetings (3 per Community Council area) - Report on Round Two - Public Consultation | \$140,500 | \$160,825 | | \$301,325 | |
| 6 | Final report on Civic Engagement and Public Consultations Produce a Final Report Develop, review and finalize TWBR Final Report (incl. options and recommendations) Advisory Panel meeting to provide input on final report and recommendations | | \$27,750 | \$45,285 | \$73,035 | |
| 7 | Present and Respond to Questions on Final Report at City Executive Committee and City Council Present final report to Executive Committee and City Council | | | \$10,800 | \$10,800 | |
| | Total* | \$367,626 | \$376,339 | \$56,085 | \$800,050 | |


^{*\$141,160} of the total has been budgeted for costs associated with accessibility requirements, advertising and venue expenses.

This is Exhibit "L" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer





ROUNDIMOREPORI

CIVICENCIACEMENTE. PUBLIC CONSULTATION

FEEDBACK ON THE OPTIONS FOR NEW WARD BOUNDARIES FOR THE CITY OF TORONTO

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW FEBRUARY 2016



CONTENTS

| 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2. INTRODUCTION | 5 |
| 2 ADDDAACU | ******************************* |
| 4. WHO WE HEARD FROM | 9 |
| 5. RANKING THE FIVE OPTIONS | 9 |
| 5 1 Surviva | 10 |
| 5.2 Members of Council | 14 |
| 6. COMMENTS ON THE FIVE OPTIONS | 19 |
| 6.1 Survey | 20 |
| 6.1 Survey | 23 |
| 6.2 Public Meetings | 24 |
| 6.3 Members of Council | 26 |
| 7. ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK ON THE OPTIONS | 7/ |
| 7.1 Survey | |
| 7.2 Public Meetings | |
| 7.3 Members of Council | |
| 8. NEXT STEPS | 31 |
| APPENDIX A | ٠٤ |
| APPENDIX B | |
| APPENDIX C | 5 |
| APPENDIX E | |
| APPENDIX E | ##549RE9949################################ |

The **Toronto Ward Boundary Review (TWBR)** is looking at the size and shape of Toronto's wards. Learn more about the project at: www.drawthelines.ca



1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Toronto's current ward structure was developed approximately 15 years ago. Since then population growth in various wards has been quite uneven, with the result that some large wards have now twice the populations as other small wards. Therefore, the current ward structure does not achieve the principle of 'effective representation' as defined by the courts and interpreted by the Ontario Municipal Board. 'Effective representation' is impacted not just at election time, but every time City Council votes.

In June 2014 Toronto City Council started a process to rectify this situation by launching the Toronto Ward Boundary Review (TWBR).

Between July 2014 and January 2015 the TWBR completed Round One of its civic engagement and public consultation process to collect opinions on Toronto's current ward alignment. The results of Round One informed the five options presented in the <u>Toronto Ward Boundary Review Options Report</u>, which was published in August 2015. Based on population forecasts for the target year of 2026, the five options are:

- Option 1: Minimal Change average ward population 61,000; 47 wards
- Option 2: 44 Wards average ward population 70,000
- Option 3: Small Wards 50,000; 58 wards
- Option 4: Large Wards 75,000; 38 wards
- Option 5: Natural/Physical Boundaries average ward population 70,000; 41 wards

Maps of the five options can be found in APPENDIX E of this report.

An option based on using the 25 federal ridings in Toronto has not been pursued, since it would not achieve voter parity, an essential component of effective representation, nor would it address the current discrepancies in ward population sizes. Option 1: Minimal Change comes closest to such an option, since Toronto's existing ward structure is based on provincial riding boundaries.

These five options were the focus of Round Two of the TWBR's civic engagement and public consultation process between August and November 2015. Feedback on the five proposed options has been received from Members of Council through one-on-one interviews and the public through public meetings, an online Survey and social media. The Survey is attached to this report in **APPENDIX A**.



1.2 PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT AND NEXT STEPS

The purpose of this report is to convey the results from the public Survey and the Councillors' interviews as well as the refinements to the options suggested by all Round Two participants. The TWBR team will carefully consider all of the feedback received. Once this work has been completed, the team will prepare a Final Report, which will determine the preferred option, assess whether the suggested refinements to that option achieve effective representation and recommend a new alignment for Toronto's wards that can be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election and serve the City until 2030.

It is important to understand that any realigned ward structure to be recommended in the Final Report will not necessarily be based on the option that has received the highest ranking or the most first choice picks from Round Two participants. The TWBR team will need to balance this with the suggestions for specific refinements to the different options to ensure that the recommendation maintains effective representation for the municipal elections of 2018, 2022, 2026 and 2030.

The City of Toronto Executive Committee is expected to discuss the TWBR final report and the recommended ward re-alignment at their meeting on May 24, 2016.

1.3 PUBLICIZING AND ADVERTISING THE PUBLIC MEETINGS

In order to encourage discussion and feedback on the Options Report, direct e-mails with a link to the report were sent to Members of Council, the various Boards of Education and other stakeholder groups and the project's distribution list of over 2,800 contacts. In addition, both the TWBR and the City of Toronto issued news releases drawing attention to the report's availability online.

The public meetings were advertised through repeated communications with the project mailing list, news releases and online and in print advertisements. Many Councillors shared e-news and tweets/posts about the public meetings with their constituents. The Options Report was covered by all of Toronto's major daily newspapers as well as community newspapers and on television throughout August and into September 2015.

1.4 WHO WE HEARD FROM

In total 884 people were involved in the second Round of the TWBR civic engagement and public consultation process:

- 112 people attended the 12 public meetings held across the city. The meetings were widely advertised, Councillors spread the word though e-news and tweets and there was extensive media coverage.
- 717 completed Surveys were received as well as 15 general submissions (five of which also included Surveys, which are part of the 717).



 In-person interviews were held with the Mayor's office and 42 Councillors (some interviews included Councillors' staff).

1.5 RANKING THE FIVE OPTIONS

Section 5 of this report presents the ranking of the options from the public Survey and Members of Council separately, both in order of choice (from first to fifth) and by ranked score¹.

a) Survey

Table 1 below (Table 2 in the report) shows the number of times each option was chosen by the public Survey respondents.

Table 1 Ranking by Option Placement - Public Survey

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 – LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 Natural/Physical Boundaries |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| First ranked | 126 | 81 | 186 | 162 | 139 |
| Second ranked | 166 | 167 | 73 | 94 | 157 |
| Third ranked | 169 | 221 | 80 | 72 | 111 |
| Fourth ranked | 121 | 146 | 97 | 117 | 169 |
| Fifth ranked | 71 | 35 | 224 | 229 | 105 |
| Not ranked | 64 | 67 | 57 | . 43 | 36 |
| TOTAL | 717 | 717 | 717 | 717 | 717 |

Table 2 below (Table 3 in the report) shows the ranked score for each option from the public Survey.

Table 2 Total Ranked Score - Public Survey

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 – LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| TOTAL SCORE | 2114 | 2063 | 1880 | 1865 | 2027 |

¹ The ranked score is created by assigning a numerical value to each of the five choices. First choice receives 5 points, second 4 points, third 3 points, fourth 2 points and fifth 1 point. An unranked or "No" response receives 0 points.

Dealy Links

TOTORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW ROUND TWO REPORT CIVIC ENGAGEMENT + PUBLIC CONSULTATION DATE ISSUED: FEBRUARY 2016

b) Members of Council

Most Councillors tie their rankings to refinements in the suggested ward boundaries of various options.

Table 3 below (Table 6 in the report) shows the number of times each option was chosen by Members of Council.

Table 3 Ranking by Option Placement - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 – MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 - LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|----|
| First ranked | 13 | 9 | . 10 | 3 | | _3 |
| Second ranked | 12 | . 7 | 3 | 4 | | 3 |
| Third ranked | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | | 5 |
| Fourth ranked | + - 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 0 |
| Fifth ranked | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 0 |
| Ranked No ² | 4 | 2 | 8 | 9 | | 10 |
| | 8 | 19 | 16 | 25 | | 21 |
| Not ranked TOTAL | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | | 42 |

Table 4 below (Table 7 in the report) shows the ranked score for each option by Members of Council.

Table 4 Total Ranked Score - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 - LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 – NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| TOTAL SCORE | 127 | 82 | 77 | 25 | 42 |

1.6 COMMENTS ON THE FIVE OPTIONS

Survey respondents, public meeting participants and Members of Council also provided general comments on the various options and suggested refinements.

Round Two participants are divided on whether to respect the pre-amalgamation municipal boundaries when re-drawing ward boundaries. With regard to ward sizes, Survey respondents have different opinions than Councillors. When commenting on Option 4: Large Wards, Survey respondents appear to

² Some Councillors ranked some options as "No" identifying that they were absolutely not in support of this option.



be in favour of enlarging the size of wards and thus reducing the number of Councillors even further, while Councillors are uneasy with ward sizes above 70,000. The liveliest debate, however, is reserved for Option 3: Small Wards. Comments range from "too extreme" through "good, but not politically acceptable" to "brilliant".

This report consolidates Ward-specific suggestions for refinements of the options from all Round Two participants by option and ward in **APPENDIX C**. Additional comments on specific communities of interest and suggestions for refining existing wards can be found in **APPENDIX D**.

1.7 ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK ON THE OPTIONS

Often, additional comments reinforce Round Two participants' opinions about the various options. Comments and suggestions generally cover the following themes: Number and Size of Wards; Federal/Provincial Ridings; Downtown/Suburbs; Main Streets and Communities of Interest; Effective Representation; Workload (wards with more high-rise buildings versus wards with mostly single-family houses); and TWBR process.

2. INTRODUCTION

Toronto's current ward structure was developed approximately 15 years ago. Since then population growth in various wards has been quite uneven, with the result that some large wards have now twice the populations as other small wards. Therefore, the current ward structure does not achieve the principle of 'effective representation' as defined by the courts and interpreted by the Ontario Municipal Board. 'Effective representation' is impacted not just at election time, but every time City Council votes.

In June 2014 Toronto City Council started a process to rectify this situation by launching the Toronto Ward Boundary Review (TWBR). City Council approved a Work Plan for the TWBR project, which included a substantial Civic Engagement & Public Consultation Strategy. The TWBR has sought wideranging input on the current ward alignment, developed five ward boundary options and consulted broadly on the options.

The TWBR has undertaken background research and conducted Round One of the civic engagement and public consultation.³ The <u>Toronto Ward Boundary Review: Background Research Report (updated December 2014)</u> investigates ward structures in numerous cities in Ontario and Canada. It also considers some international examples. The report on civic engagement and public consultation: <u>Toronto Ward Boundary Review: Round One Report on Civic Engagement + Public Consultation (March 2015)</u>

³ The Research Report, the Round One Report on Civic Engagement + Public Consultation and the Options Report can be found on the TWBR website at www.drawthelines.ca/resources/reports.



documents the extensive input provided by the public, stakeholders and Members of Council on Toronto's current ward alignment through interviews, public meetings, an online Survey and social media.

The analysis and results from both of those reports have informed the development of the five options for a re-aligned ward boundary structure contained in the <u>Toronto Ward Boundary Review Options Report</u> published in August 2015. This Options Report has been the focus of the TWBR's second round of civic engagement and public participation.

Feedback on the five proposed options has been received from Members of Council through one-on-one interviews and the public through public meetings, an online Survey and social media. The purpose of this Round Two report is to convey the results from the online Survey and the Councillors' interviews as well as the refinements to all of the options suggested by all Round Two participants.

The TWBR team will carefully consider all of the feedback received. Once this work has been completed, the TWBR final report will determine the preferred option, assess whether the suggested refinements to that option achieve effective representation and recommend a new alignment for Toronto's wards that can be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election and serve the City until 2030.

This report contains 8 sections. Following this Introduction Section 3: Approach describes the range of activities the TWBR project has employed to encourage discussion and feedback on the Options Report.

Section 4: Who We Heard From quantifies the number of responses received via the public meetings, online and through interviews with Members of Council. Section 5: Ranking the Options reports on how the various participants in the TWBR's Round Two process rank the five options and Section 6: Comments on the Five Options outlines participants' observations by option.

Section 7: Additional Feedback on the Options organizes additional comments thematically, but does not repeat comments already captured in Section 6. Section 8: Next Steps concludes the report.

APPENDIX A contains a copy of the online feedback Survey. APPENDIX B summarizes statements received that concern matters outside of the terms of reference of the TWBR. APPENDIX C consolidates the myriad of refinements suggested by the public and Members of Council to improve the various options. APPENDIX D lists comments on various communities of interest as well as suggestions for refining existing wards. For easy reference APPENDIX E contains maps of the five options.

3. APPROACH

The Options Report was released publicly on August 11, 2015 on the TWBR's website. In order to encourage discussion and feedback on the report, direct e-mails with a link to the report were sent to the project's distribution list of over 2,800 contacts, which includes community organizations, NGOs, specific ethno-cultural organizations and individuals who subscribe to the TWBR mailing list. Separate



e-mails were also sent to all Members of Council, the various Boards of Education and other stakeholder groups. In addition, the TWBR as well as the City of Toronto issued news releases drawing attention to the report's availability online.

The Options Report was covered by all of Toronto's major daily newspapers as well as community newspapers and on television throughout August and into September 2015 as follows:

- CP24 News
- CTV News
- East York Mirror
- · Global News
- Metro News
- Metroland Media
- Novae Res Urbis (NRU)
- The Globe and Mail
- Toronto Star
- Toronto Sun
- Torontoist
- Urban Toronto

At the same time as the Options Report was released online, the TWBR posted the Round Two Consultation Guide and Survey on the project website. The Guide outlined how the options were developed and presented criteria that could be used to evaluate the options as well as maps of the options.

The attached Survey (see **APPENDIX A**) asked for a ranking of the options and potential refinements. The Survey was open between August 11 and November 15, 2015.

The TWBR website was visited 10,000 times between August and December 2015, with the most traffic going to the Options Report and the Survey.

From August to November 2015 the TWBR held face-to-face interviews with 42 Councillors and the Mayor's office.

Between September 16 and November 5 the TWBR held 12 public meetings (three in each Community Council area) on Wednesday and Thursday nights as well as on Saturday mornings. ASL interpretation was available at all public meetings. There were no requests for other interpretation services for any of those meetings. In addition, the TWBR delivered one lunch-time webinar.

The public meetings began with a presentation of the options and questions and answers. This was followed by a discussion of potential refinements to any of the options in a group setting. Individual participants then decided to fill out the Survey immediately or submit it later online.



The public meetings were advertised through repeated communications with the project mailing list, news releases and online and in print as follows:

- Canadian Chinese Express (Mandarin)
- Corriere Canadese (Italian)
- El Popular (Spanish)
- Iran Javan (Farsi)
- Korea Times Daily
- Metro News
- Metroland: Beach Mirror
- Metroland: Bloor Villager
- Metroland: City Centre Mirror
- Metroland: East York Mirror
- Metroland: Etobicoke Guardian
- Metroland: North York Mirror
- Metroland: Parkdale Liberty
- Metroland: Scarborough Mirror
- Metroland: York Guardian
- Philippine Reporter
- Russian Canadian Info
- Senthamarai (Tamil)
- Sing Tao (Traditional Chinese)
- Soi Portuguese
- Urdu Post (Urdu)

Posters advertising the process and public meetings were displayed in all 99 Toronto Public Library branches.

Online advertisements were placed on four high-traffic sites:

- CBC.ca
- NOW
- The Weather Network
- Toronto Star

The TWBR was active on social media through Twitter and Facebook to spread the news of the release of the Options Report and to reach out to stakeholders and community members to promote local public meetings. The project was supported in this endeavor by the City of Toronto's social media account, but more particularly by the engagement of many Councillors who shared e-news and tweets/posts about the public meetings with their constituents.



4. WHO WE HEARD FROM

In total 884 people were involved in the second Round of the TWBR civic engagement and public consultation process.

112 people attended the 12 public meetings across the city. The meetings were widely advertised, Councillors spread the word though e-news and tweets and there was extensive media coverage. Attendance at the public meetings ranged from 0 at a meeting in North York to a high of 23 at Metro Hall. The discussions at public meetings were lively. Attendance by Community Council area was as follows:

- North York Community Council area (23 individuals)
- Toronto East York Community Council area (45 individuals)
- Scarborough Community Council area (31 individuals)
- Etobicoke York Community Council area (13 individuals)

The added lunch-time webinar attracted 3 individuals.

Survey responses increased over those received during Round One of the process. 691 Surveys were completed online, 2 were mailed in and 19 were filled out by hand by individuals during the various public meetings.⁴ In addition, the TWBR received 15 general submissions from individuals, one BIA and several residents associations, five of which also ranked the options.

In-person interviews were held with the Mayor's office and 42 Councillors (some interviews included Councillors' staff) to obtain feedback on the options and gather suggestions for refinements. The Mayor's office preferred a briefing session only. One of the two remaining Councillors declined the invitation to be interviewed and another elected to participate in the public process rather than offering specific individual comments.

5. RANKING THE FIVE OPTIONS

The Councillor interviews and the Round Two Consultation Guide & Survey allowed Councillors and the public to rank the five options by selecting their first, second, third, fourth and fifth choices. This Section of the report reports on how the options have been ranked.

Not all participants have ranked all the options. For example, some have only provided their first two or three choices or, perhaps, no choices at all. If this is the case, the 'blank' options are listed as "not

An additional 80 surveys were submitted from a Councillor's office as a group. Discussion with the Councillor revealed that he was unaware of the process that had been followed to have these completed. As a result these surveys are considered a data anomaly and are excluded from the Survey analysis.



ranked". Some Councillors indicate that they do not like some option at all, a "no-way" comment. In these cases, the option is ranked as a "No". Members of the public have not used the "No" approach.

In addition to the 'first choice' analysis the TWBR team has also applied a "ranked score", which is able to weigh selections beyond the first choice. A "ranked score" assigns a numerical value to each choice, and the sum of those values determines the overall result. The following is the "ranked score" for the five options:

| First choice | 5 points |
|---------------|----------|
| Second choice | 4 points |
| Third choice | 3 points |
| Fourth choice | 2 points |
| Fifth choice | 1 point |
| Not ranked | 0 points |
| No | 0 points |

The total rankings, both from the public and Members of Council, are presented separately to maintain their statistical accuracy and are analyzed in four different ways.

First, the number of times an option receives a "first place" vote is offered, which indicates which option has the most votes. Second, a ranked score is presented. As will be seen, the ranked score approach and "first-place" analysis do not always yield the same results.

Since determining a preferable ward option is a matter of building consensus, options that are viewed as strongly negative can sway the ultimate outcome. Therefore, information is presented on the fifth placed option, the least preferred, and, in the case of the Councillor interviews, options that are rated as "No".

Finally, a comparison Chart contrasts the number of first and last, or "No", choices. This information indicates how contentious an option may be along with its level of support.

5.1 SURVEY

In all the TWBR received 717 Surveys. Their origin by ward is shown in Table 1, Surveys by Ward. A copy of the Survey is included in this report as **APPENDIX A**.



Table 1 Survey Responses by Ward

| WARD | # OF RESPONSES | WARD | # OF RESPONSES |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | 0 | 23 | 33 |
| 2 | 3 | 24 | 10 |
| 3 | 5 | 25 | 47 |
| 4 | 9 | 26 | 17 |
| 5 | 11 | 27 | 70 |
| 6 | 9 | 28 | 39 |
| 7 | 2 | 29 | 21 |
| -8 | 1. | 30 | 29 |
| 9 | 1 | 31 | 21 |
| 10 | 6 | 32 | 83 |
| 11 | 13 | 33 | 10 |
| 12 | 1 | 34 | 4 |
| 13 | 11 | 35 | 8 |
| 14 | 22 | 36 | 19 |
| 15 | 9 | 37 | 3 |
| 16 | 6 | 38 | 1. |
| 17 | 21 | 39 | 4 |
| 18 | 15 | 40 | 7 |
| 19 | 23 | 41 | , 3 |
| 20 | 44 | 42 | 4 |
| 21 | 23 | 43 | 9 |
| 22 | 19 | 44 | 13 |
| Ward not identified in response: | 8 | Total Surveys: | 717 |

Table 2, Ranking by Option Placement, shows how each option is ranked from first to fifth choice.

Table 2 Ranking by Option Placement - Public Survey

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 – LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| First ranked | 126 | 81 | 186 | 162 | 139 |
| Second ranked | 166 | 167 | 73 | 94 | 157 |
| Third ranked | 169 | 221 | 80 | 72 | 111 |
| Fourth ranked | 121 | 146 | 97 | 117 | 169 |
| Fifth ranked | 71 | 35 | 224 | 229 | 105 |
| Not ranked | 64 | 67 | 57 | 43 | 36 |
| TOTAL | 717 | 717 | 717 | 717 | 717 |

THE LINES AND LONG TO SERVICE OF THE PARTY O

TOTORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW
ROUND TWO REPORT CIVIC ENGAGEMENT + PUBLIC CONSULTATION
DATE ISSUED: FEBRUARY 2016

Table 3 shows the total ranked score for each option from the public Survey.


Table 3 Total Ranked Score - Public Survey

| | OPTION 1 MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 – LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| TOTAL SCORE | 2114 | 2063 | 1880 | 1865 | 2027 |

Total Ranked Score

Chart 1, Total Ranked Score, depicts Table 3 as a graph for ease of comparison.

Chart 1 Total Ranked Score - Public Survey



Based on a "ranked score" approach, the first choice option among the Survey responses is Option 1, Minimal Change, with 2114 points, followed by Option 2 (2063 points), Option 5 (2027 points), Option 3 (1880 points) and Option 4 (1865 points).

First Place Choice

When the options are examined by first place showing, the rankings change. Table 4, First Place Choice, depicts this ranking.



Table 4 First Place Choice - Public Survey

| OPTION 1 MINIMAL | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| - | 81 | 186 | 162 | 139 |
| | 1 . | MINIMAL WARDS | MINIMAL CHANGE WARDS SMALL WARDS | OPTION 2 - 44 OPTION 3 - OPTION 4 - LARGE WARDS OPTION 2 - 44 OPTION 3 - LARGE WARDS OPTION 4 - LARGE WARDS |

From this perspective, Option 3 (Small Wards – 50,000) receives the most first place votes with 186, followed by Option 4 (162), Option 5 (139), Option 1 (126) and finally Option 2 (81).

Fifth Place Choice

To see which option is the least favoured, Table 5, Fifth Place Choice, provides information on how the options distribute themselves in fifth or last place.

Table 5 Fifth Place Choice - Public Survey

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Times Ranked Fifth | 71 | 35 | 224 | 229 | 105 |

Here Option 2, 44 Wards, ends up with the fewest times ranked fifth (35). It is "the least worst" option, followed by Option 1 (71), Option 5 (105), Option 3 (224) and Option 4 (229). This perspective indicates the level of opposition to Options 3 and 4, an important consideration for acceptance and implementation.


Comparison - First and Fifth Choice

Finally, Chart 2, Comparison – First and Fifth Choice, graphically illustrates a comparison of options by first and fifth choices. This chart, to some extent, reveals how strongly respondents feel about the options in both a positive and negative sense. Both Options 3 and 4 rank high on both first and fifth choices. Respondents seem to love them or hate them.

Options 1 and 2, on the other hand, have fewer first place votes but even fewer fifth place votes. Option 5 is somewhere in the middle, but with fewer fifth place votes than first place ones.



Chart 2 Comparison - First and Fifth Choice - Public Survey



General Observations

Depending on one's perspective different, often conflicting, observations can be drawn from the Survey responses. From a "first-past-the-post" perspective Option 3 (Small Wards – 50,000) is the favoured option from the public Survey. However, when second to fifth choices are considered in a ranked score approach, then Option 1 (Minimal Change) is the respondents' favoured option. Option 2 (44 Wards) is the least disliked, as measured by fifth place choices, while Option 4 is the most disliked.

5.2 MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

This Section of the report presents the results from the individual interviews with Members of Council. In all 42 Councillors participated. The questions posed to Councillors are similar to those in the public Survey. The approach to the ranking of the options, however, is identical. As mentioned above, some Councillors respond with a "No" to certain options, indicating that they will not consider those options at all and others do not rank one or more of the options.

It should be noted that most Councillors tie their rankings to refinements in the suggested ward boundaries of various options. That is, a first place choice will have to include certain refinements to be acceptable. All of these suggested refinements have been consolidated by ward and option in **APPENDIX D** of this report.



Table 6, Ranking by Option Placement, shows how Councillors rank each option.

Table 6 Ranking by Option Placement - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| First ranked | 13 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 |
| Second ranked | 12 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Third ranked | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 5 |
| Fourth ranked | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Fifth ranked | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ranked No | 4 | 2 | ` 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Not ranked | 8 | 19 | 16 | 25 | 21 |
| TOTAL | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |

The analysis of this chart, like the public Survey analysis, is presented in four ways: total ranked score, first place choice, fifth place choice and a first/fifth choice comparison.

Total Ranked Score

Table 7, Total Ranked Score, shows the total points for each option from the Councillor interviews.


Table 7 Total Ranked Score - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 - LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| TOTAL SCORE | 127 | 82 | · 77 | 25 | 42 |

Chart 3, Total Ranked Score, depicts Table 7 as a graph for ease of comparison.



Chart 3 Total Ranked Score - Members of Council



Based on a "ranked score" approach, the first place choice is Option 1 (Minimal Change) with 127 points, followed by Option 2 (82 points), Option 3 (77 points), Option 5 (42 points) and Option 4 (25 points).

First Place Choice

When the options are considered by first place showing, the rankings change somewhat. Table 8, First Place Choice, depicts this ranking.

Table 8 First Place Choice - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 – LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Times Ranked First | 13 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 |

From this perspective, Option 1 still leads with 13 first place choices. However, Option 3 has moved to second place (10) and Option 2 has moved to third place (9). Options 4 and 5 have only 3 first place choices each.



Fifth Place Choice

To see which option is least favoured, Table 9, Fifth Place Choice, provides information on how the options distribute themselves in fifth, or last place. Some Councillors see some options as a definite "No". Also, the Councillor interviews yield numerous "unranked" options. These responses, "No" and "Not ranked" are also included in Table 9.

Table 9 Fifth Place Choice - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 – LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Times Ranked Fifth | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ranked No | 4 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Not ranked | 8 | 19 | 16 | 25 | 21 |

As can be seen, a fifth place ranking is rare. However, if the "No" rankings are included, then a picture of those options least favoured or discounted all together appears. Options 3, 4 and 5 are the least favoured by an almost similar number of Councillors. Option 2 has only 2 "No's" and Option 1 only 4.

The "not ranked" responses are difficult to interpret. Options 2, 3, 4 and 5 have a high number of incidents of not being ranked. Only Option 1, not ranked 8 times, is low in this area.

Comparison - First and Fifth Choice

The comparison of first and fifth choice that has been employed in the public Survey analysis cannot be directly replicated for the Councillor interviews. The reason is that very few Councillors rank all options from first to fifth. Rather, they either leave various options unranked or indicate a "No" to the option. If one takes the fifth ranked option and the "No's" as indicating a "last place" standing, then a rudimentary comparison between first and last choice can be constructed. Table 10 provides this comparison.


Table 10 First and Last Choice - Members of Council

| | OPTION 1 - MINIMAL CHANGE | OPTION 2 - 44 WARDS | OPTION 3 - SMALL WARDS | OPTION 4 - LARGE WARDS | OPTION 5 - NATURAL/PHYSICAL BOUNDARIES |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Times Ranked First | 13 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 |
| Times Ranked Fifth or No | 4 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 10 |



Chart 4, First & Last Choice, shows this comparison in chart form.


Chart 4 First and last Choice - Members of Council



The number of unranked occurrences in the Councillor interviews is challenging. As seen in Chart 5, First, Last & Not Ranked, the unranked column dominates the chart, without adding any useful information.



Chart 5 First, Last & Not Ranked - Members of Council



What can be observed from the representation of the first and last data is that Options 1 and 2 are viewed more positively than negatively. Option 3 draws very mixed reactions, almost an equal amount of Councillors rank it first and last. Options 4 and 5 are viewed very negatively.

General Observations

The perspectives from the Councillor interviews do not vary as much as those from the public Surveys. Throughout the Councillor interviews, Option 1 (Minimal Change) is favoured in all the ways the data is presented.

6. COMMENTS ON THE FIVE OPTIONS

In addition to ranking the five options Survey respondents were asked for potential refinements and overall comments on their first ranked option. Members of Council were also given the opportunity to comment on any of the other options. Public meeting attendees did not rank the options as a group, but were asked for suggestions for refinements and other comments on the options.

This section of the report describes the overall comments received on each of the options. Ward-specific suggestions for refinements from all Round Two participants are consolidated by option and ward in



APPENDIX C. Additional comments on specific communities of interest and suggestions for refining existing wards can be found in **APPENDIX D**.

6.1 SURVEY

a) Option 1: Minimal Change

- Will prevent confusion as it keeps the wards largely intact, with a few modest changes (4)
- Keeps the ward sizes the same with minimal addition of wards/Councillor. (2)
- Lower the population per ward (i.e. 55,000) to find a balance between maintaining current wards and decreasing the number of residents the Councillor represents
- Prefer more compact downtown wards
- · Prefer north-south wards downtown
- Rename the option; the name minimal change makes it seem inferior
- Shape is confusing and it does not achieve minimal change
- Often divides communities along watercourses when it should be aggregating around watercourses
- Good because it increases the weight of downtown wards to counterbalance the rest of the
 city.
- Respects the old boundaries, but acknowledges that some changes are needed to compensate population growth
- Makes things more efficient and requires limited public education
- Most balanced and most likely to receive support from Council
- Allows for Councillors' knowledge of ward to be retained
- Do not add wards, instead try to re-map the boundaries to have equal average population in each ward

b) Option 2: 44 Wards

- Should follow the boundaries of the former amalgamated cities (particularly Etobicoke and Scarborough)
- · Even out the population distribution
- Leads to good size wards and maintains close to the original wards
- Has a balance of diverse communities, wealth, types of housing. Keeps some old communities, but allows for some change to occur due to changing population.
- Costs the same
- 44 Councillors is a good number for decision-making
- Does the best job of taking into account geographic and cultural considerations.
- Keeps Council the same size
- Makes general sense and isn't too unwieldy or too large in size
- Like that there would be more Councillors with an interest in defending the waterfront



- Very rigid/constricting and does not take into account ward histories and the community life
 of the city
- Keeps the community groups intact
- It's a fair option

c) Option 3: Small Wards - 50,000

- Like the idea of more localized representation (7)
- Combine with the natural/physical boundaries approach (5)
- Find a way to reduce the variance (2
- Boundary changes make sense (2)
- The smaller the ward the more sense of community, where people know each other (2)
- Incorporates planned/anticipated growth so that under-representation is less of an issue in the interim before the next boundary review
- Consider traditional neighbourhood boundaries (Old Town, Cabbagetown, Corktown)
- Add an additional ward in Etobicoke to compensate for the many new wards in downtown.
- Many of the ward boundaries are counterintuitive and confusing, particularly in the downtown & west end (i.e. along major arterials such as Queen or College)
- Consider the costs
- Offers the most flexibility to adjust for communities of interest and natural boundaries
- Good because it can accommodate the projected population growth
- Option 3 provides an excellent overlap with the South Eglinton region
- Appears to best satisfy boundaries, geography, history and capacity to represent criteria, while being as good as options 2, 4 and 5 with respect to voter parity
- Having smaller wards will help to ensure decisions get made
- The 10%+/- makes more sense than 15%+/-
- Closest to new federal/provincial boundaries so least confusing to residents
- Results in too many politicians
- Can you reduce the +17% ward to increase voter parity?

d) Option 4: Large wards - 75,000

- Reduce the number of wards even further (6)
- Reduce to 20-25 wards (2)
- Increase ward size to 80,000
- Increase ward size to 150,000
- Increase ward size to 100,000
- Reduce the number of wards by at least one half
- Less Councillors means greater efficiency and productivity (6)



- Keep the lines as close to the provincial and federal riding boundaries as possible (5)
- Will result in lower administrative costs/less money spent on Council (4)
- Boundaries should also take into account natural/physical boundaries (2)
- Will lead to more accountability and fairness (2)
- Mirror federal ridings with 1 City Councillor per riding
- Give more weight to future population growth by allowing growing wards to be below the current average
- Increase the 15% variance to 20%
- Most logical
- Do not like reduction of Councillors
- This option has problems with representation

e) Option 5: Natural and Physical Boundaries

- Like this option because it treats Toronto as one city by merging the former municipalities and bringing new communities together (10)
- Good not using Yonge Street as a boundary and not using Victoria Park as a boundary.
- Make the wards smaller in size/population (4)
- Option 5 recognizes the waterfront community (2)
- Maintain the pre-amalgamation boundaries to allow for representation at existing Community
- Review overall for railways and highways as well as natural features
- Change the name
- Look at the map from a green space perspective include the parks nearby in the closest ward
- Use real community boundaries instead of ravines and roadways
- Combine with the concept of larger wards
- Reduce the number of Ward Councillors from 44 to 36
- Consider the Watershed Approach; at the waterfront, such an approach should start with wards built around river- or creek-mouths or headlands, and broaden upstream. Wards should be funnel-shaped to reflect the gravity-based formation of our land, our ravines, our city's outward growth, etc.
- Do not like the reduction of Councillors
- Best option and does not need any changes
- Puts whole communities in one ward
- Serves both residents and their adjacent retail strips equally well
- Like the idea of being able to see the ward boundaries as real boundaries
- Like the idea of each ward being composed of a similar number of residents
- Like the idea of having 'physical' boundaries define wards
- Reflects how we actually live



- A bold, creative approach, not burdened with old political boundaries
- Allows for voter parity and fewer politicians
- The boundaries make sense
- Would split too many communities
- Makes sense from a city building / long term perspective (infrastructure / road investment)

6.2 PUBLIC MEETINGS

a) Option 1: Minimal Change

- Some changes are needed in order to reduce the variance of those wards that are over the 15%
- It's realistic the wards are not too big or small

b) Option 2: 44 Wards

- There are too many people in each ward to achieve proper representation
- Option 2 is ideal from a population perspective
- It's realistic the wards are not too big or small

c) Option 3: Small wards - 50,000

- Having more wards would divide the city, as opposed to bringing the city together
- Some wards are too small
- This option could do a better job of keeping communities together
- Increasing the number of Councillors will cost money
- · There are too many wards
- Option 3 is too extreme

d) Option 4: Large Wards - 75,000

- Option 4 feels too much like provincial legislation
- There are far too many wards for effective representation
- Option 4 is too extreme
- Don't like large wards; it doesn't make sense to give more responsibility to Councillors

e) Option 5: Natural and Physical Boundaries

- Option 5 is good because its starts from scratch/ gets rid of the old city boundaries (5)
- Consider using 60,000 as the average population, but using natural boundaries
- Consider using 50,000 as the average population, but using natural boundaries



- The population is too high consider increasing the number of wards (e.g. 50 wards)
- Would need to be combined with a new Council structure
- A good fit based on the criteria and it doesn't rely on historical boundaries

6.3 MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

a) Option 1 - Minimal Change

- 47 wards is manageable and there is a strong rationale for the proposed changes (3)
- Why is Bloor the northern boundary when OP says Downtown goes up to Dupont
- Option does a good job; adds some wards because of growth, but doesn't change too much; keeps the Districts and Community Councils intact
- Extra politicians won't fly in Scarborough

b) Option 2 - 44 Wards

- Would need more staff; in the end that will cost the same amount of money as reducing the population figures in wards
- Wards too big; a Councillor should represent 40,000 people not 70,000
- Wards too large; doesn't do much for the area [north of #401]
- Easiest sell at Council; keeps number of Councillors the same (2)
- 44 is only a "political" number of wards and may not serve residents
- · Cuts up Leaside; wards too big
- Jane Street as a boundary is no problem; Jane-Finch is so many different communities

c) Option 3 - Small Wards - 50,000

- Option 3 would be good from a representation point-of-view, but bad from a governance point-of-view
- May not fly politically and among the public
- This option is "probably where we should be going", but politically not acceptable (3)
- Most perfect for a Councillor, but "is not going anywhere" (3)
- Will be interesting politics
- Option 3 gives better access to Councillors (2)
- Staff would be ecstatic; not averse to this option, but this is selfish; would create a large and more difficult Council and require Procedural By-law to be changed
- Could never support this, even though it is right for "capacity to represent"
- Strong preference; would like it even smaller, but it fits my criteria
- Would have liked an option with wards below 50,000
- Keeps a lot of the zoning issues intact; is good for communities of interest



- Good, but would need governance changes; more even spread of Councillors across
 Committees; more rigid code of conduct re attendance at meetings; could break up Community
 Council boundaries and create new culture on Council
- Makes Council too large, unwieldy (2); changes communities too dramatically
- Smaller wards are brilliant (2)
- Not sure that it will win; love it, 14 more Councillors may, perhaps mean less staff; have to
 prepare the system for being able to manage future growth; does not make democracy unwieldy
 possibly but not necessarily
- Don't need that many wards
- Option 3 splits the north and south side of Finch
- Councillors would be underworked
- Cannot imagine support for this option; maybe in downtown?

d) Option 4 - Large Wards - 75,000

- Wards too large, although size of Council is good
- May need staff increases if ward populations increase (4)
- It's a non-starter; problem in terms of representation, fairness, democracy
- Not in favour of reducing wards
- Councillors would be spread too thinly and not be competent representatives
- Politically difficult across the city
- The number of wards is ok, but too large a population per ward
- Wards are too big (6)
- Does not serve anyone well
- Not very rational
- Options 4 and 5 are politically non-starters, Council will not like them
- Having Bloor Street as a boundary cuts off cultural/social communities which have been related historically
- Large ward option brings too many communities together that are unlike each other
- At City level of government we have to provide services and people need to/want to see their representative; 60,000 to 70,000 is reasonable; we should not be penny-wise and pound-foolish

e) Option 5 - Natural/Physical Boundaries

- Roads are not really 'natural' boundaries; too many drastic changes
- Does not work; breaks up communities
- Wards are far too big; Option 5 not good for a growing city
- Wards are too big, but makes more sense than Option 4
- "Nutty" regarding the number of people a Councillor is supposed to represent



- · Makes most sense academically
- Kind of like this one
- Love this option; really like that Victoria Park is not the boundary; option offers Toronto to grow up after amalgamation
- Options 4 and 5 are politically non-starters, Council will not like them
- Might work at 42 or 43 wards; but wards would include too many different cultures
- This exercise [the TWBR] was meant to split the big wards, not re-arrange all wards
- People connect culturally and socially, they do not relate to ravines
- Lakefront wards too long, no real relationships in this east-west arrangement
- Want to encourage north-south movement; too many different communities together; eastern waterfront has nothing to do with western waterfront
- Would lose Scarborough cohesiveness
- There is a difference between Scarborough and North York, which is expressed in their Community Councils; issues are different; too much history; the old cities will always be communities; Option 5 is really stretching things

7. ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK ON THE OPTIONS

In addition to providing feedback on specific options, Round Two participants also provided other comments about the proposed ward boundaries and the ward boundary review process.

Often, these comments re-enforce the respondents' opinions about the various options and repeat what has already been stated. If this is the case, those comments are not included in this section, since they have already been captured in Section 5 of this report. However, any new comments or suggestions made have been organized thematically below.

7.1 SURVEY

Number and Size of Wards

Survey respondents advocating for a reduction in the number of Councillors believe that this will result in a more effective and manageable City Council and that there will be less opportunity for Council to be influenced by interest groups.

Some respondents suggest that additional staff members take on the work that comes with representing a larger population. Other individuals suggest that Councillors already have large staffs and therefore can take on the work of a larger ward.

Survey respondents in favour of smaller wards anticipate that it allows Councillors to focus more on the needs of the community and address more issues. They expect better representations, more access to



Council members, and a more manageable system in general. One individual suggests that more Councillors are needed in the high growth areas of downtown and North York.

Some respondents are concerned about the costs associated with changing the ward boundaries as well as the cost implications of the different options. Of specific interest is whether larger wards with fewer Councillors will lead to lower costs or tax expenditures.

Federal/Provincial Riding Boundaries

A number of Survey respondents request an option where the ward boundaries match, or closely relate to, the federal and provincial riding boundaries. Their rationale is that it would be more efficient, simple, and easy understand, as well as facilitate collaboration among different levels of government.

Some respondents suggest that the provincial and federal ridings can be divided in half with two wards per federal riding. Others comment that if the provincial and federal politicians can represent that large a constituency then so can a City Councillor.

Conversely, some individuals suggest that there is no point in trying to mimic the federal and provincial ridings because municipal issues are different than federal and provincial issues. Municipal issues are neighbourhood issues.

Downtown/Suburbs

Some of the Survey respondents observe that downtown and suburban wards are fundamentally different from each other and suggest that they should be examined separately. Many perceive downtown Councillors as having a larger workload and more distinct issues than suburban Councillors and conclude that, therefore, downtown wards should be smaller than suburban wards.

Individuals from suburban wards also stress that their issues are different from those in other wards of the city. One individual makes a distinction between an older part of Scarborough and a newer section of Scarborough in terms of workload and, therefore, the capacity of a Councillor to represent the constituents.

Several respondents suggest that downtown Councillors have a higher workload and additional responsibilities when compared to Councillors from the suburbs or outer areas of the city. Similarly, some respondents want to ensure that downtown wards are equally represented on City Council, so that the needs of downtown constituents are properly represented when Council votes on city-wide issues. On the other hand, one respondent thinks that it is important that the downtown core not be over-represented on City Council.

Dealy III

TOTORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW
ROUND TWO REPORT CIVIC ENGAGEMENT + PUBLIC CONSULTATION
DATE ISSUED: FEBRUARY 2016

Different Options

Some individuals suggest combinations of the various options. Often the request is to combine the natural/physical boundary approach with one of the other options to create a hybrid option of sorts, especially between options 3 and 5. Another individual suggests that adding 14 new Councillors is a big jump, so perhaps there should be an additional option between 47 and 57 wards.

Main Streets and Communities of Interest

Some Survey responses relate to where the ward boundary lines are to be drawn. Some individuals prefer that boundary lines not be drawn on major streets because this divides a corridor between two separate wards. It makes managing development, implementing the Avenues work, representing community interests, and community stakeholders challenging. Secondary streets adjacent to main streets are suggested as dividing lines.

Also, not splitting communities of interests, be those historical, cultural, or other, is of big concern to a number of individuals. Some also suggest that keeping together development communities or high-density communities, such as Yonge/Eglinton or North York Centre, would be beneficial for the purpose of representing the community and its needs.

The TWBR Process

Comments on the TWBR are both positive and negative. Respondents like being involved in the process, the chance to learn about ward boundary reviews, the ability to provide feedback and the rational thought that has gone into the process.

Others find the process confusing. Yet others suggest that there should have been more media outreach to get the word out. One individual considers the overlay maps on the website not helpful.

One respondent suggests that the options don't reflect what they had heard at the first round of public meetings and another does not believe that the options reflect what the general population wants.

Some comments question the accuracy of the population figures used as part of the TWBR. Also, one individual suggests that the Survey should include a note citing best practice examples of 'capacity to represent'.

Two comments focus on how the final decision on the ward boundaries will be made. One individual does not want politicians to decide on their own ward boundaries and another suggests that politicians will likely choose the option with the best chance for their re-election.



7.2 PUBLIC MEETINGS

Number and Size of Wards

Most of the comments suggest the need for smaller wards or more Councillors in order to achieve effective representation. Another suggestion is to consider how Toronto compares to other big cities in terms of the number of Councillors.

Federal and Provincial Ridings

Several of the participants suggest that there should be a ward boundary option that matches the provincial and federal ridings. One person notes that having similar boundaries will allow for collaboration among various levels of government, while another suggests that it will help to achieve effective representation. Most of the people who suggest following the federal and provincial riding boundaries note that it would be reasonable to split each riding into two wards.

Effective Representation

A few participants comment on effective representation. For example, one participant proposes that the components of effective representation be weighted/prioritized in the final report of the TWBR. Another participant suggests that effective representation [voter parity] is more important than the number of wards. Another mentions that there have been issues in the past with the suburbs being over-represented on Council and that they hope the new ward boundaries will address this.

Main Streets and Communities of Interest

One participant comments on the importance of keeping communities together and allowing them to elect someone who represents them. Another comments that there is an issue with using major streets as boundaries between wards, because then two Councillors deal with different sides of the same street. One participant states that the waterfront needs to have a lot of attention and suggests that it would be helpful to have it represented by a lot of different Councillors.

7.3 MEMBERS OF COUNCIL

Number and Size of Wards

Councillors suggest that City Council should not be too large and that the public will not accept a significant increase in the number of Councillors. On the other hand 20 Councillors is not considered sufficient. Larger wards are not workable, unless a Councillor's job description changes, which would require a change in the current governance system.



Federal/Provincial Ridings

Councillors are divided on whether federal/provincial riding boundaries should have been used to generate a ward boundary option. Some question why federal riding boundaries have not been used and then adjusted as necessary in order to avoid confusion for residents and delays in service.

One suggests that voter parity should not be a deciding criterion in the TWBR, since it does not appear to be important in federal and provincial riding boundary determinations, while another emphasizes a preference for 25 Councillors to match federal ridings.

Other Councillors are pleased that there is not option just dividing the federal ridings in half and see no benefit following federal riding boundaries. One Councillor emphasizes that the number of wards is a separate issue from the size of Council and how it operates.

Effective Representation

Councillors have differing opinions on how effective representation is to be achieved. Most are in favour of adding wards to improve their capacity to represent their constituents. One points out that City of Toronto Councillors represent more people than any other elected municipal official in Canada and feels stretched at 50,000, more people than an MLA in Quebec represents. Another Councillor suggests that democratic representation depends on the types of people and issues, in a ward, not on the number of people.

Main Streets and Communities of Interest

Councillors express a number of opinions on this topic. Main streets are considered to work well as dividers among wards, except in the Yonge-Eglinton area, which is split among three Councillors, two Community Councils and two sets of planning staff. There is a suggestion that downtown Councillors should represent the Downtown as designated in the Official Plan with the northern boundary at Dupont Street.

Most comments, however, centre on the need to keep communities of interest together. They include a reference to pre-amalgamation roots and 'keeping Scarborough intact', mixing rich and poor in a ward for city building and spending and ensuring that one ward is not subject to different Zoning By-laws.

Workload (High-rise Buildings versus Single Family Houses)

This issue produces the most additional feedback from Councillors. Among the ten Councillors who mention this topic most suggest that residents in single family neighbourhoods generate more work than people living in high-rise apartments or condominiums.



They believe that this circumstance can justify smaller wards with single family populations and larger wards with high-rise populations. They advocate for 'stretching voter parity across the city' based on this difference in workload and perhaps reduce the size of Council because of it.

Two comments disagree with this assessment, arguing that apartment buildings/condominiums have different service needs than single family houses and generate an equal amount of work.

The TWBR Process

Two comments from Councillors argue that too many Councillors only look at their own wards in this process and stress that the results of TWBR should be good for the whole city, not just individual Councillors.

Given Toronto's current rapid growth some Councillors question whether the population projections for 2014 and 2018 have kept pace with completed and anticipated development projects. One comment speculates that population numbers are probably low all over the city and another muses whether the results of TWBR will last to the 2030 municipal election.

8. NEXT STEPS

Round Two of the TWBR's civic engagement and public participation process has produced a series of rankings of the five options for restructuring Toronto's ward system, as well as a myriad of general comments and suggestions for refining and improving the options.

The TWBR team will consider all of the feedback received from Councillor interviews and from the public through Survey responses, submissions and participation at public meetings. Once this work has been completed, the team will prepare a final report, which will determine the preferred option, assess whether the suggested refinements achieve effective representation and recommend a new alignment for Toronto's wards that can be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election and serve the City until 2030.

The City of Toronto Executive Committee is expected to discuss the TWBR final report and the recommended ward re-alignment at their meeting on May 24, 2016.

Deam Control of the second

TOTORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW
ROUND TWO REPORT CIVIC ENGAGEMENT + PUBLIC CONSULTATION
DATE ISSUED: FEBRUARY 2016

APPENDIX A - SURVEY

Survey Questions

| 1. | ☐ I have read the Consultation Guide. | |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Your Current Ward:OR Your Current Councillor | Unsure which ward you live in? Click here to look it up. |
| 3. | Please rank the 5 options from 1 to 5 (with 1 being most p Option 1 - Minimal Change Option 2 - 44 Wards Option 3 - 50,000 Option 4 - 75,000 Option 5 - Natural/Physical Boundaries | referred and 5 being least preferred). |
| 4. | Do you have any suggestions for improving your first ran avoid splitting a community of interest)? a) Related to a specific ward: | nked option (e.g. minor boundary line changes to |
| | | |
| | b) To the option overall: | |
| | | |
| 5 | Do you have any other comments? | |
| | | |



APPENDIX B - COMMENTS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE TWBR

All of the issues outside the scope of the TWBR raised by Survey respondents, public meeting participants and Members of Council have been consolidated in this Appendix and organized by themes. Comments received from the various participants are identified separately within the themes.

Governance

This topic is the most often discussed 'outside of scope' subject. A few public meeting participants suggest that, following the ward boundary review, the City should examine its Council structure and how it operates. Another participant proposes that the City should consider a two-tier governance system and expand the role of Community Councils.

Survey respondents echo these suggestions. Many individuals propose a two-tier structure with more autonomy for local Community Councils. A number of iterations of a similar governance structure are proposed, including a 25-Member Council plus a Board of Control suggested during a Councillor interview.

Other Survey respondents advocate for a shift to proportional representation or a weighted vote system for Councillors based on the population they represent. A public meeting participant suggests ranked ballots and multimember districts.

Two other comments from Survey respondents support term limits for municipal Councillors or abolishing City Council altogether with residents voting for by-laws online.

Staff

Some respondents suggest hiring more staff to compensate for any increases in workload due to larger ward populations to ensure effective representation. Another respondent believes that Councillors rely too much on staff.

Naming of Wards

A few comments in the Surveys address the naming of wards. One suggestion is to name wards after neighbourhoods. Another respondent proposes to eliminate the pre-amalgamation labels and any surrounding stigma of places like Scarborough and Etobicoke. There is also a concern that new ward names will affect the branding of local cycling groups.

Community Council Boundaries

A number of respondents question the existing Community Council boundaries and wonder how these boundaries factor into the ward boundary review. Some individuals are in favour of abolishing existing Community Council area boundaries, while others prefer that they be maintained in a similar form. One Councillor suggests that the boundaries of Community Councils should be: Humber River in the west, Victoria Park in the east and Eglinton Avenue from west to east.



School Boards, Trustees, Catchment Areas

Some comments from Survey respondents wonder how the TWBR will influence Trustee ward boundaries. The fact that schools are important building blocks of the community is emphasized in other comments.

One individual observes that the current ward boundary structure precludes residents from voting for their Trustee, if they live in a different ward from their home school. Another individual suggests that the ward boundary review should consider the school zone boundaries.

TWBR Process

A couple of public meeting participants are concerned about a potential conflict of interest, if Councillors vote on the final recommendation for a new ward structure, given their stake in the outcome of that decision. .

One meeting participant suggests suspending the ward boundary review until the completion of the provincial review of the Municipal Act. Her concern is that the ward boundary review may rule out certain options for reorganizing City Council and government in a way that would increase government accountability, transparency, which may be mandated by the provincial review process

Another suggestion from the public meetings is to not use the terms Scarborough and Etobicoke during the TWBR process to help the Councillors refrain from focusing on the old pre-amalgamation cities.



APPENDIX C - SUGGESTIONS FOR WARD-SPECIFIC REFINEMENTS BY OPTION AND WARD

| Option 1 | |
|--------------------|--|
| W103 | Kipling should be eastern boundary (not Martin Grove). Add area between Kipling and Martin Grove. |
| W104 | Use Mimico Creek as western boundary; add area west of Martin Grove. |
| W105 | Move area south of Bloor north of Dundas between #427 and Kipling to Ward 105. |
| W104/W105 | To keep growth area around Dundas together, move area north of Bloor to Mimico Creek east of Kipling into Ward 105. |
| W107/W108 | Firgrove industrial area is split at Eddystone; use Finch instead [shift area north of Eddystone Jane/Finch/400] to Ward 107 from 108]. |
| W108/W109 | Divides Finch BIA in half; make Grandravine Drive the southern boundary between 108 and 109 and extend east to Dufferin [Sentinel is not a good boundary, use Keele Street south to Grandravine]. |
| W109 | Keep Yorkwoods community together with De Boer's as boundary in north- east corner of re-arranged Ward 109. |
| | Can RR track be the eastern boundary of Ward 109 instead of Allen? |
| W111 | Add area east of Jane to Black Creek. |
| W112/W113 | Use Rogers Road as southern boundary of 112 and 113, Eglinton community between Rogers and the Beltline. |
| W113 | Add the area north of Eglinton (currently in 114) to ward 113. |
| | The western boundary of 113 at Dufferin is too far west. |
| | New ward alignment for Ward 113 should be 401/Allen |
| | Road/Ravine/Rogers Road; area east of the Allen should go to Ward 114. |
| | [Winona as boundary for 113 splits a community; use Rogers Road and ravine instead]. |
| W113/W114/ W115 | Boundary between Wards 113 and 115 and 114 should be the Allen Road; there are two distinct neighbourhoods on either side; Census takers do not cross the Allen (Lawrence Height community does, but better represented by two Councillors). |
| W113/W115/ | Change the boundary between W113, W115 and W116 to Eglinton. |
| W116 | Keep Wards' 113 and 115 traditional boundary - both cross Eglinton. |
| W114/W115/ W126 | The Beltline is not a very good divider for Ward 114, 115 and 126; instead go to Bathurst and down to Eglinton, s/w corner does not have that many people. |
| W115/W116 | Keep the Davenport neighbourhood (north of the Dupont rail corridor) as a single ward – it's a distinct community of interest. |
| | Make Eglinton the northern boundary. |
| W116 | There is a distinct community between Eglinton and St Clair that is split in this option. |



| | Move the northern boundary of W116 south and the eastern boundary east, to capture community patterns. |
|-----------|--|
| | This option works well because there is a more common culture/community south of Davenport to Bloor than there is from Davenport north to St. Clair. |
| | Use Rogers Road at north end instead of Lavender. |
| | Use western RR track (UPE tracks) as western boundary instead of Parkside; community west of the tracks relates more to High Park; also there is only |
| | one connection across those tracks - Wallace Avenue bridge. |
| | Run eastern boundary south on Dufferin, if populations numbers work. |
| W116/W118 | Junction Triangle community split between Wards 116 and 118. |
| W117/W118 | Area west of the UPE RR tracks north of Bloor should be in either 117 or 118; does not have anything in common with Junction Triangle; no east – west connections except Wallace footbridge. |
| W119 | Ward 119 is too long. |
| | Change the north boundary of W119 to Bloor Street West. |
| W119/W125 | Could Ward 119 boundary with 125 run north on Bathurst? (2) |
| W121 | Make Yonge Street the western boundary of W121. The community west of Yonge belongs more appropriately with W120. |
| W122/W123 | 4 Moss Park Apartment towers get orphaned in Ward 122, should be in Ward 123 together with Regent Park. |
| W122/W124 | Split Wards 122 and 124 north-south, rather than east-west (split along University or Bay). |
| W123 | Castle Frank Crescent very cut off by DVP; feel like they are part of South Rosedale; don't connect with Parliament. |
| W123/W124 | Jarvis St. (between W123 and W124) splits a community of LGBT residents from the Church-Wellesley Village. Sherbourne or Yonge St. would be a better boundary. |
| W124 | Should include area up Yonge Street to the tracks (ABC Residents Association; Yorkville). |
| W124/W125 | The boundaries of the downtown wards are not good - there is an issue with W124 and W125 at Bloor Street. Wards 125, 124, 123 - not good for downtown. |
| | Yorkville BIA should not be split at Bloor Street, its southern boundary is Charles. |
| · | Given new housing developments south of Bloor, a split at Bloor makes sense. |
| W125 | W125 has a long east-west shape – it doesn't accomplish minimum change. |
| | The western boundary of ward 125 should be further east (perhaps) |
| | Spadina) similar to federal riding of University-Rosedale. |
| | Too many BIAs in Ward 125. |
| | Ward 125 goes too far west. |
| W126 | Extend W126 south so that Redway Road and the big Loblaws is the southern border. |
| | Put the whole of Yonge-Eglinton into Ward 126. |
| | Boundary goes through Upper Canada College; use Oriole Parkway all the way up, then along Oxton to the Beltline; Beltline makes sense; should stop |



| - | at Oriole Parkway. |
|--------------------|---|
| | Broadway boundary now cuts through houses. |
| W127 | The St. Lawrence neighbourhood is split by Jarvis Street. Yonge is a better dividing line. |
| | Broadway boundary should be at Eglinton. |
| W128 | Should go east to Willowdale; Willowdale is a very good boundary; very different community east and west of Willowdale; Doris is not good; Parkview Gardens and Lee's Life and Art Park cannot be separated from Yonge; when walking, you do not cross Willowdale. |
| W128/W129 | The boundary should be a straight line, instead of a jagged line. (4) Using Willowdale or Kenneth would keep the condo neighbourhood together. Consider using Yonge Street. The hydro corridor is a great natural/physical boundary. The jagged line separates the condos from single family homes (high demographic culture from "old stock Canadians"). Change the boundary between W128 and W129 to Doris or Willowdale. |
| W129 | Could gain the n/e corner of Yonge and 401 (Avondale community); this is the best way to split 128 and 129. |
| W132 | Move Wynford Park area into Ward 132 (Don Mills Residents Association includes it). Make the continuation of Eglinton west of Victoria Park the southern boundary of Ward 132, i.e. move area south of it into Ward 135. |
| W132/W133/ W135 | Use DVP as a boundary among Wards 132, 133 and part of 135. |
| W133/134 | The Leaside neighbourhood is divided by Eglinton. (4) Don't change the Leaside boundaries – it is a community. (4) Leaside and Thorncliffe Park need to stay together. Join Flemington Park and Thorncliffe; these two areas have many issues that would benefit from a smaller ward and personalized treatment. Decrease Ward 134 by making RR track the northern boundary; move area north of RR tracks into Ward 133 -this keeps north and south Leaside together. |
| W133/W136 | Change the W133 and W136 boundary to original boundary or to another option that doesn't affect the Laird community. |
| W134 | Increase Ward 134 by extending eastern boundary to Woodbine. Puzzled that Governor's Bridge area would be included with the north-of-Danforth area. The addition to W 134 over the Don (Laird etc.) seems weird and out of place. |
| W134/W135 | Parking lot in Taylor Creek Park is cut in half, should be in Ward 135, not in Ward 134; boundary also cuts the park in two. Include Barbara Crescent (from Ward 134) in Ward 135. |
| W135 | Use DVP as boundary rather than the river; Ward 135 should have south side of the Don Valley (from Ward 133). |



| W137 | Move the western boundary of W137 to Coxwell, so that Leslieville and the Beaches are not in the same ward. Don't include Beach community as part of Danforth; they have different needs. The Beach ward should end at Kingston Road and Queen Street to the west, and at Fallingbrook to the east. The current Ward 32 is a very active and engaged community that uses Ward 32 in its branding. They would like to retain their ward number. Do not include anything north of Kingston Road in the Beach area. Kingston Road is a clear physical boundary. |
|---------------|--|
| W139 | 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| W141 | Brimley Road is the natural boundary (Midland Ratepayers Association is between Midland and Brimley; focus west); Brimley is also a school catchment area boundary; "but world would not end if we use Midland". Keep Brimley for now and recommend review after 8 years (see how far development has progressed due to Scarborough subway and Scarborough Town Centre growth). |
| | Move eastern boundary to where Ward 38's is now (to Scarborough Golf Club Road); i.e. keep Ward 38 as is; but this tweak is not as important as Brimley. |
| W142/ W143 | Cut Wards 143 and 142 along the creek - come down Birchmount and the creek [like current Ward 39 and Option 3]. Even out current populations between Wards 143 and 142 [make 143 bigger]. Huntingwood splits two communities; Corinthian community (Victoria Park to Pharmacy north and south of Huntingwood); Bridlewood community (north and south of Huntingwood); should use Finch as a divider. |
| W142/W144 | C.D Farquharson Community Association, split between Wards 142 and 144. |
| W143 | Add area Warden/Sheppard/Victoria Park south of Huntingwood (could add whole area or use Pharmacy). |
| W144 | The eastern boundary of W144 should be the creek that runs through Neilson and McLevin. The creek does meet with Markham Road and the eastern boundary can continue northward via Markham Road. |
| W144/W145 | Malvern is split between Wards 144 and 145 [definition either Malvern Town Centre or larger area which has 50,000 people]. |
| W145 | The western boundary of 145 should be moved to Markham Road. You could use Sheppard as the southern boundary or move the south-eastern boundary (i.e. where the 401 is). (Markham to Sheppard). People who identify the least with Malvern live south of Sheppard –i.e. those who live in Burroughs Hall. (Note: All the options split Malvern in some way). |
| W146 | Kingston Road splits the Kingston Galloway community. Instead use Morningside and Eglinton as boundaries so W146 would be square to Morningside. |
| W147 | Ward 147 should look more like Ward 244. |





| OPTION 2 | · |
|---|--|
| W201 | Add Humberwood area to Rexdale (don't use river). |
| W202 | Add area east of Islington to Humber River [from Ward 207]. |
| W203 | Make Dixon Road the northern boundary; Dixon Road is very much a dividing |
| | line; the Westway is not a good boundary. |
| W204/W205 | Dundas growth area is cut in half (between wards 205 and 204). |
| W207/W208 | Use Sheppard as southern boundary between Wards 207 and 208. |
| | Don't use Jane as boundary between 207 and 208, instead move Jane-Finch |
| | to 208 south to Finch or south to Eddystone (Jane-Finch community is the |
| | way it is supposed to be in Option 5). |
| W208/W209 | Instead of a horizontal boundary between W208 and W209 along Sheppard/ |
| | Grandravine/Waterloo, use the rail line that is between Keele St. and Allen |
| | Road. The communities to the east vs. west of this boundary are different. |
| ` | Use Sheppard as the dividing line between Wards 208 and 209. |
| | Move industrial area on east side north of Waterloo from 208 into 209. |
| W209 | Splits the Jewish community at Bathurst; Allen or Keele should be the |
| | boundary between Wards 222 and 209. |
| | A perfect Ward 209 would be 401/Jane/Steeles/ RR tracks. |
| W210 | Add area east of Jane to Black Creek. |
| W212 | The southern boundary of W212 should run across St Clair to keep the |
| | community intact. |
| | Oakwood should be eastern boundary of Ward 212 instead of Winona; |
| | community east of Oakwood is different. |
| | Add area north of St. Clair from Ward 213, so that boundary runs along St. |
| | Clair to RR tracks (relates to Police Divisions 11 and 12). |
| W212/W213 | Winona boundary should move to Oakwood (2). |
| W220 | Deverseurt instead of Christia |
| W213 | Make eastern boundary Ossington or Dovercourt instead of Christie. Make eastern boundary Ossington or Dovercourt instead of Christie. Make eastern boundary Ossington or Dovercourt instead of Christie. |
| | Add area north of St. Clair from Oakwood to the western RR tracks (similar |
| | populations re income). Move area Bloor/Dovercourt/e-w RR tracks/Christie from Ward 213 into |
| | Move area Bloor/Dovercourtye-w RR tracks/Christie Horn Ward 215 Into 216, if possible. |
| W216 | The state of the s |
| W216/217 | the second of th |
| MST0/5T1 | Keep CityPlace, Fort York and South Core together with the condos south of King. |
| | Liberty Village should fall in one ward. |
| W217 | Ward 217, only one Councillor for the Central Waterfront, better to have 2 as |
| AASTI | in Option 1. |
| W217/W233 | • St Lawrence neighbourhood is split by Jarvis (3). |
| .,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Splitting the St. Lawrence community at Jarvis or Front does not f |
| | the long established boundaries of the Neighbourhood |
| | Association or BIA. (Note: same issue with option 5). |
| W218/W219 | Split 219 and 218 north-south (?). |



| W219/W232 | The boundary between 219 and 232 should be Rosedale Valley Road/the |
|-------------|--|
| | ravine instead of Bloor St. You could take Rosedale Valley Road east of |
| | Sherbourne. Rosedale and Summerhill similar communities. |
| W220/W229 | Make Avenue Road boundary between Wards 220 and 229. |
| | The eastern boundary of W 220 should remain the Avoca Ravine and not be |
| | moved to Yonge St. The condo and apartment buildings between the Avoca |
| | Ravine and Yonge St, which are now in Ward 22 and in the Deer Park |
| | Residents Association area, would move to Moore Park, if Option 2 is |
| | adopted as proposed. This does not make sense. |
| W221 | The old wards 15 and 16 split the Jewish community to the east and west of |
| | Bathurst Street. The new W 221 as part of Option 2, corrects this problem. |
| | Ward 221 is very different west of Bathurst; different demographics, |
| | immigrant populations; high rises. |
| W222 | The area east of Yonge to Willowdale should be included in W222 so that the areas close to the North York Centre are in the hands of one Councillor. This |
| | is an important buffer between the dense North York downtown and the |
| | single family residential area. (3) |
| | 1 A State of the More and Annual Control of the More o |
| | ommunity, built-out, self-contained). |
| | The residential pocket in the southwest corner of ward 222 seems isolated. |
| W222/W223/ | W 222,223,224 is better in option 2 than 1 because the area north of Finch |
| W224 | is distinct from the areas south of Finch. |
| W222/W224 | Use hydro corridor and/or Willowdale Ave as natural boundaries to eliminate |
| 11222, 1122 | the messy boundary near Yonge + 401. |
| W226 | Broadway boundary should be at Eglinton. |
| W227/W228 | Should add Wynford/Concorde community to Ward 227. |
| W228/W229 | Make Laird Drive boundary between 228 and 229. |
| W229 | Broadway boundary cuts through houses. |
| W229/W230 | Leaside is split between Wards 229 and 230. |
| W230/W231 | North and south of the Danforth are very different communities in terms of |
| , | income/voting/built form. |
| W231 | Use DVP as boundary rather than the river; Ward 231 should have south side |
| | of the Don Valley [from Ward 228]. |
| W231/235 | Get rid of the Victoria Park border for Scarborough. (2) |
| W232/W233 | Rethink 232 and 233; use Gerrard as boundary?? |
| · | Dundas boundary divides Cabbagetown from Regent Park, but may be ok. |
| W233 | St. Lawrence community ends at Yonge, so area between Yonge and Jarvis |
| | should go from 217 to 233. |
| | The boundary for St. Lawrence should be Yonge to Parliament. |
| | Ward 233 should gain a piece west of Jarvis. |
| | Do not use King as a boundary. |
| W234/W235 | The Beach is divided between Wards 234 and 235. (8) |
| | o People up to Victoria Park consider themselves "Beachers" |
| | o It is a distinct community. |
| | Do not use Lee as a dividing line. (2) |



| This configuration would hurt the branding of some local groups. (2) Split the Beaches and Upper Beach from the rest of the East End at the tracks. The area just east of Victoria Park and south of Gerrard fits better with t Beach area just west of Victoria Park. Birchcliffe should be part of the Beaches. | 1 |
|---|-----------------|
| The area just east of Victoria Park and south of Gerrard fits better with t Beach area just west of Victoria Park. | he l |
| Rirchcliffe should be part of the Beaches. | |
| The Beaches ward should extend along Queen St. from Coxwell Ave to Victoria Park. The City recently passed an OPA for "the Beach" defined a Coxwell to Victoria Park.(2) | • |
| Boundary between Wards 234 and 235 should be Woodbine (2); maybe Main, but it becomes a smaller street at the north end. | Э. |
| Bring boundary between Wards 234 and 235 down Victoria Park (3) o Victoria Park is a boundary with a long history. o Wards 234 and 235 are VERY different re income, education | n, etc. |
| Use Victoria Park and Fallingbrook as north-south boundary and Kingston Road as the northern boundary. | on |
| W234/W235/ • Too big a change. W236 | |
| W236/243 • Do not divide east Guildwood. | |
| Brimley Road is the natural boundary (Midland Ratepayers Association between Midland and Brimley; focus west; Brimley is also a school catchment area boundary; "but world would not end if we use Midland" Keep Brimley for now and recommend review after 8 years (see how fa development has progressed due to Scarborough subway and Scarborough Centre growth). | '. ır |
| Move eastern boundary to where Ward 38's is now (to Scarborough Go Club Road); i.e. keep Ward 38 as is; but this tweak is not as important Brimley. | as |
| Cut Wards 239 and 240 along the creek - come down Birchmount and creek [like current Ward 39 and Option 3]. Huntingwood splits two communities; Corinthian community (Victoria P Pharmacy north and south of Huntingwood); Bridlewood community (no and south of Huntingwood); should use Finch as a divider. | Park to orth |
| W239/W241 Community of interest north of 401, south of Sheppard on either side of Brimley – C. D. Farquharson Community Association, very established between 239 and 241). | of (split |
| W240 • Move boundary of Ward 240 west to DVP, from 225. | |
| W241/W242 • Malvern is split between Wards 241 and 242 [definition either Malvern | n Town |
| Centre or larger area which has 50,000 people]. Option 2 splits the Malvern community. | |

Deale State of the state of the

| OPTION 3 | • |
|-----------|---|
| W303 | Dixon Road should be the boundary. |
| W304 | Markland Woods is split north and south of Bloor; there is a ring road; community should be in Ward 304 as well as area right to the east of it (to #427). |
| W310 | Exchange between Wards 310 and 311: keep Employment District together; use Yorkwoods southern boundary as boundary between Wards 310 and 311. |
| W311 | RR tracks not Allen should be north-south boundary on east side of ward. |
| W312 | The southern boundary of W312 splits the Mount Dennis community. Move it south, past Eglinton to the creek. |
| W312/W314 | Eglinton splits the Mount Dennis community (BIA); use BIA boundary as southern boundary (include both sides of Eglinton, go down to Lambton). |
| W313 | Marlee Ave from Eglinton to Lawrence should be in the same ward - creates better natural/physical boundaries. Move the north-east corner of W313 to Allen Road and Lawrence to keep Marlee Ave. from Eglinton to Lawrence as one community (OR move the south-west corner of W324 to Dufferin and Eglinton.) The eastern boundary of W313 should be the Allen expressway. |
| | RR track is real north-south boundary in 313 (only crossing at Eglinton and Lawrence). |
| W314/W315 | Shift area to Ward 315 (RR tracks/Rogers/RR tracks/St. Clair). |
| W315 | Extend eastern boundary of W315 east to Winona to keep Oakwood Village community and Friends of Roseneath in the same ward. Move western boundary of 315 (now on eastern railway line) to the railway |
| W318 | track. In some areas Ossington Ave. would be a better boundary than Dovercourt, especially south of Dundas. Shift triangle south of Queen from Ward 318 to 317 or 320. Make Davenport the northern boundary instead of RR tracks. |
| W319 | Harbourfront east of Bathurst and west of Bathurst are two completely different neighbourhoods and should not be combined into a single ward. Ward 319 has the Islands, strange mix of communities. |
| W319/W320 | The area currently in W319 north of Front to Queen, running from University to Yonge should move to W320. (This area has no real connection to the waterfront communities that dominate the ward.) Would be better if Front was used as the northern boundary from Yonge to Bathurst, then the rail line to Dovercourt - that would move Liberty Village into the W 319. |
| } | Both Wards should run north-south, not east-west. |
| W320/W321 | W320 and W321 should run north- south with a boundary at Bathurst so they have a mix of building types. |
| W321/W322 | Organize north-south rather than east-west; Bathurst to University and Bathurst to Dovercourt; Dupont to Queen for both. OP's definition of the Downtown should be respected. |
| W322/W338 | Option 3 splits the U of T campus - there are 2 colleges (St. Michael's and Victoria College) east of Queens Park, which are cohesive communities. It's |





| area should not be lit's important to recognize noone residents are more sions. Leslie would be attached and Sheppard and the 401 eighbourhoods have no |
|--|
| area should not be It's important to recognize ncome residents are more sions. Sconnected and different I Leslie would be attached en/Sheppard and the 401 eighbourhoods have no |
| It's important to recognize ncome residents are more sions. Sconnected and different Leslie would be attached en/Sheppard and the 401 eighbourhoods have no |
| It's important to recognize noome residents are more sions. Sconnected and different Leslie would be attached en/Sheppard and the 401 Eighbourhoods have no |
| It's important to recognize ncome residents are more sions. Sconnected and different Leslie would be attached en/Sheppard and the 401 eighbourhoods have no |
| Leslie would be attached n/Sheppard and the 401 eighbourhoods have no |
| n/Sheppard and the 401 eighbourhoods have no |
| o each other, and are rather |
| also include the strip south |
| , Don River and Sheppard ommunity, brought together |
| heppard/North York City ned together. (2) |
| natural boundary than Finch. |
| d, they want to be together |
| instead of Leslie. |
| |
| |
| ling line between 335/344. |
| |
| part of North Rosedale's |
| are 4 buildings where no one 8 University Rosedale). No |
| ng Bioor west to Avenue Road oor. |
| thern border instead of Bloor |
| ellesley; Ward 338 should Residents Association; , its southern boundary is |
| |



| | Davenport is the boundary of the Downtown. |
|-----------|--|
| | Gay village northern boundary is Charles Street, not Wellesley. |
| W338/W339 | W338 and W339 are split on Wellesley Street, dividing the Church-Wellesley neighbourhood (and the Church-Wellesley BIA) (4). Options 1 and 5 show a similar division, while 2 and 4 keep the Village intact. |
| W342/W344 | The current Danforth boundary cuts the Danforth community in half (4) -The Danforth is the community hub for Greektown, for the Mosaic, and others. Don't combine north of Danforth with areas south of Danforth - at least east of Pape. We're just south of Danforth east of Coxwell and much, much more oriented to Gerrard, Queen and the lake than northward to the Don Valley and Eglinton. |
| W344 | Keep W344 to one side of the Don Valley. |
| W349 | W349 that goes through creek could use Scarborough Golf Club Road as eastern boundary. |
| W352/W353 | Move the boundary point at Birchmount and Huntingwood east along Huntingwood towards the east boundary. |
| W354/W356 | Malvern is split between Wards 354 and 356 [definition either Malvern Town Centre or larger area which has 50,000 people]. |
| | Centre or larger area which has 50,000 people]. |





| OPTION 4 | |
|-------------------------|---|
| W404 | To keep growth area around Dundas together, move area north of Dundas to Mimico Creek east of Kipling into Ward 404. Reduce Ward 404 by making #427 its western boundary. |
| W404/W405 | The current ward 6 should be divided north to south not east to west as proposed. |
| W406 | Area just north of the 401 east of Jane is the same as west of Jane; add area to Ward 406. |
| W407 | Add area west of Jane north of Finch (part of Jane/Finch) to Ward 407. |
| W407/408 | Calvington not a good boundary between Wards 407 and 408. |
| W411 | Consider including Swansea with W404 instead of W411. This community shares a lot in common with Kingsway and South Kingsway. It doesn't makes sense to have the Swansea boundary go north of the railroad. The river is not a barrier. |
| W412 | Use Oakwood as eastern boundary; shift area east of Oakwood to Ward 420. |
| W414 | Maybe Bathurst should be the eastern boundary all the way to St. Clair. |
| W415/W417 | The boundaries between W415 and W417 should be Bloor Street in the north, Don Valley in the east, Yonge Street in the west and the lake in the south. |
| W415/W41/ W417/ W418 | Yonge should not be a major boundary line between multiple wards. It is used for a wide variety of purposes and hosts the greatest number of events, as well as multiple BIAs and is a cultural and business centre. I'd recommend moving this boundary over to Bay or, ideally, Avenue Road, as that divides the fewest communities. |
| W418 | Make W418 smaller so that it will need the least change 3 decades from now. |
| W419 | The Castle Frank enclave south of Bloor should be added to W419. |
| W422 | Willowdale Ave. should not be a dividing boundary. Bayview Ave west of Yonge is a more natural part of the Yonge St. corridor. The boundaries of W422 should be: Bathurst to the west, Finch to the north, 401 to the south and Bayview Ave. to the east. |
| W425 | There are some small instances where wards seem to include two sides of a waterway or are on both sides of train tracks, etc. (see W425). |
| W426 | The western boundary of W426 on Bayview should be moved west to Mount Pleasant. That community is far more like Leaside (e.g. traffic issues are similar, as are the development issues related to LRT). Where appropriate, the southern boundary of the Leaside area should be |
| | Where appropriate, the southern boundary of the Zedende and a received and a received and not the train tracks. North and South Leaside should not be split. Sherwood Park and the cemetery are natural boundaries for this area – they are ignored in this option. |
| W428/W429 | Use the railway as a boundary between 428 and 429 all the way west to Dor Valley (as in Option 5). |
| W430/W438 | Option 4 splits a community at Kingston Road – the boundary should go down to Eglinton. |



| W431 | Warden/Danforth area should be attached to the lake to the south, as well |
|------|---|
| | as Kingston Rd. |





| OPTION 5 | |
|-------------------------|---|
| N501/W502/ N529/W530 | Respect the boundaries of the pre-amalgamation municipalities (e.g. Humber River, Victoria Park, etc.) as much as you can. There seem to be 4-5 wards (501, 502, 529, 530) that do not do this enough. |
| W503/W504 | Change boundary between Wards 503 and 504 to run down Kipling (s. of Rathburn) down to RR tracks; Dundas is the epicenter of development in this area. |
| W504 | Take out area north of Dixon Road (to 401); add area south of Rathburn to Mimico Creek; Rathburn is not a good dividing line. |
| W505 | All of Dundas should be in 505; move area north of Dundas to Mimico Creek east of Kipling into Ward 505. |
| W508 | Ward 508 doesn't seem to use natural or physical boundaries. Does not accommodate communities of interest. |
| W510 | The eastern boundary of W510 should be Bathurst St. (2) |
| W510/W527 | Bring Yonge and Sheppard together, similar to the way W525 goes around Young and Eglinton. |
| W510/W513/W526 | Consider using West Don Valley as boundary (510, 513, 526). |
| W512 | Allen is a more natural boundary of Ward 512 than Keele Street. |
| W512/W514 | Need to reorganize Wards 512, 514, 515. |
| /W515 | The RR track is hard to cross; south of Eglinton similar neighbourhood. |
| W514 | Could add area Bloor/Dovercourt/east-west RR tracks/UPE tracks to Ward 514 from Ward 517. |
| W515 | Move Yonge boundary of W515 east to the ravine. (3) The eastern boundary of Ward 515 (Yonge St.) isolates a groups of residents. |
| W515/505 | Blend W516 and W505 using Mimico Creek. |
| W516 | Ward 516 can lose the Junction Triangle, use UPE tracks rather than eastern tracks as eastern boundary. |
| W516/W517 | Georgetown railway line should be the boundary between Wards 516 and 517. |
| W516/W518 | Boundary between Wards 518 and 516 should use western RR track (Kitchener Corridor, more important than eastern RR track). |
| W516/W517/W518 | Use the railway tracks to divide wards 516, 517, 518. |
| W518 | Option 5 is least favourable for Seaton Village. |
| W517/W518 | Option 5 would split the Bloor area/community in four. (2) The lands on Bloor W. between the two sets of tracks (around Perti Ave.) should be included in 517 or 518 given the stronger connection to these neighbourhoods. The current western boundary of W18 is Kitchener GO line. The new boundary proposed ignores that. Community split between Wards 517 and 518. |
| W519 | Community split between wards 517 and 516. The whole waterfront shouldn't be represented by one person. (2) |



| | Use Cherry Street as the eastern boundary of W519. East of Cherry Street belongs naturally in another community area. W519 may go too far east – the area east of Yonge and south of |
|-------------------------|---|
| | Front has a different character from the west. |
| W519/W520 | The boundary between 519 and 520 should follow the railway line south of front/go to the lake (there is no one below the railway). Yonge should be the boundary between 519 and 520. Boundary between these wards splits St. Lawrence neighbourhood. |
| W519/W521 | St. Lawrence neighbourhood is split by Front Street (3) It should be split by the railroad. This option keeps the central waterfront together – it is a new community. Front Street goes through the heart of the West Don Lands |
| | emerging community; totally unacceptable. |
| W521/W523 | Use the Rosedale Valley ravine as a boundary instead of Bloor Street, so that neighbourhoods will not be separated. |
| W522/W523 | Option 5 splits the U of T campus - there are 2 colleges (St. Michael's and Victoria College) east of Queens Park, which are cohesive communities. It's important for political activity, community organizing, etc. to keep these communities together. The north end of the eastern boundary of 522 and 523 should go over to Bay St. (Note: Same with option 3) (2) |
| W524 | Keep Bennington Heights and Leaside neighbourhoods together – they are similar. Consider splitting Leaside and Thorncliffe Park communities – they have very different interests, which splits a Councillor's focus. |
| W524/W525 | Move the Merton street boundary to the cemetery. There is a little slip (Merton St) north of cemetery, just west of Mount Pleasant, east of Yonge) – which is excluded from 525. (4) Merton is part of community to north of it. Merton has been part of the Yonge Eglinton Residents Association for many years. Leaside, Flemington Park, Thorncliffe Park neighbourhoods should be kept together. |
| W525 | Option 5 works well for the Yonge and Eglinton community. (5) |
| W527 | The west boundary of W527 should be Willowdale. |
| W530 | Ward 530 is insane going from DVP to Birchmount; people would be very upset. |
| W531 | Use DVP as boundary rather than the river; Ward 531 should have south side of the Don Valley (from Ward 524). |
| W531/W535 | Make W531 and W535 one ward. Draw the boundary east-west, instead of north-south at Victoria Park. This would bring 535 into the City. |
| W531/W533/ W534/W535 | Victoria Park creates an ongoing barrier – getting rid of this boundary would help bring the city together. (2) |



| | Combine W531 and W533 and divide north/south rather than east/west |
|-----------|--|
| W532/W533 | Combine the western portion of W533 with W532. |
| W533 | Is ward 533 large enough considering the eventual transformation of the Port Lands? |
| W533/W534 | The eastern boundary of 533 should be moved from Victoria Park over to Hunt Club Drive – more natural boundary (6) Victoria Park Avenue is not a natural boundary, especially the southern portion by the lake. The eastern boundary should be the Toronto Hunt Club Drive, thereby incorporating Fallingbrook as a part of the beaches. Vic Park over to Fallingbrook should be included in the Beaches Ward. The original boundary used to be like that. I live on Courcelette, which is technically in Ward 36 but due to the natural splitting that occurs because of the Hunt Club Ravine we are much more a part of Ward 32. Don't use Victoria Park as a dividing line. (2) Move the eastern boundary of W533 east into W534. Combine the western portion of W533 with W532 with a new eastern boundary moved west to reduce the combined population. Eastern boundary of W533 should remain Victoria Park between Bracken and Queen. Houses near and south of Kingston Rd. tend to have similar issues as those slightly west. The same could be true for Oakwood as the park may serve as a more natural boundary. |
| W534 | Ward 534 is very long. Not sure if people in this area feel a part of one community. W534 seems like it would consist of mostly high socioeconomic residents. Consider adjusting. W534 is too big an area - use McCowan as a boundary. Northern section of 534 (Highland Creek) seems isolated. |
| W534/W541 | Using the creek as a boundary splits the Kingston Galloway Orton Park (KGO) community. It's best to use Eglington as the boundary. |
| W537/W539 | Malvern is split between Wards 537 and 539 [definition either Malvern Town Centre or larger area which has 50,000 people]. |
| W540 | Option 5 puts a boundary (the railway line) through the Highland Creek Plant (2) – move the north-south line to Morningside. Include East Bay Park in Ward 540. This won't change the population because there are no residents there. |

SOUTH WARD COME.

TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW
ROUND TWO REPORT CIVIC ENGAGEMENT + PUBLIC CONSULTATION
DATE ISSUED: FEBRUARY 2016

APPENDIX D - COMMENTS ON COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST AND SUGGESTIONS FOR REFINING EXISTING WARDS

| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
|--------------------|--|
| W 4/5 | Ward 5 should be amalgamated with the south end of Ward 4 due to all |
| | the development issues occurring within the Dundas/Royal York area. |
| W 11/12 | Mount Dennis is split in two by some options. Mount Dennis begins just |
| (Mount Dennis) | north of where Jane and Weston road meet. South of Dennison is |
| | considered Mount Dennis. The creek is the western boundary. If you had |
| İ | to make two wards out of this you could use Black Creek or the railway as |
| | the natural boundary. |
| W 13/14/18 | The old Junction main street along Dundas west should be kept intact, so |
| (Junction/ | unite the tip of ward 18 up to Dupont with the ward to the north. The |
| Parkdale) | Junction also unite parts of ward 18 and 14 that share the rail tracks. |
| | Sorauren should be the eastern boundary of ward 14 and Dundas or |
| | Queen should be the northern boundary. |
| | Keep the West Toronto Junction whole, it is currently split between Wards 13 and 14. |
| | • Ward 13 and 14 should be merged. The existing ward 14 has a lot of |
| | socio-economic issues/high needs area. We are all so closely related and |
| • | within walking distance. |
| | Parkdale needs its own Councillor/representation. |
| | Cut off the pointy bottom of current ward 18 so it can connect with the |
| | south. |
| Ward 15 | Keep south of Eglinton away from the north half. We have more in |
| Wala 10 | common with that community. |
| | Move the southeast boundary to Allen road and Eglinton Ave. To avoid |
| | splitting up Marlee Ville. |
| W 16/22/25 | The overlapping responsibility in the Yonge and Eglinton area is a big |
| (Yonge and | issue. It should have one Councillor. (4) |
| Eglinton) | North and south of Erskine Street are two different types of |
| • | neighbourhoods - would be a good boundary line. |
| W 17/21 | The Davenport hill/ridge line is a physical boundary that affects how |
| (Davenport Hill) | people move around and which service locations, parks, etc. they use. |
| W 19/20 | Do not split Liberty Village. |
| (Liberty | Liberty Village and Exhibition Place should be contained within one ward. |
| Village/Exhibition | |
| Place) | |
| W 20 | Queens Park should be a dividing line as it is both a physical and social |
| (Queens Park) | regime boundary. |
| W 19/20/28 | The waterfront community should not remain in one ward. |
| (Waterfront) | |
| W 19/20/27/28 | Historically the resident associations have been very strong (downtown). |
| | Many of the boundaries violate the historic relationships. |
| | Harbord Village and Kensington have distinct issues and should not be |
| | contained within the same ward. (2) |
| | |




| | Consider traditional neighbourhoods such as Old Town, Cabbagetown, and Corktown. Keep all the following boundaries: King Street west to the Islands north-south and Yonge Street to Bathurst east-west. There are many commonalities within that neighborhood. If need be, split the ward from Front Street going south. Queen Street is an undesirable dividing line; it separates a connected and resilient business community. Shuter Street might make a better boundary. Keep Bloor East intact - Move 278, 300, 360 & 388 Bloor Street East away from University-Rosedale into Toronto-Centre. Keep urban core together using Rosedale Valley Ravine, not Bloor East. Forest Hill Village is very much a community. Options 1 and 2 bring it together. Forest Hill is quite different from the area east of Avenue Road so moving |
|---|---|
| | it out of ward 22 may be most appropriate. Option 3 keeps Forest Hill village together (currently it straddles Spadina north and south of St Clair - ward 21 and 22) |
| W 23 (Yonge and Sheppard) | Keep condo communities together around Yonge and around Sheppard. |
| W 26 (Leaside) | 4 of the 5 options (1, 2, 3 & 5) divide Leaside at Eglinton Avenue, which is not a natural boundary. Leaside should remain intact. (3) o It is bounded on 3 sides by the Don River and on the 4th by Bayview Avenue. |
| W 26 (Don Valley West) | Don Valley West straddles ravines and the boundaries cut social neighbourhoods in pieces. |
| Ward 27 | Any new boundary for the current Ward 27 should include Wellesley from Yonge to Sherbourne, even Parliament as well as north-south streets Church and Jarvis down to at least Dundas. Ward 27 could stop at Bloor on the north. Ward 27 has four corners under development and 2 Councillors. The federal boundaries marry us to Rosedale (not a good fit). |
| W 28 (St. Lawrence) | Using Front Street as a boundary splits the St. Lawrence neighbourhood. The northern boundary of St. Lawrence should be Queen Street. St. Lawrence boundaries should be: Queen and Yonge to Parliament and Railway. |
| W 28 (St. James Town) | St. James Town should not be part of the same ward as Rosedale. |
| W 29/30/31 (Danforth Ave/ Main St.) | The railroad track is a good physical barrier, and would put Danforth in 2 wards not 4 wards. Within options 1 or 3, the boundary along Queen Street, west of the Don River should be moved northwards to Shuter Street. Draw the boundaries at Gerrard Street to the Lakeshore from Coxwell to Victoria Park rather than all the way to the Danforth. |




| · | Danforth should be the northern boundary of the ward that includes the Beaches. Include north and south side of Danforth Ave in one ward to encourage rational development. Same for Ward 30 and/or Ward 31: should include both north and south side of Danforth Ave. Ward 31 should stop at Main Street as there is a very large culturally diverse population between Main Street and Victoria Park Avenue. Do not split Danforth Avenue between Greenwood and Main (more or less). This area has a similar architectural feel as well as a need/desire by the BIA and neighbourhood associations to study, renovate and re-vigorate. Having the same Councillor for this stretch on both the north and south |
|--|---|
| | sides would be very helpful. |
| W 30 | Leslieville should not be split - Coxwell should be the boundary. |
| (Leslieville) W 31/32 (Queen East/Beach) | The boundaries for the Beaches should be from Queen East to Danforth. The community west of Victoria Park to Fallingbrook Road to Danforth in the north should be part of Toronto and not Scarborough. Blantyre, Courcelette and Fallingbrook are Beach communities not Scarborough |
| | communities. South-east end of the city (Riverside, Leslieville, the Beaches, and the Upper Beach) should be grouped together. Maintain the "Beach" business district within the same ward all the way to RC Harris plant. |
| W 31/32/35/36 | Retaining Victoria Park is important – it's one community. |
| (Victoria Park) | Keep Victoria Park within the east or west ward so that one Councillor is responsible for both sides of the street. |
| W 33 | The current boundaries work well for Ward 33. There are some wards where the population has grown to a level which could justify some changes. However, I don't think that all wards require boundary changes. |
| W 36 | Join existing ward 36 with Kingston Rd village.(2) Merge existing ward 36, with existing ward 32. |
| W 36/43 (Scarborough Waterfront) | In option 1, 4 and 5 the Scarborough waterfront is mostly in a single ward. It would be better for the development of the waterfront to have one Councillor representing the waterfront. |
| W 42 (Malvern) | Option 1, 2, 3 splits the community of Malvern in half – so option 4 or 5 is the best. All the options split Malvern in some way. The least impactful is the 5th option because it just cuts off the east side. People who identify the least with Malvern live south of Sheppard –i.e. those who live in Burroughs Hall. A split along Sheppard makes more sense than Neilson or Highland Creek. |




APPENDIX E - OPTIONS MAPS


























This is Exhibit "M" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018

A Commissioner, etc. Diana Dimmer



Tracking Status

This item was considered by <u>Executive Committee</u> on May 24, 2016. The Executive Committee has
referred this item to an official or other body without making a decision. Consult the text of the
decision for further information on the referral.

Executive Committee consideration on May 24, 2016

| EX15.2 ACTION | Referred | | Ward:All |
|---------------|----------|--|----------|
|---------------|----------|--|----------|

Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Public Notice Given

Committee Decision

The Executive Committee, given that all five options identified by the consultants respect the principles of effective representation but only Option 1 has been refined on the basis of input to better achieve effective representation;:

- 1. Referred the item to the City Manager with the request that he ask the consultant to:
 - a. review Option 1 (47 wards) with a focus on amendments to address wards with the highest population discrepancies (ie. Wards 20, 22, 23, 27, and 28), including the possibility of 46 wards, while ensuring the option would achieve effective representation;
 - b. prepare refinements for Option 2 (44 wards) on the basis of input received to date;
 - c. further consider Toronto ward boundaries for increased consistency with the 25 federal and provincial boundaries; and
 - d. undertake any required additional consultation with the public, stakeholders and Members of City Council, and prepare a revised report to be submitted to the October 26, 2016 meeting of Executive Committee,

and that in conducting this review the consultants consider the Committee's preference for maintaining the Community Council boundaries given their historical significance reflecting communities of interest.

Origin

(May 9, 2016) Report from the City Manager

Summary

Toronto's wards have not been reviewed since 2000 and there are currently significant population discrepancies in some Toronto wards. In June 2013, City Council directed a third-party review of Toronto's ward boundaries, per an approved Terms of Reference and work plan. The City of Toronto Act, 2006 (COTA) provides authority to City Council to make changes to its ward boundaries by by-law.

The Canadian Urban Institute ("the Consultant") was retained to conduct a third-party review of Toronto's ward boundaries. This report transmits the Consultant's final report, Toronto Ward Boundary Review, which recommends a ward structure of 47 wards with an average population of 61,000 to ensure effective representation given population growth in Toronto over the last fifteen (15) years. The Consultant's final report recommends a ward boundary structure that applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice, and draws upon the input received through a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders and Members of City Council.

The City of Toronto Act provides a period for appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) and appeals to Divisional Court are also possible. For any ward boundary changes to take effect by the 2018 election, any appeals must be concluded by December 31, 2017.

Background Information

(May 9, 2016) Report from the City Manager on Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-92932.pdf)

Attachment 1 - Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-92992.pdf)

Attachment 2 - Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review - Appendices A to E

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-92993.pdf)

Attachment 3 - Ward Boundary Review Implementation Time lines

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-92994.pdf)

Public Notice - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-93019.pdf)

Communications

(September 16, 2015) Letter from Peggy Moulder (EX.Main.EX15.2.1)

(May 18, 2016) Letter from Matias de Dovitiis, Executive Director, DUKE Heights, BIA (EX.Supp.EX15.2.2)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-60839.pdf)

(May 19, 2016) E-mail from Marat Ressin, President, York Entrepreneurship Development Institute (EX.Supp.EX15.2.3)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-60910.pdf)

(May 18, 2016) Letter from N. W. Purves, President, York University Development Corporation (EX.Supp.EX15.2.4)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-61017.pdf)

(May 22, 2016) E-mail from Ralph van Putten (EX.Supp.EX15.2.5)

(May 23, 2016) Letter from A B Kasperski on behalf, Green Party of

Toronto (EX.Supp.EX15.2.6)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-61034.pdf)

(May 24, 2016) E-mail from Howard Moscoe, submitted by Councillor Anthony

Perruzza (EX.New.EX15.2.7)

Agenda Item History - 2016.EX15.2

8/21/2018

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-61020.pdf) (May 24, 2016) Letter from Sue Dexter, Board Harbord Village Residents' Association (HVRA) (EX.New.EX15.2.8)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-61061.pdf)
(May 24, 2016) E-mail from Philip Share and Brett Rycombel, Co-Chairs, South Perth and Sterling Road Residents Association (EX.New.EX15.2.9)

Speakers

Miroslav Glavic Councillor Mary Fragedakis Councillor Anthony Perruzza Councillor Paula Fletcher

Motions

- 1 Motion to Set Committee Rule moved by Mayor John Tory (Carried)
- 1. That speaking time for deputants be limited to three minutes.
- 2. That questions of the deputants by Members of Council be limited to 3 minutes.
- 3. That questions of staff by Members of Council be limited to 3 minutes.
- 4. That speaking time by Members of Council be limited to 3 minutes.
- 2 Motion to Refer Item moved by Mayor John Tory (Carried)
 That, given that all five options identified by the consultants respect the principles of effective representation but only Option 1 has been refined on the basis of input to better achieve effective representation,

Executive Committee refer the item to the City Manager with the request that he ask the consultant to:

- a. review Option 1 (47 wards) with a focus on amendments to address wards with the highest population discrepancies (ie. Wards 20, 22, 23, 27, and 28), including the possibility of 46 wards, while ensuring the option would achieve effective representation;
- b. prepare refinements for Option 2 (44 wards) on the basis of input received to date;
- c. further consider Toronto ward boundaries for increased consistency with the 25 federal and provincial boundaries; and
- d. undertake any required additional consultation with the public, stakeholders and Members of City Council, and prepare a revised report to be submitted to the October 26, 2016 meeting of Executive Committee,

and that in conducting this review the consultants consider the Committee's preference for maintaining the Community Council boundaries given their historical significance reflecting communities of interest.

3 - Motion to Amend Motion moved by Councillor Michael Thompson (Withdrawn)

8/21/2018

That in the recommended option, the consultants be requested to consider redrawing the boundaries of proposed Ward RW40 to extend the Highland Creek boundary line north east to Brimley and Lawrence Avenue, then west on Lawrence on the south side to Midland Avenue on the west side, north on Midland to Highway 401, and then Highway 401 west to Victoria Park.

4 - Motion to Amend Motion moved by Councillor Paul Ainslie (Withdrawn)

The consultant be requested to consider moving the eastern boundary from Morningside and Ellesmere northward along Morningside Avenue to Highway 401 for proposed Ward 243.

Source: Toronto City Clerk at www.toronto.ca/council

EX15.2



STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

| Date: | May 9, 2016 |
|--------|---------------------|
| To: | Executive Committee |
| From: | City Manager |
| Wards: | All |

SUMMARY

Toronto's wards have not been reviewed since 2000 and there are currently significant population discrepancies in some Toronto wards. In June 2013, City Council directed a third-party review of Toronto's ward boundaries, per an approved Terms of Reference and work plan. The City of Toronto Act, 2006 (COTA) provides authority to City Council to make changes to its ward boundaries by by-law.

The Canadian Urban Institute ("the Consultant") was retained to conduct a third-party review of Toronto's ward boundaries. This report transmits the Consultant's final report, Toronto Ward Boundary Review, which recommends a ward structure of 47 wards with an average population of 61,000 to ensure effective representation given population growth in Toronto over the last fifteen (15) years. The Consultant's final report recommends a ward boundary structure that applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice, and draws upon the input received through a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy with the Toronto public, communities, key stakeholders and Members of City Council.

COTA provides a period for appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) and appeals to Divisional Court are also possible. For any ward boundary changes to take effect by the 2018 election, any appeals must be concluded by December 31, 2017.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The City Manager recommends that:

1. City Council consider the report, *Toronto Ward Boundary Review*, Attachment 1 to this report, approve a ward boundary structure and direct the City Solicitor to submit the bill designating the wards in the fall of 2016.

- 2. City Council direct that the composition of City Council be 1 Councillor per ward pursuant to the ward boundary structure approved and instruct the City Solicitor to submit a bill to implement any change to the composition of City Council after either the appeal period has expired without any appeals, or the appeal process for the ward boundary by-law has concluded.
- 3. City Council request the City Solicitor to represent the City's interests in any legal proceedings including appeals relating to City Council's decision.

Financial Impact

The Toronto Ward Boundary Review report from the third-party Consultant recommends the establishment of three (3) new wards. The annual operating cost for each Member office is approximately \$290,000 for a total annual estimated operating cost of \$870,000 to establish three (3) new wards. An additional \$70,000 per Member, for a total of \$210,000, may also be required for one-time start-up costs to set up City Hall and constituency offices.

Costs associated with City Council's final ward boundary changes will be submitted through the annual Operating and Capital Budget process as required.

The Deputy City Manager & Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Equity Statement

The principles articulated by the courts in relation to ward boundary reviews support the City's equity framework, and equity goals and objectives. The Consultant incorporated equity considerations in their work plan, throughout the review process and in the engagement and consultation strategy. As part of the review's engagement process the Consultant ensured that American Sign Language (ASL) translation services were available, provided additional translation services on request and provided for TTY translation as necessary. The Consultant also worked with the City to identify Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005 (AODA) compliant sites to hold public engagement sessions and ensured the Review's web communications were compliant with AODA standards.

DECISION HISTORY

On June 10, 2014, City Council approved the "Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy" submitted by the Canadian Urban Institute.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2014.EX42.4

On March 5, 2014, following a Request for Proposal process, Bid Committee awarded the contract for the provision of services to conduct an independent, objective analysis and review of the City of Toronto's ward boundaries to the Canadian Urban Institute. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2014.BD167.7

On June 11, 2013, City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review consistent with the Terms of Reference.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2013.EX32.2

On March 19, 2012, the Executive Committee recommended the City Manager report on a process to establish a ward boundary review to better reflect effective representation. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2012.EX18.12

On April 15, 2010, City Council requested the City Manager and the City Clerk to report on a mechanism to recognize the uneven population growth in some wards, with recommendations for the appropriate allocation of resources. Council also authorized the City Manager to provide one additional staff member at the constituency assistant level for any ward that exceeds the median by more than 50 percent. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2010.EX42.1, http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2010/cc/bgrb/backgroundfile-29379.pdf

ISSUE BACKGROUND

Toronto is the largest city in Canada, and contains a wide diversity of communities and interests. A strong and fair foundation for local government is fundamental for the effective governance of Toronto, and begins with the ward structure. Ward boundaries are dynamic and should not be considered permanent fixtures as local government structures must keep pace with population changes and municipal growth. Toronto ward boundaries do not and are not required to align along federal and provincial riding boundaries.

The City of Toronto Act provides City Council with authority to make changes to its ward boundaries. Council last reviewed Toronto's ward boundaries in 2000 and since that time Toronto has experienced significant population growth.

The Supreme Court of Canada has set out guidelines for drawing of electoral boundaries and has established that governments must respect the principle of "effective representation". The first condition that courts consider for effective representation is voter parity, an equal number of voters in every electoral area, but effective representation rather than voter parity is the goal. The Supreme Court has recognized that other factors such as geography, communities of interest, community history, minority representation, and projected population growth justify departure from voter parity to ensure effective representation. The OMB has applied the Supreme Court factors to justify departures from voter parity in decisions related to municipal ward boundary appeals.

COMMENTS

1. Initiating A Ward Boundary Review for Toronto

City Council initiated a ward boundary review in 2013 to address population growth in Toronto as a number of wards were exceeding or close to exceeding acceptable benchmarks for electoral boundaries. To ensure the review was objective and armslength, the City Manager retained a third-party Consultant to undertake the review process following Terms of Reference approved by City Council.

The Terms of Reference established that the consultants would undertake the ward boundary review pursuant to the following parameters:

- Develop a ward boundary review process, work plan and engagement and consultation strategy that does not assume a pre-determined number of wards or specific boundaries of wards for Toronto;
- Apply the principle of "effective representation" as outlined by the Supreme Court of Canada and applied by the courts and the OMB in developing ward boundary options;
- Consider and reflect the principles of geography, community history, minority representation, communities of interest, physical and natural boundaries in developing ward boundary options;
- Adhere to requirements set out in relevant OMB and court decisions about undertaking municipal ward boundary reviews;
- Consider and accommodate Toronto's projected growth and population shifts for a reasonable period of time;
- Build on lessons learned through other ward boundary reviews and leading electoral and public policy research and advice;
- Consider key City policies in the development of ward boundary options including the Official Plan and community revitalization plans;
- Include at least two rounds of broad and comprehensive public consultation: the first
 to provide information to support informed engagement and solicit feedback on
 existing ward boundaries; and the second to provide information and solicit feedback
 on ward boundary options;
- Consider the appropriate number of wards as well as ward boundaries; and
- Work within any additional parameters established by City Council.

2. Overview of The Ward Boundary Review Process

City Council approved the Consultant's work plan and outreach and engagement strategy to undertake a ward boundary review from June 2014 to March 2016.

The Consultant undertook a five-step review process to develop ward boundary options including a recommend option. The Ward Boundary Review process included:

- Research (June 2014 to November 2014) that formed the background information
 for the first round of public consultation including the legal context, jurisdictional
 reviews, analysis of Toronto's current wards and ward population projections out to
 2030.
- Civic Engagement (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two:
 May 2015 to November 2015) web-based activities (including social media
 platforms), communication and outreach to educate the public about the purpose of
 the ward boundary review, keep the public informed about the process and provide a
 range of opportunities for the public to get involved.
- Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: June 2015 November 2015) — included two rounds of public and stakeholder consultation.
- Generation of Ward Boundary Options (March 2015 to April 2015) following
 Round One of the public and stakeholder consultation, and building on the research, a
 series of ward boundary options were developed for public and stakeholder input in
 Round Two.
- Final Report (February 2016 to March 2016) following Round Two of public and stakeholder consultation, the final report was developed with a recommended ward boundary structure for City Council's consideration.

3. Recommended Ward Boundary Changes

The Consultant generated five (5) ward boundary options that achieved effective representation and elicited input on these options during the Round 2 consultation and engagement process.

The options include:

- Option 1 Minimal Change (47 wards with an average population of 61,000)
- Option 2 44 Wards (with an average population of 70,000)
- Option 3 Small Wards (58 wards with an average population of 50,000)
- Option 4 Large Wards (38 wards with an average population of 75,000)
- Option 5 Natural/Physical Boundaries (41 wards with an average population of 70,000)

Option 1 (minimal change with 47 wards and an average population of 61,000) emerged as the preferred option based on input from the public, stakeholders and Members of City

Council elicited through the second round of engagement and consultation. The Consultant's report notes that Option 1 achieves effective representation balancing a range of factors including voter parity, geographic communities of interest, future growth, coherent boundaries, ward history and the capacity of Councillors to represent their constituents.

Option 1 includes a number of refinements to the ward boundaries suggested through the engagement and consultation process. This option minimally increases the number of current wards from 44 to 47, retains the current average ward population of 61,000, achieves effective representation in all wards by 2026, and is designed to last for four (4) municipal elections. It achieves a population variance to plus or minus 15% of the average ward population in 44 of the 47 proposed wards with two wards slightly above the population variance and one ward slightly below the population variance. It is anticipated that these three (3) wards will achieve a population variance to plus or minus 15% by 2026.

This report recommends that the final ward boundary structure maintains the composition of City Council as one (1) Councillor per ward. Section 135 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 authorizes City Council to pass a by-law changing its composition. This report requests authority to submit a bill to reflect any change in the number of councillors resulting from a change in the number of wards.

4. Key Implementation Activities and Time lines to Adjust Toronto's Ward Boundaries

The following implementation activities will commence when City Council adopts a final ward boundary structure.

A. Ward Boundary By-law (Fall 2016)

Under the City of Toronto Act, 2006, City Council has authority to make changes to its ward boundaries through the passage of a by-law. The by-law will need to provide detailed descriptions of the ward boundaries. Once Council selects a ward boundary structure, the required land survey work will begin for inclusion in the bill to adjust Toronto's ward boundaries. It is anticipated that the City Solicitor will bring forward the bill to adjust Toronto's wards in fall 2016.

B. Time for Appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board (December 2016 to September 2017)

The passage of changes to Toronto ward boundaries is governed by the City of Toronto Act, 2006, Section 128. In addition to providing that City Council may change the City's ward boundaries, s. 128 provides for an appeal of City Council's decision. Within 45 days after the by-law is passed, a member of the public, agency or the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing may appeal the Toronto ward boundaries by-law to the

Ontario Municipal Board. The Board will subsequently hear the appeal and may make an order affirming, amending or repealing the Toronto ward boundary by-law. It is estimated that an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board will take approximately eight (8) to ten (10) months to conclude.

An appeal to Divisional Court is also possible and may take approximately a year to conclude if leave to appeal is granted. An appeal to Divisional Court will make it highly unlikely that the ward boundary changes can be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election as it is unlikely this sort of appeal would conclude before the deadline of December 31, 2017.

C. Implementation of Ward Boundary Changes (September 2017 to December 2017)

After the period for appeals has concluded, implementation of the final ward boundary changes will commence, including the following key activities:

- Develop and implement a communications strategy to inform the public and stakeholders of the new ward boundaries;
- · Redraw Toronto's ward maps, as required;
- Create new geographic representation of ward boundaries from the adopted municipal by-law and integrate into the City's geospatial platform;
- Review and redraw all voting subdivisions based on new ward boundaries;
- Notify the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) and the school boards of the ward boundary changes; and
- Provide final voting subdivisions to MPAC.

The implementation of the ward boundary changes in time for the 2018 municipal election will be dependent on whether the ward boundary changes are appealed to Divisional Court. The City Manager will report further as required with respect to the time line for implementing the new ward boundaries. Attachment 3 summarizes the key time lines and activities to implement ward boundary changes.

5. Governance Implications of Ward Boundary Changes

Any changes to Toronto's ward boundaries will have implications for the number and boundaries of Community Councils. City staff will assess these implications and report back to Executive Committee with recommended changes once Toronto's ward boundary changes are final.

Additionally, the Consultant included a number of comments and suggestions identified through the ward boundary review engagement process that were outside the scope of this review. These include matters related to governance (for example, structure and operation of City Council, expanded role for Community Councils), staff and resources for Councillor Offices, naming of Toronto wards, and school zone boundaries.

The City Manager's Office will review and consider these comments and suggestions in future related reports.

CONCLUSION

This report responds to City Council's direction that a Toronto Ward Boundary Review be undertaken by an independent, third-party Consultant, in keeping with its approved Terms of Reference and Work Plan. This report transmits the Consultant's final report Toronto Ward Boundary Review for City Council's consideration including a recommended ward structure to ensure effective representation.

In the event there is an appeal to Divisional Court or the Ontario Municipal Board, the City Solicitor will represent the City's interests in the proceedings and will inform City Council of them.

CONTACT

Peter Notaro

Executive Director, Strategic &

Corporate Policy

Telephone: (416) 392-8066 Email: pnotaro@toronto.ca

Wendy Walberg Practice Lead Legal Services

Telephone: (416) 392-8078 Email: wwalberg@toronto.ca Fiona Murray

Director, Corporate Intergovernmental &

Agency Relations

Telephone: (416) 397-5214 Email: fmurray@toronto.ca

Bonita Pietrangelo Deputy Clerk Election Services

Telephone: (416) 392-8019 Email: <u>bpietran@toronto.ca</u>

SIGNATURE

Peter Wallace City Manager

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Final Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Attachment 2: Final Report Appendices

Attachment 3: Ward Boundary Review Implementation Time lines

Attachment 3 - Ward Boundary Implementation Timeline RE: EX15.2

| Key Date | Key Activity |
|---|--|
| May 24, 2016 Executive Committee meeting | Consideration of the Final Report, Toronto Ward Boundary Review |
| June 7 and 8, 2016 City Council meeting | Consideration of the Final Report, Toronto Ward Boundary Review |
| June 2016 to September 2016 | Develop detailed description of ward boundaries for inclusion in by-law |
| • | Draft by-law |
| October 5-6, 2016 City Council meeting | Passing of the by-law |
| Third week of November 2016 | Appeal Period Within 45 days after the by-law is passed, the Minister or any other person or agency may appeal to the Ontario Municipal (s. 128(4) COTA) |
| First week of December 2016 | Within 15 days after the last day for filing a notice of appeal, the City must forward any notices of appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board. (s. 128 (5) COTA) |
| December 2016 to July 2017 | Time for OMB Hearing Typically takes 8 to 10 months ** |
| July 2017 to December 2017 | Develop and implement communication strategy to inform public and stakeholders of new ward boundaries |
| | Redraw ward maps, if required Create geographic representation of new ward boundaries and integrate into the City's geospatial platform (8 weeks) |
| | Review and redraw all voting subdivisions based on new ward boundaries (12-16 weeks) |
| December 31, 2017 | Deadline for passing by-law (including all appeals) in order for new boundaries to be in effect for 2018 election (s. 128 (8) COTA) |
| | Last day to notify MPAC of ward boundary changes (s. 128 (10) COTA) |

Attachment 3 - Ward Boundary Implementation Timeline

| Key Date | Key Activity |
|----------------|---|
| March 31, 2018 | Last day for Clerk to provide final voting subdivisions to MPAC (s. 18, MEA) |
| April 1, 2018 | Last day for school boards to provide the Clerk with the report on determination and distribution of trustees (e.g. school board wards) (s. 58 Educ. Act, O. Reg. 412/00, as amended) |

^{**} Appeals to Divisional Court are also possible and if leave is granted may take approximately one year to conclude.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Potential Changes to Toronto's Ward Boundaries

Notice is hereby given that Toronto City Council will consider new ward boundaries for Toronto.

At its meeting to be held in Committee Room 1 in Toronto City Hall on May 24, 2016, at 9:30 a.m., or as soon as possible thereafter, the Executive Committee of Toronto City Council will hear in person or by his or her counsel, agent or solicitor, any person who wishes to speak to the matter.

Background

Toronto is the largest city in Canada, and contains a wide diversity of communities and interests. A strong and fair foundation for local government is fundamental for the effective governance of Toronto, and begins with the ward structure.

City Council last reviewed Toronto's ward boundaries in 2000. In 2013, City Council initiated a Ward Boundary Review to ensure effective representation across Toronto, as there are significant discrepancies in ward populations. To ensure the review was objective and at arm's length from the City, third-party consultants were retained to undertake the review process following <u>Terms of Reference</u> approved by City Council.

Toronto Ward Boundary Review Process

The third party consultants undertook a five-step review process that included:

- Research (June 2014 to November 2014) that formed the background information for the first round of public consultation including the legal context, jurisdictional reviews, analysis of Toronto's current wards and ward population projections out to 2030.
- Civic Engagement (Round One: July 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two:
 August 2015 to November 2015) web-based activities (including social media
 platforms), communication and outreach to educate the public about the purpose of
 the ward boundary review, keep the public informed about the process and provide a
 range of opportunities for the public to get involved, including two online surveys.
- Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One: July 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: August 2015 November 2015) – included 24 public meetings.
- Generation of Ward Boundary Options (March 2015 to July 2015) following
 Round One of the public and stakeholder consultation, and building on the research,
 a series of ward boundary options were developed for public and stakeholder input
 in Round Two.

• Final Report (February 2016 to April 2016) – following Round Two of public and stakeholder consultation, the final report was developed including a recommendation for new wards for Toronto for City Council's consideration.

Further information about Toronto's Ward Boundary Review can be found at: www.drawthelines.ca.

Executive Committee and City Council Consideration

Executive Committee will consider recommended changes to Toronto's ward boundaries at its meeting on May 24, 2016. City Council will consider recommended changes to Toronto's ward boundaries at its meeting on June 7 and 8, 2016. City Council will determine any new ward boundaries by by-law following its decision.

The Executive Committee may change the recommendations related to Toronto's ward boundaries for City Council's consideration. City Council may also make changes.

Sharing your ideas about the proposed Toronto ward boundary changes:

To view copies of the report outlining and explaining the proposed amendments you may view the Executive Committee Agenda as of May 16, 2016:

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do?function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=966#Meeting-2016.EX15

To obtain copies of the report at no cost, or to submit comments or register to speak in person to the Executive Committee, please contact the following office no later than 12:00 p.m. on Friday May 20, 2016.

Executive Committee
City Clerk's Office
Toronto City Hall, 100 Queen Street West
10th Floor, West Tower
Toronto ON M5H 2N2

Telephone: 416-392-4666

Fax: 416-392-1879 Email: exc@toronto.ca

Any comments received after the Committee meeting will be processed to City Council.

If this matter is deferred at the Committee meeting or Council meeting or considered at a subsequent Committee or Council meeting, no additional notice will be provided other

than the information on the subsequent Committee or Council agenda. Please contact the above City officials if you require notice in these cases.

To ask questions regarding the content of the report, respecting the above items, contact:

Fiona Murray
Director, Corporate Intergovernmental and Agency Relations
Telephone: 416-397-5214

Email: fmurray@toronto.ca

Notice to people writing or making presentations to the Executive Committee:

The City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code authorize the City of Toronto to collect any personal information in your communication or presentation to City Council or its committees.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). If you are submitting letters, faxes, e-mails, presentations or other communications to the City, you should be aware that your name and the fact that you communicated with the City will become part of the public record and will appear on the City's website. The City will also make your communication and any personal information in it — such as your postal address, telephone number or e-mail address — available to the public.

The City videotapes committee and community council meetings. If you make a presentation to a committee or community council, the City will be videotaping you and City staff may make the video tapes available to the public.

If you want to learn more about why and how the City collects your information, write to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or call 416-392-4666.

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Committee wants to meet in closed session (privately), a Committee member must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately (City of Toronto Act, 2006).

Dated at the City of Toronto May 9, 2016.

Ulli S. Watkiss City Clerk This is Exhibit "N" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer



Tracking Status

- <u>City Council</u> adopted this item on November 8, 2016 with amendments.
- This item was considered by <u>Executive Committee</u> on October 26, 2016 and was adopted with amendments. It will be considered by City Council on November 8, 2016.
- See also By-laws <u>267-2017</u>, <u>464-2017</u>, <u>598-2018</u>

City Council consideration on November 8, 2016

| EX18.2 | ACTION | Amended | Ward:All |
|--------|--------|---------|----------|
| | | | |

Follow-up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review

City Council Decision

City Council on November 8 and 9, 2016, adopted the following:

- 1. City Council adopt <u>Option 1 Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards)</u> as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.
- 2. City Council direct that the composition of City Council be one Councillor per ward pursuant to the ward boundary structure approved and instruct the City Solicitor to submit a bill to implement any change to the composition of City Council after either the appeal period has expired without any appeals, or the appeal process for the ward boundary by-law has concluded.
- 3. City Council request the City Solicitor to represent the City's interests in any legal proceedings including appeals relating to City Council's decision.
- 4. City Council direct the City Solicitor to request that, in scheduling any appeals of City Council's ward boundary decision, the Ontario Municipal Board take into consideration Council's wish to implement the new boundaries for the 2018 election.

City Council Decision Advice and Other Information

The Ward Boundary Review consultants gave a presentation to City Council.

Public Notice Given

Background Information (Committee)

(October 12, 2016) Report from the City Manager on Follow-up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97280.pdf)

Public Notice - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97398.pdf)

Attachment 1 - Final Report - New Wards for Toronto - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97416.pdf)

Attachment 2 - Final Report Appendices A to E

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97417.pdf)

Attachment 3 - Supplementary Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97418.pdf)

Attachment 4 - Revised Ward Boundary Implementation Timeline

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97419.pdf)

Attachment 5 - Input on Options for Ward Boundary Changes

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97480.pdf)

(October 26, 2016) Presentation from the Consultants on New Wards for Toronto - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97618.pdf)

Maps - Toronto Ward Boundary Review - Part 1

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97791.pdf)

Maps - Toronto Ward Boundary Review - Part 2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97792.pdf)

Background Information (City Council)

Ward Boundaries adopted by Toronto City Council on November 8 and 9, 2016

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-98221.pdf)

(November 1, 2016) Supplementary report from the City Clerk and the City Solicitor on Petition for Ward Boundary Changes (EX18.2a)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-97991.pdf)

Appendix A - Petition received under Section 129 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-97992.pdf)

Appendix B - Ward Boundary Provisions - City of Toronto Act, 2006

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-97993.pdf)

Consolidation of Map Options prepared by the City Clerk for City Council consideration on November 8 and 9, 2016 (EX18.2b)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-98017_pdf)

(November 4, 2016) Supplementary report from the City Manager and the City Clerk on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review (EX18.2c)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-98115.pdf)

(November 9, 2016) Presentation to City Council - New Wards for Toronto - Toronto Ward Boundary Review (EX18.2d)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-98214.pdf)

Communications (Committee)

(October 21, 2016) Memo from the City Clerk, City of Toronto (EX.Supp.EX18.2.1)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63757.pdf)

(October 22, 2016) E-mail from Peggy Paterson (EX.Supp.EX18.2.2)

(October 23, 2016) E-mail from Sheila Dunlop, Secretary, South Armour Heights Residents' Association (EX.Supp.EX18.2.3)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63759.pdf)

(October 24, 2016) E-mail from Rick Whitten-Stovall, President, Bay Cloverhill Community Association, Linda Brett, President, Bloor Street East Neighbourhood Association and Andrew Horberry President, Church Wellesley Neighbourhood Association (EX.Supp.EX18.2.4)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63760_pdf)

(October 23, 2016) E-mail from Linda Brockington McCarthy (EX.Supp.EX18.2.5)

(October 23, 2016) E-mail from Gerard C. Ronan (EX.Supp.EX18.2.6)

(October 24, 2016) Submission from Brian Graff (EX.Supp.EX18.2.7)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63820.pdf)

(October 24, 2016) Submission from Brian Graff (EX.Supp.EX18.2.8)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63841.pdf)

(October 24, 2016) E-mail from Clare Forshaw (EX.Supp.EX18.2.9)

(October 24, 2016) E-mail from Mary J. McMahon (EX.Supp.EX18.2.10)

(October 25, 2016) E-mail from Carol Burtin Fripp, Co-President, Leaside Property Owners'

Association Incorporated (EX.Supp.EX18.2.11)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63838.pdf)

(October 25, 2016) E-mail from Ilana Kotin (EX.Supp.EX18.2.12)

(October 25, 2016) Letter from Timothy Dobson, Chairman, Lakeshore Planning Council Corp. (EX.Supp.EX18.2.13)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63852,pdf)

(October 25, 2016) Submission from Simon Chamberlain, Secretary, Mount Dennis

Community Association (EX.New.EX18.2.14)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63865.pdf)

(October 25, 2016) E-mail from Michael Holloway (EX.New.EX18.2.15)

Communications (City Council)

(October 27, 2016) E-mail from Josie Erent (CC.Main.EX18.2.16)

(November 1, 2016) E-mail from Kevin Wiener (CC.Supp.EX18.2.17)

(November 7, 2016) E-mail from Jonathan Waterhouse (CC.Supp.EX18.2.18)

(November 7, 2016) E-mail from Brian Graff (CC.New.EX18.2.19)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/cc/comm/communicationfile-64003.pdf)

(November 7, 2016) E-mail from Elizabeth Pelzer (CC.New.EX18.2.20)

Motions (City Council)

I - Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Chin Lee (Lost)
 That City Council amend Executive Committee Recommendation 1 by:

a. amending the boundary of the proposed Ward RW44 in Option 1 - Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager as follows:

The western boundary as Brimley Road from Steeles Avenue East in the north to Hwy 401 in the south, and the eastern boundary be Markham Road from Steeles Aveue East to McLevin Ave, then east along McLevin Ave, turning south at Neilson Road, all the way to Hwy 401, and the northern and southern boundaries remain as Steeles Ave E and Hwy 401 respectively; and

b. amending the boundary of the proposed Ward RW42 in Option 1 - Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager as follows:

The northern boundary of Finch Avenue East starting at Brimley Road extended westward to Pharmacy Avenue. The western boundary of Warden Avenue from Finch Avenue to Huntingwood Drive be deleted and replaced by Pharmacy Avenue from Finch Avenue

south to Huntingwood Drive; and that the northern boundary of Huntingwood Drive from Warden Avenue to Pharmacy Avenue to be deleted.

Vote (Amend Item)

Nov-09-2016 3:39 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX18.2 - Lee - motion 1 |
|--------------|--|
| Yes: 11 | Paul Ainslie, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Michelle Holland, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Chin Lee, Giorgio Mammoliti, Ron Moeser, Frances Nunziata (Chair), David Shiner, John Tory |
| No: 30 | Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, John Campbell, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Joe Cressy, Vincent Crisanti, Janet Davis, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Michael Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Stephen Holyday, Mike Layton, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Cesar Palacio, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, Jaye Robinson, Michael Thompson, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

- 2 Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Stephen Holyday (Lost)
 That City Council delete Executive Committee Recommendation 1:
- 1. City Council adopt Option 1 Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.

and replace it with the following:

- 1. City Council adopt Option 7 Wards Consistent with Federal Riding Boundaries (26 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.
- 2. City Council direct the City Manager to report back to City Council in 2017 on:
 - a. establishing a body of 38 Councillors and a Mayor; consisting of 26 local Councillors, 1 per ward, and three Councillors-at-large per city district, for a total of 12 Councillors-at-large for the 2018 election;
 - b. impacts to governance and structure changes to the authority, duties and function of community councils composed of local Councillors with an approach to expand scope; and the establishment of new committees and a board of control composed of the Councillors-at-large and the Mayor with a focus on city-wide issues; and
 - c. impacts on compensation for elected officials under this new structure including the feasibility of establishing a citizen advisory panel which would advise on compensation under the new structure in time for Council's consideration prior to the 2018 election.
- 3. City Council direct the City Manager to begin discussions with appropriate officials of Federal and Provincial Governments with the objective of refining the common jurisdictional boundaries in central and South Etobicoke in future ward boundary reviews to address issues of voter parity between these areas, as described in the Consultant's report.

Vote (Amend Item)

Nov-09-2016 3:34 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX18.2 - Holyday - motion 2 |
|--------------|--|
| Yes; 9 | Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Michael Ford, Michelle Holland, Stephen Holyday, Giorgio Mammoliti, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson |
| No: 32 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, John Campbell, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Joe Cressy, Janet Davis, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Mary Fragedakis, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, John Tory, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

- 3 Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Jim Karygiannis (Lost) That City Council delete Executive Committee Recommendation 1:
- 1. City Council adopt Option 1 Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.

and replace it with the following:

1. City Council adopt Option 7 - Wards Consistent with Federal Riding Boundaries (26 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager;

Vote (Amend Item)

Nov-09-2016 3:35 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX18.2 - Karygiannis - motion 3 |
|--------------|---|
| Ýes: 9 | Maria Augimeri, Gary Crawford, Michael Ford, Stephen Holyday, Jim Karygiannis, Giorgio Mammoliti, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson |
| No: 32 | Paul Ainslie, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, John Campbell, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Joe Cressy, Vincent Crisanti, Janet Davis, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Mary Fragedakis, Michelle Holland, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, John Tory, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

4 - Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Gary Crawford (Redundant)
That City Council delete Executive Committee Recommendation 1:

1. City Council adopt Option 1 - Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.

and replace it with the following:

- 1. City Council adopt Option 7 Wards Consistent with Federal Riding Boundaries (26 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager;
- 2. City Council direct the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk, to report to the Executive Committee on the impacts of Option 7 on Councillor office staffing levels and compensation which would be reflected in this governance model; and
- 3. City Council direct the City Manager to report to the Executive Committee on the City governance implications of Option 7.

Ruling by Speaker Frances Nunziata
Speaker Nunziata, having regard that motion 3 by Councillor Karygiannis was voted on and lost, ruled motion 4 by Councillor Crawford redundant.

5 - Motion to Amend Item (Additional) moved by Councillor John Filion (Carried)
That City Council direct the City Solicitor to request that in scheduling any appeals of its ward boundary decision, the OMB take into consideration Council's wish to implement the new boundaries for the 2018 election.

Vote (Amend Item (Additional))

Nov-09-2016 3:40 PM

| Result: Carried | Majority Required - EX18.2 - Fillon - motion 5 |
|-----------------|--|
| Yes: 27 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, John Campbell, Shelley Carroll, Joe Cressy, Janet Davis, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Fillon, Michael Ford, Stephen Holyday, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Giorgio Mammoliti, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Joe Mihevc, Ron Moeser, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, John Tory, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| No: 14 | Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, Christin Carmichael Greb, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Paula Fletcher, Mary Fragedakis, Michelle Holland, Mary-Margaret McMahon, James Pasternak, Jaye Robinson, David Shiner, Michael Thompson |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

6a - Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker (Lost)
That City Council delete Executive Committee Recommendation 1:

1. City Council adopt Option 1 - Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.

and replace it with the following:

1. City Council adopt Option 4 - 44 Wards (Revised) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.

Vote (Amend Item)

Nov-09-2016 3:38 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX18.2 - De Baeremaeker - motion 6a, without amendment |
|--------------|--|
| Yes: 13 | John Campbell, Vincent Crisanti, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Michael Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Stephen Holyday, Chin Lee, Giorgio Mammoliti, Ron Moeser, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, John Tory |
| No: 28 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Joe Cressy, Janet Davis, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Michelle Holland, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

6b - Motion to Amend Item (Additional) moved by Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker (Lost)
That City Council request the City Clerk to include one additional full-time equivalent
Constituent Assistant for each Ward, as part of the City Council 2018 operating budget request
to be considered in the 2018 budget process.

Vote (Amend Item (Additional))

Nov-09-2016 3:41 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX18.2 - De Baeremaeker - motion 6b |
|--------------|--|
| Yes: 15 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Christin Carmichael Greb, Joe Cressy, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frank Di Giorgio, Paula Fletcher, Michelle Holland, Mike Layton, Giorgio Mammoliti, Pam McConnell, Cesar Palacio, James Pasternak, Anthony Perruzza, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| No: 26 | Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, John Campbell, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Janet Davis, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Michael Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Stephen Holyday, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Chin Lee, Josh Matlow, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Ron Moeser, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Gord Perks, Jaye Robinson, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, John Tory |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

7 - Motion to Amend Motion moved by Councillor Norman Kelly (Lost)
That motion 6a by Councillor De Baeremaeker be amended by amending the boundaries of the proposed Ward W241 and W239 as follows:

a. The western boundary of proposed Ward W241 as Brimley Road from Steeles Avenue East in the north to Hwy 401 in the south, and the eastern boundary be Markham Road

from Steeles Aveue East to McLevin Ave, then east along McLevin Ave, turning south at Neilson Road, all the way to Hwy 401, and the northern and southern boundaries remain as Steeles Ave E and Hwy 401 respectively; and

b. northern boundary of proposed W239 of Finch Avenue East starting at Brimley Road extended westward to Pharmacy Avenue. The western boundary of Warden Avenue from Finch Avenue to Huntingwood Drive be deleted and replaced by Pharmacy Avenue from Finch Avenue south to Huntingwood Drive; and that the northern boundary of Huntingwood Drive from Warden Avenue to Pharmacy Avenue to be deleted.

Vote (Amend Motion)

Nov-09-2016 3:36 PM

| Result: Lost | Majority Required - EX18.2 - Kelly - motion 7 |
|--------------|---|
| Yes: 14 | Paul Ainslie, Vincent Crisanti, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Michelle Holland, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Chin Lee, Giorgio Mammoliti, Ron Moeser, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, James Pasternak, Jaye Robinson, John Tory |
| No: 27 | Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, John Campbell, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Joe Cressy, Janet Davis, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Michael Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Stephen Holyday, Mike Layton, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, Kristyn Wong-Tam |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong |

8 - Motion to Amend Motion moved by Councillor Paul Ainslie (Lost)
That motion 6a by Councillor DeBaeremaker be amended by amending the boundary of the proposed Ward W243 as follows:

a. Extending the eastern boundary from Morningside Avenue and Ellesmere Road, north along Morningside Avenue to Highway 401 and west to meet the currently proposed Ward W243 boundary.

Vote (Amend Motion)

Nov-09-2016 3:37 PM

| Result: Lost | Paul Ainslie, Vincent Crisanti, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Michelle Holland, Jim | | | |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| Yes: 12 | | | | |
| No: 29 | Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, John Campbell, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Joe Cressy, Janet Davis, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Michael Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Stephen Holyday, Mike Layton, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, Jaye Robinson, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, Kristyn Wong-Tam | | | |

Absent: 3 Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong

Motion to Adopt Item as Amended (Carried)

Vote (Adopt Item as Amended)

Nov-09-2016 3:42 PM

| Result: Carried | Majority Required - EX18.2 - Adopt the item as amended | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Yes: 28 | Paul Ainslie, Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Josh Colle, Joe Cressy, Janet Davis, Frank Di Giorgio, Sarah Doucette, John Filion, Paula Fletcher, Mary Fragedakis, Michelle Holland, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Mike Layton, Josh Matlow, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, Anthony Perruzza, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, Kristyn Wong-Tam | | |
| No: 13 | John Campbell, Gary Crawford, Vincent Crisanti, Glenn De Baeremaeker Michael Ford, Stephen Holyday, Chin Lee, Giorgio Mammoliti, Ron Moese Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, John Tory | | |
| Absent: 3 | Justin J. Di Ciano, Mark Grimes, Denzil Minnan-Wong | | |

Motion to End Debate moved by Councillor Ron Moeser (Lost)
That in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, City Council end the debate on Item EX18.2 and take the vote immediately.

Vote (End Debate)

Nov-09-2016 2:21 PM

| Result: Lost | Two-Thirds Required - EX18.2 - Moeser - End debate | | | |
|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Yes: 19 | Maria Augimeri, Ana Bailão, Jon Burnside, Christin Carmichael Greb, Shelley Carroll, Joe Cressy, Justin J. Di Ciano, Sarah Doucette, Mark Grimes, Mike Layton, Chin Lee, Pam McConnell, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Joe Mihevc, Ron Moeser, James Pasternak, Gord Perks, David Shiner, Michael Thompson | | | |
| No: 21 | Paul Ainslie, John Campbell, Josh Colle, Gary Crawford, Janet Davis, Glenn De Baeremaeker, Frank Di Giorgio, John Filion, Michael Ford, Mary Fragedakis, Stephen Holyday, Jim Karygiannis, Norman Kelly, Giorgio Mammoliti, Josh Matlow, Frances Nunziata (Chair), Cesar Palacio, Anthony Perruzza, Jaye Robinson, John Tory, Kristyn Wong-Tam | | | |
| Absent: 4 | Vincent Crisanti, Paula Fletcher, Michelle Holland, Denzil Minnan-Wong | | | |

Point of Privilege by Councillor Michael Ford

Councillor Ford, rising on a Point of Privilege, stated that he wished to clarify an earlier statement he made when speaking to this Item, that a Councillor chooses who they represent. His statement was incorrect.

Ruling by Speaker Frances Nunziata
Speaker Nunziata accepted the Point of Privilege and thanked Councillor Ford for his

comments.

Point of Order by Councillor Mary Fragedakis

Councillor Fragedakis, rising on a Point of Order, stated that Council has three motions on Option 7 and she wished to know how they would be voted on.

Ruling by Speaker Frances Nunziata

Speaker Nunziata accepted the Point of Order and ruled that she would advise Council when voting gets underway.

Rulings (City Council)

Ruling by Speaker Frances Nunziata

Speaker Nunziata advised Council that the City's consultants wished to make a presentation on this Item. The Speaker ruled that Council would need to decide if it wished to have a presentation and put the proposal to a vote which carried.

Executive Committee consideration on October 26, 2016

| EX18.2 | ACTION | Amended | Ward:All |
|--------|--------|---------|--------------|
| | | | <u> </u> |

Follow-up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Public Notice Given

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

- 1. City Council adopt Option 1 Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.
- 2. City Council direct that the composition of City Council be one (1) Councillor per ward pursuant to the ward boundary structure approved and instruct the City Solicitor to submit a bill to implement any change to the composition of City Council after either the appeal period has expired without any appeals, or the appeal process for the ward boundary by-law has concluded.
- 3. City Council request the City Solicitor to represent the City's interests in any legal proceedings including appeals relating to City Council's decision.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee:

1. Directed the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk, to report directly to City Council on the financial impacts to all Councillor Office budgets of Option 4 - 44 Wards (Revised) (44 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 to the report (October 18, 2016) from the City Manager.

Origin

(October 18, 2016) Report from the City Manager

Summary

Toronto's ward boundaries have not been reviewed since 2000 and there are currently significant population discrepancies in some Toronto wards. In June 2013, City Council directed that a third-party review Toronto's ward boundaries, per an approved Terms of Reference and work plan. The City of Toronto Act, 2006 provides authority to City Council to make changes to its ward boundaries by by-law.

The Canadian Urban Institute, together with Beate Bowron Etcetera, The Davidson Group and Thomas Ostler (the "Consultant"), were retained to conduct a third-party review of Toronto's ward boundaries.

This report transmits the Consultant's reports: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Final Report and Supplementary Report, in response to the May 24, 2016 Executive Committee's direction asking the City Manager to request the Consultants for additional information on four (4) potential additional ward boundary options:

- i. 46/47 wards a review of Recommended Option 1 (47 wards) to determine if effective representation could be achieved focusing on changes to the city's current largest wards only;
- ii. 44 wards a review of Option 2 (44 wards) to determine if effective representation could be achieved by incorporating suggested refinements from the Toronto Ward Boundary Review process' Round 2 consultations in 2015;
- iii. 25 wards a review to determine if effective representation could be achieved if the city's ward boundaries were made to be consistent with federal and provincial riding boundaries (25 wards); and
- iv. 47 wards a review of the Recommended Option 1 (47 Ward) incorporating any additional input received from the public and Members of Council during the consultation process supporting the development of the Consultant's Supplementary Report.

The Consultants determined that one ward boundary model based on the Executive Committee's direction (the Minimal Change – large wards adjustment, 46/47 ward model) was not viable as it would not meet the established standards of "effective representation" required of the City's ward boundary structure. The Consultant provides analysis on the remaining three (3) ward boundary structure models identified above.

The Consultant continues to recommend a ward structure of 47 wards with an average ward population of approximately 61,000 to ensure effective representation given population growth in Toronto over the last 15 years. The Consultant's final and supplementary reports recommend a ward boundary structure that applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice, and draws upon the input received through both a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy and an additional follow-up engagement strategy with Members of City Council and the public. The full list of seven (7) options provided by the Consultant, each of which achieves effective representation (with a variance of either 10 percent or 15 percent), is attached as Appendix 5 to this report.

The City of Toronto Act, 2006 provides a period for appeals of ward boundary decisions to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB). Appeals to Divisional Court are also possible. Any ward boundary changes will take effect for the next regular election if the by-law to adopt them has been enacted and any appeals to the by-law have been concluded prior to December 31, 2017. Otherwise, a by-law changing ward boundaries would not apply until the 2022 election.

Background Information

(October 12, 2016) Report from the City Manager on Follow-up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97280.pdf)

Public Notice - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97398.pdf)

Attachment 1 - Final Report - New Wards for Toronto - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97416.pdf)

Attachment 2 - Final Report Appendices A to E

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97417.pdf)

Attachment 3 - Supplementary Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97418.pdf)

Attachment 4 - Revised Ward Boundary Implementation Timeline

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97419.pdf)

Attachment 5 - Input on Options for Ward Boundary Changes

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97480.pdf)

(October 26, 2016) Presentation from the Consultants on New Wards for Toronto - Toronto Ward Boundary Review

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97618.pdf)

Maps - Toronto Ward Boundary Review - Part 1

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97791.pdf)

Maps - Toronto Ward Boundary Review - Part 2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-97792.pdf)

Communications

(October 21, 2016) Memo from the City Clerk, City of Toronto (EX.Supp.EX18.2.1)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63757.pdf)

(October 22, 2016) E-mail from Peggy Paterson (EX.Supp.EX18.2.2)

(October 23, 2016) E-mail from Sheila Dunlop, Secretary, South Armour Heights Residents' Association (EX.Supp.EX18.2.3)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63759.pdf)

(October 24, 2016) E-mail from Rick Whitten-Stovall, President, Bay Cloverhill Community

Association, Linda Brett, President, Bloor Street East Neighbourhood Association and Andrew

Horberry President, Church Wellesley Neighbourhood Association (EX.Supp.EX18.2.4)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmls/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63760.pdf)

(October 23, 2016) E-mail from Linda Brockington McCarthy (EX.Supp.EX18.2.5)

(October 23, 2016) E-mail from Gerard C. Ronan (EX.Supp.EX18.2.6)

(October 24, 2016) Submission from Brian Graff (EX.Supp.EX18.2.7)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63820.pdf)

(October 24, 2016) Submission from Brian Graff (EX.Supp.EX18.2.8)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63841.pdf)

(October 24, 2016) E-mail from Clare Forshaw (EX.Supp.EX18.2.9)

(October 24, 2016) E-mail from Mary J. McMahon (EX.Supp.EX18.2.10)

(October 25, 2016) E-mail from Carol Burtin Fripp, Co-President, Leaside Property Owners' Association Incorporated (EX.Supp.EX18.2.11)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63838.pdf)

(October 25, 2016) E-mail from Ilana Kotin (EX.Supp.EX18.2.12)

(October 25, 2016) Letter from Timothy Dobson, Chairman, Lakeshore Planning Council Corp. (EX.Supp.EX18.2.13)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63852.pdf)

(October 25, 2016) Submission from Simon Chamberlain, Secretary, Mount Dennis

Community Association (EX.New.EX18.2.14)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2016/ex/comm/communicationfile-63865.pdf)

(October 25, 2016) E-mail from Michael Holloway (EX.New.EX18.2.15)

Speakers

David Harrison, Chair, Annex Residents' Association

David Worts, Huron Sussex Residents Association

Sue Dexter, Harbord Village Residents' Association

Miroslav Glavic

Kathryn Holden

Linda Brett, Bloor Street East Neighbourhood Association (BENA)

John Burt, Bloor Street East Neighbourhood Association

Dr. William Rankin, Bloor Street East Neighbourhood Association

Derek Moran

Nicole Dionisio, Toronto Youth Cabinet

Simon Chamberlain, Mount Dennis Community Association

Brian Graff

Councillor Shelley Carroll

Councillor Joe Cressy

Councillor Janet Davis

Councillor Paula Fletcher

Councillor Mary Fragedakis

Councillor Stephen Holyday

Councillor John Filion

Councillor Frances Nunziata

Councillor Vincent Crisanti

Motions

I - Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Michael Thompson (Lost)
 That City Council adopt Option 4 - 44 Wards (Revised) (44 Wards) as described in Attachment
 5.

Vote (Amend Item)

Oct-26-2016

| Result: Lost | Majority Required |
|--------------|---|
| Yes: 6 | Michelle Holland, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, Michael Thompson, John Tory (Chair) |
| No: 7 | Paul Ainslie, Ana Bailão, Gary Crawford, Frank Di Giorgio, Mary-Margaret |

| 8/21/2018 | Agenda Item History - 2016.EX18.2 |
|-----------|--|
| L | McMahon, James Pasternak, David Shiner |
| Absent: 0 | |

- 2 Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor Gary Crawford (Lost) That:
- 1. City Council adopt <u>Option 7 Wards Consistent with Federal Riding Boundaries (26 Wards)</u> as described in Attachment 5.
- 2. City Council direct the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk, to report to the Executive Committee on the impacts of Option 7 on Councillor office staffing levels and compensation which would be reflected in this governance model.
- 3. City Council direct the City Manager to report to the Executive Committee on the City governance implications of Option 7.

Vote (Amend Item)

Oct-26-2016

| Result: Lost | Majority Required |
|--------------|---|
| Yes: 3 | Gary Crawford, Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson |
| No: 10 | Paul Ainslie, Ana Bailão, Frank Di Giorgio, Michelle Holland, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Denzil Minnan-Wong, James Pasternak, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, John Tory (Chair) |
| Absent: 0 | |

3 - Motion to Amend Item (Additional) moved by Councillor Cesar Palacio (Carried)
That the Executive Committee direct the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk, to report directly to City Council on the financial impacts to all Councillor Office budgets of Option 4 - 44 Wards (Revised) (44 Wards) as described in Attachment 5.

Vote (Amend Item (Additional))

Oct-26-2016

| Result: Carried | Majority Required |
|-----------------|---|
| Yes: 7 | Frank Di Giorgio, Michelle Holland, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, Michael Thompson, John Tory (Chair) |
| No: 6 | Paul Ainslie, Ana Bailão, Gary Crawford, Mary-Margaret McMahon, James Pasternak, David Shiner |
| Absent: 0 | |

4 - Motion to Amend Item moved by Councillor James Pasternak (Carried)
That City Council adopt Option 1 - Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) as described in Attachment 5.

Vote (Amend Item)

| Result: Carried | Majority Required |
|-----------------|---|
| Yes: 7 | Paul Ainslie, Ana Bailão, Frank Di Giorgio, Michelle Holland, Mary-Margaret McMahon, James Pasternak, David Shiner |
| No: 6 | Gary Crawford, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Cesar Palacio, Jaye Robinson, Michael Thompson, John Tory (Chair) |
| Absent: 0 | |

5 - Motion to Amend Motion moved by Councillor Paul Ainslie (Carried)
The motion 1 by Councillor Thompson be amended so that the eastern boundary of Ward 243 in Option 4 - 44 Wards (Revised) (44 Wards) as described in Attachment 5 be extended northward along Morningside Avenue from Ellesmere Road to Highway 401.

Vote (Amend Motion)

Oct-26-2016

| Result: Carried | Majority Required |
|-----------------|---|
| Yes: 11 | Paul Ainslie, Ana Bailão, Gary Crawford, Michelle Holland, Mary-Margaret McMahon, Denzil Minnan-Wong, Cesar Palacio, James Pasternak, David Shiner, Michael Thompson, John Tory (Chair) |
| No: 2 | Frank Di Giorgio, Jaye Robinson |
| Absent: 0 | |

6 - Motion to Adopt Item as Amended moved by Mayor John Tory (Carried)

Source: Toronto City Clerk at www.toronto.ca/council

EX18.2 REPORT FOR ACTION



Follow-up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Date: October 18, 2016
To: Executive Committee
From: City Manager

Wards: All

Public Notice Given

SUMMARY

Toronto's ward boundaries have not been reviewed since 2000 and there are currently significant population discrepancies in some Toronto wards. In June 2013, City Council directed that a third-party review Toronto's ward boundaries, per an approved Terms of Reference and work plan. The *City of Toronto Act*, 2006 provides authority to City Council to make changes to its ward boundaries by by-law.

The Canadian Urban Institute, together with Beate Bowron Etcetera, The Davidson Group and Thomas Ostler (the "Consultant"), were retained to conduct a third-party review of Toronto's ward boundaries.

This report transmits the Consultant's reports: *Toronto Ward Boundary Review Final Report* and *Supplementary Report*, in response to the May 24, 2016 Executive Committee's direction asking the City Manager to request the Consultants for additional information on four (4) potential additional ward boundary options:

- i) 46/47 wards a review of Recommended Option 1 (47 wards) to determine if
 effective representation could be achieved focusing on changes to the city's current
 largest wards only;
- 44 wards a review of Option 2 (44 wards) to determine if effective representation could be achieved by incorporating suggested refinements from the Toronto Ward Boundary Review process' Round 2 consultations in 2015;
- iii) 25 wards a review to determine if effective representation could be achieved if the city's ward boundaries were made to be consistent with federal and provincial riding boundaries (25 wards); and
- iv) 47 wards a review of the Recommended Option 1 (47 Ward) incorporating any additional input received from the public and Members of Council during the consultation process supporting the development of the Consultant's Supplementary Report.

The Consultants determined that one ward boundary model based on the Executive Committee's direction (the Minimal Change – large wards adjustment, 46/47 ward model) was not viable as it would not meet the established standards of "effective representation" required of the City's ward boundary structure. The Consultant provides analysis on the remaining three (3) ward boundary structure models identified above.

The Consultant continues to recommend a ward structure of 47 wards with an average ward population of approximately 61,000 to ensure effective representation given population growth in Toronto over the last 15 years. The Consultant's final and supplementary reports recommend a ward boundary structure that applies judicially recognized principles, considers leading electoral and public policy research and advice, and draws upon the input received through both a two-step broad engagement and consultation strategy and an additional follow-up engagement strategy with Members of City Council and the public. The full list of seven (7) options provided by the Consultant, each of which achieves effective representation (with a variance of either 10% or 15%), is attached as Appendix 5 to this Report.

The City of Toronto Act, 2006 provides a period for appeals of ward boundary decisions to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB). Appeals to Divisional Court are also possible. Any ward boundary changes will take effect for the next regular election if the by-law to adopt them has been enacted and any appeals to the by-law have been concluded prior to December 31, 2017. Otherwise, a by-law changing ward boundaries would not apply until the 2022 election.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The City Manager recommends that:

- 1. City Council consider the enclosed, *Final Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review* and *Supplementary Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review* (Attachments 1 and 3), approve a ward boundary structure and direct the City Solicitor to submit the bill designating the wards to City Council.
- 2. City Council direct that the composition of City Council be one (1) Councillor per ward pursuant to the ward boundary structure approved and instruct the City Solicitor to submit a bill to implement any change to the composition of City Council after either the appeal period has expired without any appeals, or the appeal process for the ward boundary by-law has concluded.
- 3. City Council request the City Solicitor to represent the City's interests in any legal proceedings including appeals relating to City Council's decision.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The Toronto Ward Boundary Review Final and Supplementary reports from the third-party Consultant recommends the establishment of three (3) new wards. The annual operating cost for each Member office is approximately \$290,000, or a total annual estimated operating cost of \$870,000 to establish three (3) new wards. An additional \$70,000 per Member, for a total of \$210,000 may also be required for one-time start-up costs to set up City Hall and Constituency Offices.

Costs associated with City Council's final ward boundary changes will be submitted through the annual Operating and Capital Budget process as required.

The Deputy City Manager & Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

EQUITY STATEMENT

The principles articulated by the courts in relation to ward boundary reviews support the City's equity framework, and equity goals and objectives. The Consultant incorporated equity considerations in their work plan, throughout the review process and in the engagement and consultation strategy. As part of the review's engagement process the Consultant ensured that American Sign Language (ASL) translation services were available, provided additional translation services on request and provided for TTY translation as necessary. The Consultant also worked with the City to identify Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act, 2005 (AODA) compliant sites to hold public engagement sessions and ensured the Review's web communications were compliant with AODA standards.

The Toronto Ward Boundary Review applied the principle of effective representation throughout the ward boundary assessment, development, consultations, and option identification. Effective representation aims to achieve representational and voter equity in the determination of electoral ward boundaries through the balance of voter parity, geographic communities of interest, natural/physical boundaries, electoral ward history, population growth, the capacity to represent and the geographic shape and size of electoral ward boundaries. The principle of effective representation is consistent with the City's equity framework, equity goals and objectives, and ensures public input is independently considered in the determination of ward boundary options provided to City Council for consideration, and is the legal test that will be applied to any ward boundary approved by City Council.

DECISION HISTORY

Consideration of Consultant's TWBR Final Report
On May 24, 2016, Executive Committee considered EX15.2 Final Report - Toronto
Ward Boundary Review and referred the report to the City Manager with the motion that

the City Manager request the Consultant to review three (3) additional ward boundary models, consult the public, stakeholders and Members of Council, and provide a follow-up report to be submitted to the October 26, 2016 of the Executive Committee for consideration with the *Toronto Ward Boundary Review – Final Report*. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2016.EX15.2 Approval of TWBR Work Plan

On June 10, 2014, City Council approved the EX42.4 Draw the Line: Toronto Ward Boundary Review Project Work Plan, Civic Engagement and Public Consultation Strategy submitted by the Canadian Urban Institute.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2014.EX42.4

Award of Contract to Consultants to Undertake TWBR

On March 5, 2014, following a Request for Proposal process, Bid Committee awarded the contract for the provision of services to conduct an independent, objective analysis and review of the City of Toronto's ward boundaries to the Canadian Urban Institute. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2014.BD167.7

Direction to Retain a Consultant to Undertake TWBR
On June 11, 2013, City Council authorized the City Manager to retain a third party
consultant to undertake a Ward Boundary Review consistent with the Terms of
Reference.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaItemHistory.do?item=2013.EX32.2

Direction to Report on a Process to Review Toronto's Ward Boundaries
On March 19, 2012, the Executive Committee recommended the City Manager report
on a process to establish a ward boundary review to better reflect effective
representation.

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2012.EX18.12

Direction to Report on a Mechanism to Recognize Uneven Population Growth in Wards & Authorization to provide an additional Constituency Assistant staff for large wards On April 15, 2010, City Council requested the City Manager and the City Clerk to report on a mechanism to recognize the uneven population growth in some wards, with recommendations for the appropriate allocation of resources. Council also authorized the City Manager to provide one additional staff member at the constituency assistant level for any ward that exceeds the median by more than 50 percent. http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2010.EX42.1, http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2010/cc/bgrb/backgroundfile-29379.pdf

ISSUE BACKGROUND

Toronto is the largest city in Canada, and contains a wide diversity of communities and interests. A strong and fair foundation for local government is fundamental for the effective governance of Toronto, and begins with the ward structure. Ward boundaries are dynamic and should not be considered permanent fixtures as local government structures must keep pace with population changes and municipal growth. Toronto ward boundaries do not currently and are not required to align with federal and provincial riding boundaries.

The City of Toronto Act provides City Council with authority to make changes to its ward boundaries. City Council last reviewed Toronto's ward boundaries in 2000 and since that time Toronto has experienced significant population growth.

The Supreme Court of Canada has set out guidelines for drawing of electoral boundaries and has established that governments must respect the principle of "effective representation". The first condition that courts consider for effective representation is voter parity, an equal number of voters in every electoral area, but effective representation rather than voter parity is the goal. The Supreme Court has recognized that other factors such as geography, communities of interest, community history, minority representation, and projected population growth justify departure from voter parity to ensure effective representation. The OMB has applied the Supreme Court factors to justify departures from voter parity in decisions related to municipal ward boundary appeals.

COMMENTS

1. Initiating a Ward Boundary Review for Toronto

City Council initiated a ward boundary review in 2013 to address population growth in Toronto as a number of wards were exceeding or close to exceeding acceptable benchmarks for electoral boundaries. To ensure the review was objective and armslength, the City Manager retained a third-party Consultant to undertake the review process following Terms of Reference approved by City Council.

The Terms of Reference established that the consultants would undertake the ward boundary review pursuant to the following parameters:

- Develop a ward boundary review process, work plan and engagement and consultation strategy that does not assume a pre-determined number of wards or specific boundaries of wards for Toronto;
- Apply the principle of "effective representation" as outlined by the Supreme Court of Canada and applied by the courts and the OMB in developing ward boundary options;
- Consider and reflect the principles of geography, community history, minority representation, communities of interest, physical and natural boundaries in developing ward boundary options;
- Adhere to requirements set out in relevant OMB and court decisions about undertaking municipal ward boundary reviews;
- Consider and accommodate Toronto's projected growth and population shifts for a reasonable period of time;
- Build on lessons learned through other ward boundary reviews and leading electoral and public policy research and advice;
- Consider key City policies in the development of ward boundary options including the Official Plan and community revitalization plans;
- Include at least two rounds of broad and comprehensive public consultation: the first to provide information to support informed engagement and solicit feedback on

existing ward boundaries; and the second to provide information and solicit feedback on ward boundary options;

- · Consider the appropriate number of wards as well as ward boundaries; and
- Work within any additional parameters established by City Council.

2. Overview of the Toronto Ward Boundary Review Process

City Council approved the Consultant's work plan and outreach and engagement strategy to undertake a ward boundary review from June 2014 to March 2016.

The Consultant undertook a six-step review process to develop ward boundary options including a recommended option. The Ward Boundary Review process included:

A. Research (June 2014 to November 2014)

Formed the background information for the first round of public consultation including the legal context, jurisdictional reviews, analysis of Toronto's current wards and ward population projections out to 2030.

B. Civic Engagement (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: May 2015 to November 2015)

Web-based activities (including social media platforms), communication and outreach to educate the public about the purpose of the ward boundary review, keep the public informed about the process and provide a range of opportunities for the public to get involved.

C. Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One: June 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: June 2015 November 2015)

Included two rounds of public and stakeholder consultation.

D. Generation of Ward Boundary Options (March 2015 to April 2015)

Following Round One of the public and stakeholder consultation, and building on the research, a series of ward boundary options were developed for public and stakeholder input in Round Two.

E. Final Report (February 2016 to March 2016)

Following Round Two of public and stakeholder consultation, the final report was developed with a recommended ward boundary structure for City Council's consideration.

F. Request for Additional Information (May - October 2016)

Following the Executive Committee's consideration of EX15.2 Final Report – Toronto Ward Boundary Review, the Executive Committee asked the City Manager to request the Consultants to undertake research, analysis and consultations with the public and

Members of Council on four (4) identified ward boundary options and report back to the Executive Committee's meeting on October 26, 2016. The TWBR Consultants conducted four (4) public meetings across Toronto (one in each Community Council District), a six (6) week online survey and one-on-one interviews with Members of Council in the preparation of their report.

3. City Manager's Poll on Ward Boundaries

Separate from the work of the Consultants in the development of the *Toronto Ward Boundary Review Supplementary Report*, the City Manager undertook an independent poll of Toronto residents regarding opinions related to changes to the city's ward boundaries. The poll was taken over a one week period, from September 27 to October 3, 2016, and comprised a representative sample of 1,000 Toronto residents age 18 years and older, distributed approximately evenly between the City's four Community Council Districts.

The City Manager's poll found that Toronto residents generally prioritized being able to reach their City Councillor ahead of other factors, while making boundaries align with federal and provincial riding boundaries was disproportionately more important to residents aged 55 years and older. Different demographics were found to exhibit a relative tolerance for a greater level of relative disparity between city wards when given the choice between having approximately the same number of residents in all city wards or allowing some wards to be larger and some to be smaller when drawing ward boundaries (the poll found 30% of residents favoured ward boundaries be changed so that there would be approximately 70,000 residents per ward versus 31% of residents indicating that it would be acceptable for wards to have different numbers of residents.)

In addition, the poll indicated that two out of three residents (71% of those who have voted previously) were of the opinion that changes to their ward boundary will not influence their decision to vote in future municipal elections. This opinion was expressed consistently across all Community Council Districts, with younger residents (18 – 34 years) the only demographic group to indicate that they are more likely to believe changes to ward boundaries would influence whether they vote in future municipal elections.

4. The Consultant's Recommended Ward Boundary Changes

The Consultant generated five (5) ward boundary options that achieved effective representation and elicited input on these options during the Round 2 consultation and engagement process. A further two (2) new ward boundary options were considered by the Consultant in the *TWBR Supplementary Report*, along with refinements to two (2) of the existing ward boundary option models: one presented in the *TWBR Final Report* – Recommended Wards (47 wards), and Option 2 (44 wards) contained in the TWBR Options Report.

Option 1 (minimal change with 47 wards and an average population of 61,000) emerged as the preferred option based on input from the public, stakeholders and Members of City Council elicited through the second round of engagement and consultation. The Consultant's report notes that Option 1 achieves effective representation balancing a

range of factors including voter parity, geographic communities of interest, future growth, coherent boundaries, ward history and the capacity of Councillors to represent their constituents. Option 1 was refined twice: once during the Round 2 consultation and engagement process, and again to incorporate the additional concerns and refinements heard from Members of Council and the general public following Option 1's consideration at Executive Committee on May 24, 2016.

Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 ward) – previously Ward Boundary Option 1 – seeks to minimally increase the number of current wards from 44 to 47, retains the current average ward population of 61,000, achieves effective representation in all wards by 2026, and is designed to last for four (4) municipal elections. It achieves a population variance to plus or minus 15% of the average ward population in 44 of the 47 proposed wards with two wards slightly above the population variance and one ward slightly below the population variance. It is anticipated that these three (3) wards will achieve a population variance to plus or minus 15% by 2026. The revisions to this option have managed to keep certain communities of interest together such as Regent Park, the Sentinel community and the Church-Wellesley Village.

This report recommends that the final ward boundary structure maintains the composition of City Council as one (1) Councillor per ward. Section 135 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 authorizes City Council to pass a by-law changing its composition. This report requests authority to submit a bill to reflect any change in the number of councillors resulting from a change in the number of wards.

Option 3 (identified in Attachment 5) – Minimal Change, Adjustments to Large Wards Only (46 or 47 Wards): determined as not a viable ward boundary option

At its May 24, 2016 meeting, the Executive Committee asked that the City Manager request the TWBR Consultants undertake a review of Option 1 (47 wards) of the Consultant's *TWBR Final Report*, "with a focus on amendments to address wards with the highest population discrepancies (i.e. Wards 20, 22, 23, 27 and 28), including the possibility of 46 wards, while ensuring the option would achieve effective representation."

After reviewing opportunities to make adjustments only to the largest current Toronto wards as part of the *TWBR Final Report* Option 1 (47 wards) ward boundary structure, the Consultants determined that it was not feasible to implement the required changes that would maintain an average ward population of 61,000, leave the remainder of Toronto ward boundaries unaffected and still comply with the required standard of maintaining "effective representation" in all wards until at least 2026.

In order to achieve the revised 47 ward (or even 46 ward) model identified by the Executive Committee, the Consultants found that an additional four (4) wards would be required to address the size disparity of the current four (4) largest wards. However, population disparities in three (3) other large current wards would need to be addressed and 14 currently smaller population wards would need to be enlarged to achieve the required standards for effective representation. As such, the Consultants deemed this Option not to be viable for further consideration.

5. Key Implementation Activities and Timelines to Adjust Toronto's Ward Boundaries

The following implementation activities will commence when City Council adopts a final ward boundary structure.

A. Ward Boundary By-law (Spring 2017)

Under the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, City Council has authority to make changes to its ward boundaries through the passage of a by-law. The by-law will need to provide detailed descriptions of the ward boundaries. Once Council selects a ward boundary structure, the required land survey work will begin for inclusion in the bill to adjust Toronto's ward boundaries. It is anticipated that the City Solicitor will bring forward the bill to adjust Toronto's wards in spring 2017.

B. Time for Appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board (April 2017 to January 2018)

The passage of changes to Toronto ward boundaries is governed by the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, Section 128. In addition to providing that City Council may change the City's ward boundaries, s. 128 provides for an appeal of City Council's decision. Within 45 days after the by-law is passed, a member of the public, agency or a Minister may appeal the Toronto ward boundaries by-law to the Ontario Municipal Board. The Board will subsequently hear the appeal and may make an order affirming, amending or repealing the Toronto ward boundary by-law.

The length of time that an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board will take cannot be predicted with any certainty; however, the City should expect an appeal would take at least eight (8) to ten (10) months to conclude.

An appeal to Divisional Court is also possible and may take approximately a year to conclude if leave to appeal is granted. Appeals will make it highly unlikely that the ward boundary changes can be implemented in time for the 2018 municipal election as they would have to conclude before the deadline of December 31, 2017.

C. Implementation of Ward Boundary Changes (January 2018 to June 2018)

The ward boundary by-law must be in place by December 31, 2017 in order for the ward boundary changes to take effect for the 2018 election.

After the period for appeals has concluded, implementation of the final ward boundary changes will commence, including the following key activities:

- Develop and implement a communications strategy to inform the public and stakeholders of the new ward boundaries;
- Redraw Toronto's ward maps, as required;
- Create new geographic representation of ward boundaries from the adopted municipal by-law and integrate into the City's geospatial platform;
- Review and redraw all voting subdivisions based on new ward boundaries;
- Notify the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) and the school boards of the ward boundary changes; and

Provide final voting subdivisions to MPAC (must be done by March 31, 2018).

The revised timeline for the implementation of the ward boundary changes in time for the 2018 municipal election presents a number of challenges for the City. Implementation of any changes to ward boundaries will be highly dependent on the length of time any appeals to the Ontario Municipal Board require and whether the ward boundary changes are further appealed to Divisional Court. Additionally, the City will only have a limited amount of time to implement the ward boundary changes in preparation for the 2018 municipal election once all appeals and challenges have been settled. Any additional delays to the revised key timelines and implementation activities detailed in Attachment 4 will severely compromise the City's ability to implement any changes to ward boundaries in time for the 2018 municipal election.

The City Manager will report further as required with respect to the time line for implementing the new ward boundaries.

6. Governance Implications of Ward Boundary Changes

Any changes to Toronto's ward boundaries will have implications for the number and boundaries of Community Councils. City staff will assess these implications and report back to Executive Committee with any recommended changes once Toronto's ward boundary changes are final.

Additionally, the Consultant included a number of comments and suggestions identified through the ward boundary review engagement process that were outside the scope of this review. These include matters related to governance (for example, structure and operation of City Council, expanded role for Community Councils), staff and resources for Councillor Offices, naming of Toronto wards, and school zone boundaries.

The City Manager's Office will review and consider these comments and suggestions in future related reports.

CONCLUSION

This report responds to the Executive Committee's referral motion asking the City Manager to request the third-party Toronto Ward Boundary Review Consultant provide information on four (4) additional ward boundary options. This report transmits the Consultant's reports *Final Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review* and *Supplementary Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review* for City Council's consideration, including a recommended ward structure to ensure effective representation.

In the event there is an appeal to Divisional Court or the Ontario Municipal Board, the City Solicitor will represent the City's interests in the proceedings and will inform City Council of them.

CONTACT

Peter Notaro, Executive Director, Strategic & Corporate Policy, City Manager's Office, 416-392-8066, Peter.Notaro@toronto.ca

Fiona Murray, Director, Corporate Intergovernmental & Agency Relations, Strategic & Corporate Policy, City Manager's Office, 416-397-5214, Fiona.Murray@toronto.ca

Wendy Walberg, Practice Lead, Municipal Law, Legal Services, 416-392-8078, wwalberg@toronto.ca

Todd Orvitz, Director, Corporate Policy, Strategic & Corporate Policy, City Manager's Office, 416-319-3438, Todd.Orvitz@toronto.ca

Bonita Pietrangelo, Deputy City Clerk, Election Services, City Clerk's Office, 416-392-8019, bpietran@toronto.ca

SIGNATURE

Peter Wallace City Manager

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 1: Final Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Attachment 2: Final Report Appendices

Attachment 3: Supplementary Report: Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Attachment 4: Revised Ward Boundary Implementation Timeline

Attachment 5: Input on Options for Ward Boundary Changes

PUBLIC NOTICE

Potential Changes to Toronto's Ward Boundaries

Notice is hereby given that Toronto City Council will consider new ward boundaries for Toronto.

At its meeting to be held in Committee Room 1 in Toronto City Hall on October 26, 2016, at 9:30 a.m., or as soon as possible thereafter, the Executive Committee of Toronto City Council will hear in person or by his or her counsel, agent or solicitor, any person who wishes to speak to the matter.

Background

Toronto is the largest city in Canada, and contains a wide diversity of communities and interests. A strong and fair foundation for local government is fundamental for the effective governance of Toronto, and begins with the ward structure.

City Council last reviewed Toronto's ward boundaries in 2000. In 2013, City Council initiated a Ward Boundary Review to ensure effective representation across Toronto, as there are significant discrepancies in ward populations. To ensure the review was objective and at arm's length from the City, third-party consultants were retained to undertake the review process following <u>Terms of Reference</u> approved by City Council.

Toronto Ward Boundary Review Process

The third party consultants undertook a five-step review process that included:

- Research (June 2014 to November 2014) that formed the background information for the first round of public consultation including the legal context, jurisdictional reviews, analysis of Toronto's current wards and ward population projections out to 2030.
- Civic Engagement (Round One: July 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two:
 August 2015 to November 2015) web-based activities (including social media
 platforms), communication and outreach to educate the public about the purpose of
 the ward boundary review, keep the public informed about the process and provide a
 range of opportunities for the public to get involved, including two online surveys.
- Public and Stakeholder Consultation (Round One: July 2014 to February 2015 and Round Two: August 2015 November 2015)

 — included 24 public meetings.
- Generation of Ward Boundary Options (March 2015 to July 2015) following
 Round One of the public and stakeholder consultation, and building on the research,
 a series of ward boundary options were developed for public and stakeholder input
 in Round Two.

• Final Report (February 2016 to April 2016) – following Round Two of public and stakeholder consultation, the final report was developed including a recommendation for new wards for Toronto for City Council's consideration.

Follow-up Report to the Toronto Ward Boundary Review:

At the City's Executive Committee's meeting on May 24, 2016, EX15.2 Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review was referred to the City Manager who requested that the Consultants review three additional potential ward boundary options. The Consultants were asked to conduct an analysis of these additional ward boundary options, including public consultations, and report back with a Consultant's Toronto Ward Boundary Review Supplementary Report to the Executive Committee, at its meeting on October 26, 2016.

The additional ward boundary options contained in the Consultant's Toronto Ward Boundary Review Supplementary Report and the ward boundary options discussed in EX15.2 Final Report - Toronto Ward Boundary Review on May 24, 2016 will be considered together by the Executive Committee at its meeting on October 26, 2016.

Further information about Toronto's Ward Boundary Review can be found at: www.drawthelines.ca.

Executive Committee and City Council Consideration

Executive Committee will consider recommended changes to Toronto's ward boundaries at its meeting on October 26, 2016. City Council will consider recommended changes to Toronto's ward boundaries at its meeting on November 8 and 9, 2016.

The Executive Committee may change the recommendations related to Toronto's ward boundaries for City Council's consideration. City Council may also make changes. If City Council decides to establish new ward boundaries, it will pass a by-law reflecting the detailed changes at a subsequent Council meeting.

Sharing your ideas about the proposed Toronto ward boundary changes:

To view copies of the report outlining and explaining the proposed amendments you may view the Executive Committee Agenda as of October 19, 2016:

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/decisionBodyProfile.do?function=doPrepare&decisionBodyId=966#Meeting-2016.EX18

To obtain copies of the report at no cost, or to submit comments or register to speak in person to the Executive Committee, please contact the following office no later than 4:30 p.m. on Tuesday, October 25, 2016.

Executive Committee
City Clerk's Office
Toronto City Hall, 100 Queen Street West
10th Floor, West Tower
Toronto ON M5H 2N2

Telephone: 416-392-4666

Fax: 416-392-1879 Email: exc@toronto.ca

Any comments received after the Committee meeting will be processed to City Council.

If this matter is deferred at the Committee meeting or Council meeting or considered at a subsequent Committee or Council meeting, no additional notice will be provided other than the information on the subsequent Committee or Council agenda. Please contact the above City officials if you require notice in these cases.

To ask questions regarding the content of the report, respecting the above items, contact:

Fiona Murray

Director, Corporate Intergovernmental and Agency Relations

Telephone: 416-397-5214 Email: fmurray@toronto.ca

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Notice to People Writing to Committee:

The City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code authorize the City of Toronto to collect any personal information in your communication or presentation to City Council or its committees. The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). If you are submitting letters, faxes, e-mails, presentations or other communications to the City, you should be aware that your name and the fact that you communicated with the City will become part of the public record and will appear on the City's website. The City will also make your communication and any personal information in it – such as your postal address, telephone number or e-mail address – available to the public, unless you expressly request the City to remove it.

The City videotapes committee and community council meetings. If you make a presentation to a committee or community council, the City will be videotaping you and City staff may make the video tapes available to the public.

If you want to learn more about why and how the City collects your information, write to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or call 416-392-4666.

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Committee wants to meet in closed session (privately), a Committee member must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately (City of Toronto Act, 2006).

This Notice is dated this October 14, 2016.

Ulli S. Watkiss City Clerk

$EX18.2\ Attachment\ 4\ \ {\it Ward\ Boundary\ Implementation\ Timeline}$

| Key Date | Key Activity |
|--|--|
| Oct 26, 2016 Executive Committee meeting | Consideration of the Final Report, Toronto Ward Boundary Review (incl. Supplementary Report) |
| November 8 & 9, 2016 City Council meeting | Consideration of the Final Report, Toronto Ward Boundary Review and selection of option |
| November 2016 to February 2017 | Develop detailed description of ward boundaries for inclusion in by-law |
| March 28, 29 & 30, 2017 City Council meeting* | Passing of the by-law |
| Third week of May 2017 | Appeal Period Within 45 days after the by-law is passed, the Minister or any other person or agency may appeal to the Ontario Municipal (s. 128(4) COTA) |
| Last week of May 2017 | Within 15 days after the last day for filing a notice of appeal, the City must forward any notices of appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board. (s. 128 (5) COTA) |
| June 2017 to January 2018** | Time for OMB Hearing If expedited, possibly 8 to 10 months |
| January 2018 to June 2018*** | Develop and implement communication strategy to inform public and stakeholders of new ward boundaries |
| | Redraw ward maps, if required Create geographic representation of new ward boundaries and integrate into the City's geospatial platform (8 weeks) |
| | Review and redraw all voting subdivisions based on new ward boundaries (12 – 16 weeks) |
| December 31, 2017 | Deadline for passing by-law (including all appeals) in order for new boundaries to be in effect for 2018 election (s. 128 (8) COTA) |
| | Any ward boundary changes will take effect for the next regular election if the by-law to adopt them has been enacted and any appeals to the |

Ward Boundary Implementation Timeline

| Key Date | Key Activity |
|----------------|---|
| | by-law have been concluded prior to January 1, 2018. |
| | Last day to notify MPAC of ward boundary changes (s. 128 (10) COTA) |
| March 31, 2018 | Last day for Clerk to provide final voting subdivision boundaries to MPAC (s. 18, MEA) |
| April 3, 2018 | Last day for school boards to provide the Clerk with the report on determination and distribution of trustees (e.g. school board wards) (s. 58 Educ. Act, O. Reg. 412/00, as amended) |

^{*} Targeted time line

^{**} Appeals to Divisional Court are also possible and if leave is granted may take approximately one year to conclude.

^{***} Only where all appeals are dispensed with by Dec. 31 will these activities take place. Deadline for submitting ward boundaries to MPAC is March 31, 2018.

EX18.2 Attachment 5 Appendix 5: Options for Ward Boundary Changes

| | OPTION | CONSULTANT | MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | PUBLIC | CITY POLL |
|---|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Revised Minimal Change (47 Wards) ¹ | al B P Brds) ¹ | Avg. ward pop. 61,000 residents Avg. ward pop. 61,000 residents Achieves ER using a +/- 15% population variation By 2026, the Consultant estimates that 157 residents may be outside of the upper acceptable variance under ER, primarily in current Ward 38 (RW-41 in the proposed model) Would add 3 new wards to City Council (3 downtown & 1 in North York), and remove 1 existing ward (Ward 18) Additional 70 comments and suggestions allowed for refinements that addressed some concerns about division of neighbourhoods (including Regent Park and Church-Wellesley Village and the Sentinel community) Discussed in TWBR Supplementary Report | 47 ward option allows for better capacity to represent, keeps existing communities together & is the result of an independent process Adding 3 Councillors is appropriate given city's growth & that no adjustments have been made since 2000 This option had favourable support among many Members of Council Concerns were raised about splitting some communities, including many of those also identified by the public | Majority of public consulted expressed a preference for this option. Support focused on potential for achieving better local representation, with better local democracy. Concerns focused on increasing the size of City Council, and need to address ward boundaries that split existing communities. Corinthian communities. Don Mills Residents Association, Jane-Finch, Malvern, Mount Dennis Community Association) ² | 37% of residents polled identified "being able to reach your Councillor" as their first priority in deciding what ward boundaries are (10% higher than the next leading response) Making sure every ward in the City has the same number of people ranked a fifth priority (21%) of those residents polled 71% of those who have voted previously indicated changes to their ward boundary would not influence their decision to vote in the future |
| | | adpletional fields | | | |

¹ This option is now called "Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards)

² The concerns have been largely addressed in the Consultant's revisions. *ER* — Effective Representation *TWBR* — Toronto Ward Boundary Review

| ILIC CITY POLL | add the highest ranked identified "being able to reach identified "being able to reach identified "being able to reach score of all options has the TWBR Final Report or identified "being able to reach your Councillor" as their first provide and a priority in deciding what ward boundaries are (10% higher than the next leading response) • Making ward boundaries align with aligning with geographic boundaries for third place (23%) among residents polled in priorities for setting ward boundaries. | Ranked the highest "first choice" option, but also among the highest "last place" choice place" choice choice choice when all scoring input was incorporated into scoring system scoring system and statement than the city should have an equal number of people in each ward, while 31% indicated that it is okay if wards have different numbers of residents |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| MEMBERS OF COUNCIL PUBLIC | Had the highest ranked score of all options considered for the <i>TWBR</i> considered for the <i>TWBR Final Report</i> Ranked "first choice" of all 5 originally considered for the majority of Councillors voting (13 times) with a low rate of "last choice" (4 times) "Inst choice" (4 times) "Inst choice" (4 times) "Inst choice" voting for original 5 options communities by creation of neighbourhoods / considered for the two wards and shifting of existing ward boundaries second or third choice. | Mixed reaction by Councillors, with almost an equal number ranking this option "first" and "last place". "last place". Most divisive option of those presented in preparation of was incorporated into scoring system. |
| CONSULTANT | Original recommendation of <i>TWBR</i> Final Report Avg. ward pop. 61,000 residents Achieves ER using a +/- 15% population variation Would add 3 new wards to City Council (3 downtown & 1 in North York), and remove 1 existing ward (Ward 18) Discussed in <i>TWBR Final Report</i> Discussed in <i>TWBR Final Report</i> | Avg. ward pop. 50,000 residents Achieves ER using a +/- 15% population variation Would add 14 new wards to City Council Smallest ward population range (45,000 – 55,000 pop.) Discussed in TWBR Final Report |
| OPTION | Minimal 2 Change (47 Wards) ³ | 3 Small Wards (58 Wards) |

³ This option is referred to as the Recommended Wards in the Final Report. ER – Effective Representation TWBR – Toronto Ward Boundary Review

N

| OPTION | CONSULTANT | MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | PUBLIC | CITY POLL |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 4 (Revised) | Avg. ward pop 70,000 Achieves ER using a +/- 10% population variation By 2026, the Consultant estimates that 350 residents may be outside of the upper acceptable variance under ER, primarily in current ward 28 (Ward 218 in proposed model) Would add 3 wards (2 downtown, 1 in North York) by removing 3 existing wards (Wards 10, 18 & 32) Large amount of change Option 2 (44 wards) discussed in TWBR Final Report Refined version discussed in TWBR Supplementary Report | Retains the current size of City Council Extra workload generated by larger wards is manageable No cost increase for additional City Councillors Concerns over the number of existing communities & neighbourhoods split Concerns over high degree of change Concerns over disappearance of coertain wards and 'capacity to represent' larger wards | Support for retaining the current size of City Council Positive feedback for maintaining the integrity of certain existing communities / neighbourhoods (e.g. Regent Park, Church-Wellesley Village, West Toronto Junction) Concern over large amount of change involved, reduced 'capacity to represent' Division of certain existing communities & neighbourhoods remains a concern (e.g., Lawrence Heights, Leaside, The Beach) Disappearance' of | When informed each ward would have approximately 70,000 people if Council decided to standardize ward populations, 30% of residents polled indicated that the city should have an equal number of people in each ward, while 31% indicated that it is okay if wards have different numbers of residents 14% of residents polled wanted to keep the current number of wards when asked if the City should reduce its wards to 25 and align them with federal riding boundaries. |
| | | | Wards 10 & 32 | |

ER – Effective Representation TWBR – Toronto Ward Boundary Review

ന

| | CONSULTANT | MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | PUBLIC | T LOCK |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| Natural / Physical Boundaries (41 Wards) | Avg ward pop 70,000 Achieves ER using a +/- 10% population variation Vould create additional wards downtown (2 wards) and in North York (1 ward) by removing 6 existing wards and making significant changes to most other city ward boundaries Discussed in TWBR Final Report | Concern over significant amount of change, splitting existing communities & neighbourhoods Received few "first cholces" from Councillors, but was the leading choice for "fifth place or 'No" when polled by the TWBR Consultants Only surpassed by the 38 Wards option as least popular among Councillors | Concern over significant amount of change, splitting existing communities & neighbourhoods Some support for reducing the size of City Council and related cost savings Scored third in ranking overall with slightly more first choice votes than fifth choice votes than first or second ranked Options due to the high number of "last place" votes) | Making ward boundaries align with historic communities (incl. former boroughs) tied with aligning with geographic boundaries for third place (23%) among residents polled in priorities for setting ward boundaries 71% of those who have voted previously indicated changes to their ward boundary would not influence their decision to vote in the future |

| | OPTION | CONSULTANT | MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | PUBLIC | CITY POLL |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| 9 | Large Wards (38 Wards) | Avg. ward pop 75,000 Achieves ER using a +/- 10% population variation Would remove 6 current wards (Wards 3, 9, 18, 21, 32, 43) while creating significant change in the boundaries of many other wards Discussed in TWBR Final Report | Had the least amount of support among Councillors Significant amount of change, concern over splitting existing communities & neighbourhoods Small number of Councillors supported the reduction in the size of City Council, support for potential cost savings of fewer Councillors | Lowest ranked option among 5 options considered by public in preparation of TWBR Final Report Concern regarding the significant amount of change, splitting existing communities & neighbourhoods Some support based on reducing the size of City Council and potential cost savings resulting | When informed each ward would have approximately 70,000 people if Council decided to standardize ward populations, 30% of residents polled indicated that the city should have an equal number of people in each ward, while 31% indicated that it is okay if wards have different numbers of residents 36% of residents polled supported fewer and larger wards when asked if they supported reducing the city's wards to 25 and aligning them with federal and provincial riding boundaries |
| | | - | | | |

ER – Effective Representation TWBR – Toronto Ward Boundary Review

| 0 | OPTION | CONSULTANT | MEMBERS OF COUNCIL | PUBLIC | CII Y POLL |
|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Co Kirch Co (26 | Consistent With Federal Riding Boundaries (26 Wards) | NOT recommended avg. ward pop. 112,500 Achieve ER using a +/- 15% population variation By 2026, the Consultant estimates that 14,330 residents may be outside of the upper acceptable variance under ER, primarily in current Wards 3/4 and 5/6 (wards merged in this ward boundary model) Maintaining voter parity, a prime component of ER, in Etobicoke could present a significant challenge by 2026 and require ward boundaries that break from the federal riding boundaries And additional ward was required to be created in Downtown (Toronto Centre North) to achieve ER; this does not conform to federal riding boundaries for the area Discussed in TWBR Supplementary Report | Only 2 Councillors liked this option, while 29 identified the option as "completely unworkable" Councillors expressed significant concern that the size of the wards would make them "undemocratic", as they would be too large for Councillors to be able to effectively represent their constituents Councillors also expressed a general opinion that the reduction of Members of Council from 44 to 26 would not save money due to the additional staff required by Councillors to serve their constituents Councillors noted that there is a significant difference between the roles of local Councillors and Members of Parliament when it comes to representing local constituents | Public opinion was very divided in the input received by the TWBR Consultants – almost an equal number of individuals held views in favour or opposed to this option Supported the ability of the impact of change to ward boundaries (as they largely would conform to federal riding boundaries) Liked the ability to more easily help residents know their elected representative (1 per order of government) Keep many communities together Reduce the size of government Concerns over diminishing of local democracy, creation of larger population discrepancies, and difficulties in managing larger wards | 36% of residents polled supported fewer and larger wards when asked if they supported reducing the city's wards to 25 and aligning them with federal and provincial riding boundaries 28% of residents polled were opposed to 25 wards aligned with federal and provincial riding boundaries 5% of residents polled believed that there should be fewer than 25 wards when asked if they supported reducing the city's wards to 25 and aligning them with federal and provincial riding boundaries |

ER – Effective Representation TWBR – Toronto Ward Boundary Review

9

NEW WARDS FOR TORONTO

Toronto Ward Boundary Review


City of Toronto Executive Committee OCTOBER 26, 2016






- Toronto's expected population growth from 2011 to 2030: approximately 500,000
- In 2014:
- average ward population: 61,000
- o smallest ward: 44,400 (7 wards in total are more than 15.1% below average)
- largest ward: 94,600 (6 wards in total are more than 15.1% above average)
- Without changes, in 2026:
- smallest ward: 44,300 (14 wards in total are more than 15.1% below average)
 - o largest ward: 130,000 (8 wards in total are more than 15.1% above average)






EXISTINGAYARDS (2014)





- Wards have not been reviewed since 2000
- In June 2013, City Council directed third-party review
- In June 2014, City Council approved the TWBR Work Plan and team (Canadian Urban Institute (CUI), Beate Bowron Etcetera, Public Engagement and Consultation Strategy led by TWBR The Davidson Group and Thomas Ostler)
- The TWBR has to achieve effective representation

Ŋ



Effective Representation:

- Set out by the Supreme Court of Canada and followed by the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) for ward boundary reviews
- Several components:
- Achieving voter parity (based on population not electors)
- Following natural and physical boundaries
- Respecting ward history 0
- Considering 'capacity to represent'



- 3 most important components of effective representation:
- Voter parity
- Geographic communities of interest
 - Natural and physical boundaries
- Voter parity is pivotal
- Derives from Charter Right-To-Vote
- Weight of all votes should be similar (not just at election time but every time Council votes) 0
 - OMB allows growth to be incorporated in determining voter 0



CONTEXT

TWBR Context

- How much can ward populations differ:
- +/-10% is gold standard (=20% difference)
- neighbourhoods together/maintain clear boundaries o +/-15% also possible (=30% difference) to keep
- Above or below 15% only if ward is expected to grow into range or is stable/will get closer to average over
- Above or below 20% only if city has rural areas



CONTEXT

- Ward boundary reviews:
- Establish an average ward population (e.g. 70,000 44 wards; 61,000 47 wards)
 - Set the voter parity range (+/-10%; +/-15%) around the average ward population 0
- "Draw the Lines" while balancing effective representation components
 - Toronto's new ward structure to last for: 2018, 2022, 2026, 2030 elections
- Target year for voter parity: 2026



OVERVIEW

TWBR Step-By-Step

- Work Plan (approved by Council June 2014)
- Research Report
- Round One public consultation (July 2014 Jan 2015)
 - Options Report (Aug 2015)
- Round Two public consultation (Aug Nov 2015)
 - Final Report (May 2016)
- Additional Information Report (Aug 2016)
 - Supplementary Report (October 2016)



CONSULTATION

TWBR Public Engagement

- Extensive public consultation process
- Two Rounds plus additional round
- media (Facebook, Twitter, e-news); branding Website; advertising; media releases; social
- Consistent with other wards boundary reviews
- Accepted by the OMB



11


CONSULTATION

TWBR Public Consultation

| · 一年 · 一日 | 192 | 809 | 51 (44 plus 7 new) |
|---|-----------------|--------|--------------------|
| ROUND 1 | Public Meetings | Survey | Members of Council |

| ADDITIONAL OPTIONS | 高の とうない はいこと あいま できません はいかい かんかん こうしゅ はいかん かんかん こうしゅ はいかい かんかん しゅうしゅう しゅうしゅう かんかい かんかい かんかい かんかい かんかん かんかん かんかん かんか |
|--------------------|---|
| Public Meetings | 06 |
| Survey | 485 |
| Members of Council | 38 |
| Tol | Total Additional Options: 613 |

TOTAL PARTICIPATION WHOLE PROCESS: 2,352



12

es. 12 'saper Orange, 21 es

TWBR Public Consultation

2752 contacts on TWBR distribution list

2412 direct participants

516 social media contacts

351 posts on the TWBR social media twitter account @DrawtheLinesTO

1711 average monthly visits to the drawthelines.ca website

297 posters in public library branches

141 face-to-face meetings (inc. Members of Council, School Boards & others)

80 advertisements (mix of online and print)

29 of public meetings and information sessions

20 maps posted to the drawthelines.ca website

16 e-news issued to the TWBR distribution list

12 media releases

9 reports available on the drawthelines.ca website

3 Advisory Panel Meetings (panel with expertise in municipal law, business, academe, civil society research and the OMB)

.10 01. www.drawthelines.ca

in per of the observable permitting gyps and starts of 7FM. Hope to see yes therefol

13



Executive Committee, May 24, 2016

- Information requested on four items plus public and Council consultations
- Review of Option 1 (47 wards) focusing on largest wards only
- Option 2 (44 wards) incorporating suggested refinements from 2015
 - Option consistent with federal/provincial riding boundaries
- Additional refinements to recommended ward structure (47 Wards)
 - Preference for maintaining Community Council/historic boundaries




Focus on Large Wards Only

LARGE WARDS

- Focusing only on Toronto's existing large wards does not produce an option that can achieve effective representation nor reduce the number of wards below 47
- Takes four additional wards to adjust 4 largest wards
 - 3 Downtown and 1 in Willowdale (Wards 20, 27, 28, 23)
- o 4 other large wards not reduced (Wards 5, 22, 24, 42)
- o 14 smaller wards still need to be enlarged (wards 3, 4, 8, 9, 12, 14, 17, 18, 21, 29, 30, 31, 36, 39)



LABGE WARBS



Refinements to 44 Wards

- Refinements suggested during 2015 and September 2016 public involvement process
- Those who like this option note:
- retains current size of City Council
- keeps certain communities intact (e.g. Regent Park; Church-Wellesley Village; West Toronto Junction)
- Those who have concerns note:
- large amount of change to existing ward boundaries
 - reduced 'capacity to represent'
- division of certain communities (e.g. Lawrence Heights; Flemingdon Park; Leaside; The Beach)
 - Current Wards 10 and 32 disappear
- o current Wards 14, 17, 18 become 2 wards




44 WARDS

Refinements to 44 Wards

- 3 wards unchanged
- Revised 44-ward map achieves effective representation






| ADDITIO | NAL REV | - SNOISI | OPTION | ADDITIONAL REVISIONS – OPTION 2 (44 WARDS) - VARIANCE | DS) - VA | RIANCE | | |
|----------------|---------|----------|--------|---|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|
| WARD | ZOTE | VARIANCE | 2022 | VARIANCE | 2026 | VARIANCE | "2030 VARIANC | VARIANC |
| . W 201 | ŀ | -5,29% | 66,024 | -5.68% | 66,257 | -5.35% | 66,472 | -5.04% |
| . W 202 | 63,210 | -9.70% | 63,108 | -9.85% | 63,859 | -8.77% | 64,869 | -7.33% |
| W 203 | 56,657 | -19.06% | 59,209 | -15,42% | 62,651 | -10.50% | 65,836 | -5.95% |
| W 204 | 61,673 | -11.90% | 62,294 | -11.01% | 62,891 | -10.16% | 63,594 | -9.15% |
| W 205 | 55,962 | -20.05% | 59,756 | -14.63% | 64,883 | -7.31% | 70,468 | 0.67% |
| . W 206 | 65,513 | -6.41% | 67,555 | -3.49% | 69,449 | -0.79% | 71,572 | 2.25% |
| .W 207 | 66,535 | -4.95% | 62,093 | -4.15% | 68,811 | -1.70% | 70,798 | 1.14% |
| W 208 | 65,500 | -6,43% | 66,516 | -4.98% | 69,232 | -1.10% | 72,210 | 3.16% |
| W 209 | 60,952 | -12.93% | 61,860 | -11.63% | 63,830 | -8.81% | 66,439 | -5.09% |
| W 210 | 61,418 | -12.26% | 61,921 | -11.54% | 64,302 | -8.14% | 66,842 | -4.51% |
| W 211 | 61,568 | -12.05% | 62,493 | -10.72% | 63,658 | %90.6- | 68,029 | -2.82% |
| CITC/A | 66,058 | -5.63% | 66,225 | -5.39% | 68,486 | -2.16% | 68,146 | -2.65% |
| F12 W. | 61,741 | -11.80% | 62,044 | -11.37% | 62,469 | -10.76% | 62,912 | -10.13% |
| W 214 | 64,645 | -7,65% | 66,165 | -5.48% | 66,846 | 4.51% | 67,522 | -3.54% |
| W 215 | 64,080 | -8.46% | 64,458 | -7.92% | 65,199 | -6.86% | 600′99 | -5.70% |
| W 216 | 60,195 | -14,01% | 61,356 | -12.35% | 62,756 | -10.35% | 64,074 | -8.47% |
| W 217 | 48,537 | -30.66% | 57,227 | -18,25% | 63,339 | -9.52% | 65,465 | -6,48% |
| W 218 | 56,635 | -19.09% | 67,368 | -3.76% | 77,350 | 10.50% | 84,188 | 20.27% |
| W 219" | 62,189 | -6.87% | 69,913 | -0.12% | 74,021 | 5.74% | 77,033 | 10.05% |
| W 220 | 68,214 | -2.55% | 866,69 | -0.95% | 70,223 | 0.32% | 70,927 | 1.32% |
| W 221 | 63,173 | -9.75% | 64,038 | -8.52% | 65,055 | -7.06% | 66,051 | -5.64% |
| W 222 | 62,339 | -10.94% | 62,938 | -10.09% | 64,828 | -7.39% | 66,688 | 4.73% |
| W 223 | 64,287 | -8.16% | 65,498 | -6.43% | 67,260 | -3.91% | 69,481 | -0.74% |
| | • | | | | | • | | |

| ADDITIONAL REVISIONS - OPTION 2 (44 WARDS) - VARIANCE (cont'd) | AL REVIS | 10NS - (| PTION 2 | (44 WARD | S) - VAF | NANCE (c | ont'd) | |
|--|----------|-------------------|---------|----------|----------|---------------------|--------|--------|
| VARIANCE VARIANCE | 2000 S | VADIANTEE | F 600C | VARIANCE | .2026 | VARIANCE | 2030 | VARIAN |
| WARD | 62 546 | -10 65% | 64 54R | -7.79% | 65,690 | -6.16% | 66,920 | -4.409 |
| W 224 | 24,340 | -10.027 -5 85% | 66.660 | -4,77% | 67,546 | -3.51% | 68,534 | -2.09% |
| 577 M | 202,20G | 7,50,5 | 66.031 | -5.67% | 66,622 | -4.83% | 67,173 | -4.04% |
| 077 W | 65,733 | %EZ.9- | 65.700 | -6.14% | 65,850 | -5.93% | 86,038 | -5.669 |
| 122 VV | 62,237 | -10.10% | 63,160 | -9.77% | 64,002 | -8.57% | 64,971 | -7.18 |
| W 220 | 56.401 | -19.43% | 60,616 | -13.41% | 61,836 | -11.66% | 980'89 | -9.95 |
| 022 00 | 67.782 | -3.17% | 67,564 | -3.48% | 999'29 | -3.33% | 67,868 | -3.05 |
| 186.23 | 64.802 | -7.43% | 65,343 | -6.65% | 65,900 | -5.86% | 66,579 | 4.89 |
| 14 222 IN | 62.942 | -10,08% | 67,557 | -3.49% | 72,382 | 3.40% | 78,114 | 11.59 |
| W 233 | 56.074 | -19.89% | 68,227 | -2,53% | 73,031 | 4.33% | 78,749 | 12.50 |
| DEC 181 | 63.988 | -8.59% | 64,802 | -7.43% | 65,176 | %68 ⁻ 9- | 65,287 | -6.73 |
| 11 22 C | 62 434 | -10.81% | 63,312 | -9.55% | 64,984 | -7.17% | 67,253 | -3.92 |
| 1M 226' | 59 124 | -15.54% | 60,297 | -13.86% | 62,273 | -11.04% | 64,672 | -7.61 |
| | 68.295 | -2.44% | 68,863 | -1.62% | 71,300 | 1.86% | 74,290 | 6,139 |
| W 238 | 64,535 | -7.81% | 65,291 | -6.73% | 66,988 | -4.30% | 70,227 | 0.32 |
| W 239 | 63,507 | -9.28% | 65,643 | -6.22% | 68'899 | 4.44% | 68,503 | -2.14 |
| W 240 | 68,626 | -1.96% | 68,260 | -2.49% | 689'29 | -3.30% | 67,924 | -2.97 |
| W 241 | 63,279 | -9.60% | 63,510 | -9.27% | 63,325 | -9.54% | 63,512 | -9.27 |
| 14/ 242 | 67,467 | -3.62% | 67,465 | -3.62% | 67,487 | -3.59% | 67,182 | -4.03 |
| EDC 101 | 65.148 | -6.93% | 65,773 | -6.04% | 67,574 | -3.47% | 69,801 | -0.28 |
| W 244 | 61,686 | -11.88% | 62,634 | -10.52% | 63,487 | -9.30% | 64,331 | -8.10 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Consistency with Federal Riding Boundaries

- Requires 26 wards
- 1 additional ward Downtown
- Boundary adjustment in Etobicoke needed
- require crossing the Humber River (natural boundary) and 2 Etobicoke wards still too large, further adjustment would changes in adjacent federal riding boundaries
 - Some support from surveys; minimal support from public meetings/Members of Council





RECOMMENDED WARDS


Recommended Wards (47 Wards)

- Downtown wards remain within the boundary of the Downtown (as defined in the Official Plan)
 - Public meetings and Members of Council suggested refinements
- Those who like the option note:
- minimizes change
- best option of the 3
- keeps communities of interest together (e.g. Leaside, The Beach)
 - Those who have concerns note:
- increases size of City Council
- splits certain communities (e.g. Regent Park, Church-Wellesley Village; Sentinel community)



Recommended Wards (47 Wards)

- Further refinements have reunited Sentinel community; Regent Park; Church-Wellesley Village
- 7 wards unchanged
- Revised map achieves effective representation



RECOMMENDED WARDS

RECOMMENDED WARDS (47 Wards) WITH REFINEMENTS - VARIANCE I

| | VARIANCE | -0.96% | -0.19% | 10.40% | 7.39% | 26.59% | 17.31% | -3.57% | -0.01% | -14.84% | 10.43% | 9.58% | -8.77% | 6.83% | -0.55% | 4.70% | 9.07% | 10.69% | 11.70% | 11.30% | -12.90% | -3.09% | 8.04% | 7.28% | .1 OF% | 2 |
|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|----------|----------|---------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| | 2030 | 60,412 | 988'09 | 67,341 | 62,509 | 77,220 | 71,557 | 58,825 | 60,994 | 51,950 | 67,360 | 66,844 | 55,653 | 65,165 | 60,667 | 63,867 | 66,530 | 67,522 | 68,135 | 67,892 | 53,131 | 59,115 | 65,905 | 65,441 | CO 267 | /cc/00 |
| | VARIANCE | -1.44% | -1.75% | 7.90% | 6.11% | 14.77% | 13.83% | -6.49% | -5,15% | -15.65% | 8.35% | 5.42% | -11.13% | 2.06% | -1.51% | 4.19% | 8.43% | 9.58% | 10.25% | 9.32% | -15.82% | -12.43% | -0.02% | 2.24% | ,000 | -8.7U% |
| | 2026 | 60,122 | 56,935 | 65,822 | 64,729 | 70,010 | 69,434 | 57,043 | 57,857 | 51,451 | 960'99 | 64,304 | 54,213 | 62,255 | 60,077 | 63,558 | 66.141 | 66.846 | 67:253 | 66,683 | 51,350 | 53,417 | 60.987 | 775 79 | 1000 | 55,692 |
| | VARIANCE | -1.77% | -2.94% | 5,69% | 5.06% | 3,01% | 10.72% | -8.74% | -8.17% | -20.38% | 6.53% | 1.51% | -13.00% | -2.32% | -2.42% | 3.67% | 7 84% | %4V 8 | 8.90% | 7.22% | -25.34% | -18.01% | 710 89% | 0.45% | وربر. دربر | -17.63% |
| 100000 | 2022 | 59.918 | 59.205 | 64,473 | 64.084 | 62,838 | 67,540 | 55,670 | 56,018 | 48.571 | 64,986 | 61.923 | 53,073 | 59.584 | 59.524 | 956 59 | 057,50 | מייים | 66.428 | 65.401 | 45 547 | 50.011 | 77,77 | מליל ל | 7/7/70 | 50,248 |
| | MARIANCE | -1 39% | 20 C- | 7608 K | 3.11% | 760£ V | 7.38% | %C9 6. | -11.54% | -20.54% | 5.59% | %69.0 | -13.70% | -3.73% | .2 5.7% | 7020 | 2.23.70 | %TO'. | 805.0 2010 | 7.T.C | 27 / 454 | 765C V C | משר רר | 27777- | *4.5.4 . | -22.92% |
| | 2018 | 50.15d | #C1,00 | 22,430 | בדניכט | 50,03J | בה בחס | 123 123 | 52,555 | 72,720 | 54.410 | 61.420 | 52 645 | 58,726 | 20,720 | 30,023 | 02,700 | 65,645 | 64,645 | 02,340 | 04,334 | 30,434 | 40,030 | 47,425 | 55,299 | 47,020 |
| | Gavin. | WANG | T // I | KW 2 | C MAN | RVV 4 | C VAN | 0 WA | DIM D | 0 // 0 | D10/30 | DT .000 | TT MAN | DIA! 12 | CT AND | TAV 14 | CT MX | RW 16 | RW 17 | KW 18 | ET AN | KW ZU | KW ZI | | RW 23 | RW 24 |

RECOMMENDED WARDS

DECOMMENDED WARDS WITH REFINEMENTS - VARIANCE (cont'd)

| RECOM | MENDE | RECOMMENDED WARDS WITH REFINENTENTS - VARIANCE (COUL O) | WIII KE | FINEWEN | IS - VARIA | MUCE (CC | mr a) | |
|---------|--------|---|---------|----------|------------|----------|--------|----------|
| WARD | 2018 | VARIANCE | 2022 | VARIANCE | 2026 | VARIANCE | 2030 | VARIANCE |
| . RW 25 | 52,786 | ĺ | 59,049 | | | 7.50% | 888'69 | 14.57% |
| . RW 26 | 59,868 | -1.86% | 63,752 | 4.51% | 65,315 | 7.07% | 86,758 | 9.44% |
| RW 27 | 64,743 | 6.14% | 66,332 | 8.74% | 66,822 | 9.54% | 67,279 | 10.29% |
| RW 28 | | -5.83% | 58,037 | -4.86% | 59,815 | -1.94% | 61,549 | 0.90% |
| RW 29 | | -3.25% | 60,233 | -1.26% | 62,378 | 2.26% | 62,069 | 6.67% |
| . RW.30 | | -12.07% | 55,343 | -9.27% | 56,233 | -7.81% | 57,191 | -6.24% |
| - RW 31 | | -2.60% | 60,701 | -0,49% | 61,471 | 0.77% | 62,300 | 2.13% |
| RW 32 | _ | 12.33% | 69,136 | 13.34% | 69,527 | 13.98% | 996'69 | 14.70% |
| RW 33 | | -9.56% | 56,019 | -8.17% | 56,841 | -6.82% | 57,638 | -5.51% |
| RW 34 | | -6.63% | 56,787 | -6.91% | 56,892 | -6.73% | 57,324 | -6,03% |
| . RW 35 | _ | 5.28% | 64,570 | 5.85% | 65,272 | 7.00% | 66,155 | 8.45% |
| RW 36 | | -5.22% | 58,490 | -4.11% | 58,637 | -3.87% | 58,764 | -3.67% |
| RW 37 | -• | -12.21% | 53,974 | -11.52% | 54,372 | -10.87% | 54,748 | -10.25% |
| RW 38 | _ | 3.30% | 64,242 | 5.32% | 67,016 | 9.86% | 70,194 | 15.07% |
| RW 39 | _ | 1.54% | 62,821 | 2.98% | 64,495 | 5.73% | 66,757 | 9.44% |
| RW 40 | _ | 8.16% | 66,413 | 8.87% | 68,542 | 12.36% | 71,172 | 16.68% |
| - RW 41 | _ | 10.48% | 68,402 | 12.14% | 70,307 | 15.26% | 73,894 | 21.14% |
| RW 42 | _ | 4.11% | 65,643 | 7.61% | 68,889 | 9.65% | 68,503 | 12.30% |
| RW 43 | | 11.55% | 67,681 | 10.95% | 67,619 | 10.85% | 67,350 | 10.41% |
| RW 44 | | 8,25% | 66,253 | 8.61% | 66,060 | 8.30% | 66,237 | 8.59% |
| RW 45 | | 6.51% | 64,979 | 6.52% | 64,864 | 6.33% | 64,714 | 6.09% |
| . RW 46 | | -3,86% | 59,616 | -2.27% | 60,815 | -0.30% | 62,215 | 1.99% |
| RW 47 | 50,847 | -16.64% | 51,327 | -15.86% | 51,952 | -14.83% | 52,646 | -13.69% |

The Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) is an improvement to the TWBR Recommended Wards (47 Wards). In addition to achieving voter parity, it manages to keep many communities of interest together and has coherent ward boundaries. The Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards) is the TWBR Team's recommendation.





TORONTO WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW


More info: www.drawthelines.ca












HALL USS





REPORT FOR ACTION

Supplementary Report - Follow up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review

Date: November 4, 2016

To: City Council

From: City Manager and City Clerk

Wards: All

SUMMARY

In considering the Follow up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review at its meeting on October 26, 2016, Executive Committee directed the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk, to report directly to City Council on the financial impact to Councillor Office budgets with respect to Option 4-44 Wards.

The existing City Council policy authorizes an additional Constituency Assistant for wards which exceed the median in both the number of households and population by 50%, funded from the Council General Expense Budget. Currently, 4 wards meet this criteria.

Under all seven (7) ward boundary review options being considered by City Council, the population and number of households amongst all wards would be within the 50 percent threshold discussed above. As a result, no ward would meet the policy criteria and funding for an additional Constituency Assistants will no longer be required.

The financial impact is a savings of approximately \$360,000 in the General Expenses Budget in the City Council operating budget. This savings will apply to Option 4-44 Wards. For the other ward boundary options included in Attachment 5 to the report, this savings may be higher or lower, depending on the proposed number of Councillors.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The City Manager and the City Clerk recommend that:

1. City Council receive this report for information.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

All ward boundary options included in Attachment 5 to the Follow up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review, including Option 4 - 44 Wards would result in a cost savings of approximately \$360,000 in the General Expenses Budget of the City Council annual operating budget, as four Wards, would no longer qualify for an additional constituency assistant. However, the net savings for each option may be higher or lower, depending on the option and number of proposed wards.

DECISION HISTORY

In considering the Follow up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review, the Executive Committee directed the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk, to report directly to City Council on the financial impacts to all Councillor Office budgets of Option 4 - 44 Wards (revised) (44 Wards). http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2016.EX18.2

As part of the 2010 budget process, City Council directed that Councillors be provided with an additional staff at the Constituency Assistant level if the number of households and the population in their ward exceeds the median by 50 percent or more.

Council decision:

http://app.toronto.ca/tmmis/viewAgendaltemHistory.do?item=2010.EX42.1

Supplementary report from the City Manager and the City Clerk: http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2010/cc/bgrd/backgroundfile-29379.pdf

COMMENTS

As part of the 2010 annual operating budget process, to respond to discrepancies in ward populations, City Council authorized one additional staff member at the constituency assistant level for any ward which exceeds the median by more than 50 percent in both population and households, to be funded through the Council General Expenses Budget. In 2010, one ward met this criteria.

With the 2011 census and developments in the City, currently four (4) Councillors qualify for this additional position in wards 20, 23, 27 and 28.

The intent of the Toronto Ward Boundary Review is to address population discrepancies across Toronto's wards, and proposes an estimated population of 70,000 for each of the 44 wards. All seven options address population discrepancies amongst Toronto's wards and under each scenario, no ward will exceed the median by 50% or more in either households or population.

Therefore, all ward boundary options included in Attachment 5 to the Follow up Report on the Toronto Ward Boundary Review, including Ward Boundary Option 4-44 Wards

would result in a cost savings of approximately \$360,000 in the General Expenses Budget of the City Council annual operating budget. However, the net savings for each option may be higher or lower, depending on the option and number of proposed wards.

All ward boundary options, including Option 4-44 wards, will have no impact on individual Councillor Constituency Services and Office Budgets unless the option proposes an increase in the number of wards.

If City Council wishes to include a review of the resources provided to each Councillor under the approved ward boundary option, including the Constituency Services and Office Budget, the Staffing Budget and the General Expenses Budget, the City Clerk can undertake a review and report to Council prior to the implementation of the new ward boundary model.

CONTACT

Peter Notaro, Executive Director, Strategic & Corporate Policy, City Manager's Office, Peter.Notaro@toronto.ca, 416-392-8066

Winnie Li, Deputy City Clerk, City Clerk's Office, Winnie.Li@toronto.ca, 416-392-8676

SIGNATURE

Ulli S. Watkiss City Clerk

Peter Wallace City Manager This is Exhibit "O" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer

Authority:

Executive Committee Item EX18.2, adopted as amended, by City of Toronto Council on November 8 and 9, 2016

CITY OF TORONTO

BY-LAW 267-2017

A By-law to re-divide the City of Toronto's Ward Boundaries.

Whereas section 128 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 authorizes the City to divide or re-divide the City into wards; and

Whereas at its meeting on June 11, 12 and 13, 2013, Council established Terms of Reference for a Toronto Ward Boundary Review and commenced a process to review options for the redividing of the ward boundaries to reflect effective representation within Toronto; and

Whereas the City conducted an extensive civic engagement and public consultation process, including the holding of 24 public meetings, stakeholder meetings and the publishing of information online; and

Whereas additional public consultations were held after various options for re-dividing the City's ward boundaries were presented to Executive Committee on May 24, 2016; and

Whereas at its meeting on November 8 and 9, 2016, Council considered the various options for re-dividing the ward boundaries and approved the re-dividing of the ward boundaries based on a 47 ward configuration;

The Council of the City of Toronto enacts:

- 1. The boundary lines of the existing 44 wards shall be re-divided into 47 wards as described in Schedule "A" to this by-law.
- 2. Schedule "A" attached forms part of this by-law.
- 3. This by-law shall come into force and take effect on the day set out in subsection 128(8) of the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

Enacted and passed on March 29, 2017.

Frances Nunziata, Speaker Ulli S. Watkiss, City Clerk

(Seal of the City)

Schedule "A" to By-law 267-2017

WARD 1

Ward 1, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the northwest corner of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the northerly limit of the City of Toronto to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence southerly along the centre line of the Humber River to the centre line of the West Branch of the Humber River; Thence westerly along the centre line of the West Branch of the Humber River to the westerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence northerly along the westerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 2

Ward 2, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the westerly limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence north easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Dixon Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of Dixon Road to the centre line of Royal York Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Royal York Road to the centre line of La Rose Avenue; Thence easterly along the centre line of La Rose Avenue and its easterly production to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence generally northerly along the centre line of the Humber River to the centre line of the West Branch of the Humber River; Thence westerly along the centre line of the West Branch of the Humber River to the westerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence southerly along the westerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 3

Ward 3, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the westerly limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of Kipling Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Royal York Road to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of Highway No. 427; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 427 to the intersection with the centre line of the Queen Elizabeth Way; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Queen Elizabeth Way to the westerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence northerly and easterly along the westerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning;

WARD 4

Ward 4, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the westerly limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence northerly along the westerly limit of the City of Toronto to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence north easterly along

the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Dixon Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of Dixon Road to the centre line of Royal York Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Royal York Road to the centre line of La Rose Avenue; Thence easterly along the centre line of La Rose Avenue and its easterly prolongation to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Humber River to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence northwesterly and westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of Mimico Creek; Thence northwesterly along the centre line of Mimico Creek to the centre line of Dundas Street West; Thence south westerly along the centre line of Dundas Street West to the centre line of Kipling Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Kipling Avenue to the centre line of Bglinton Avenue West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the point of beginning.

WARD 5

Ward 5, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of Mimico Creek and Dundas Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Dundas Street West to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of Highway No. 427; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 427 to the centre line of the Frederick G. Gardiner Expressway; Thence easterly along the centre line of the Frederick G. Gardiner Expressway to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence generally northwesterly along the centre line of the Humber River to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of Mimico Creek; Thence northerly along the centre line of Mimico Creek to the point of beginning.

WARD 6

Ward 6, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of the Humber River and the Frederick G. Gardiner Expressway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Frederick G. Gardiner Expressway and the Queen Elizabeth Way to the westerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence southerly and easterly along the westerly and southerly limits of the City of Toronto to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence northerly along the centre line of the Humber River to the point of beginning.

WARD 7

Ward 7, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the northerly limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of Highway No. 400; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 400 to the intersection of the prolongation westerly of the centre line of Eddystone Avenue; Thence easterly to and along the centre line of Eddystone Avenue to the centre line of Jane Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Jane Street to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence generally northerly along the

centre line of the Humber River to the northerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the northerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 8

Ward 8, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the northerly limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of Dufferin Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street and the centre line of W. R. Allen Road to the centre line of De Boers Drive; Thence westerly along the centre line of De Boers Drive to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue West to the centre line of Tuscan Gate; Thence northerly along the centre line of Tuscan Gate to the centre line of PARTS 1 to 7, inclusive, on Plan 64R-2235; Thence westerly along the centre line of Grandravine Drive; Thence westerly along the centre line of Grandravine Drive to the centre line of Jane Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Jane Street to the centre line of Eddystone Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eddystone Avenue to the intersection of Oakdale Avenue and continuing westerly along the prolongation of the centre line of Eddystone Avenue to the centre line of Highway No. 400; Thence northerly along the centre line of Highway No. 400 to the north limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the northerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 9

Ward 9, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of W. R. Allen Road and the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Jane Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Jane Street to the centre line of Grandravine Drive; Thence easterly along the centre line of Grandravine Drive to the prolongation westerly of the centre line of PARTS 1 to 7, inclusive, on Plan 64R-2235; Thence easterly along the centre line of PARTS 1 to 7, inclusive, on Plan 64R-2235 to the centre line of Tuscan Drive; Thence southerly along the centre line of Tuscan Drive to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue West to the centre line of De Boers Drive; Thence easterly along the centre line of De Boers Drive to the centre line of W. R. Allen Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of W. R. Allen Road to the point of beginning.

WARD 10

Ward 10, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the north limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of Dufferin Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street and the centre line of W.R. Allen Road to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway to the centre line of the Don River West Branch; Thence generally northwesterly along the centre line of the Don River West Branch to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of

Bathurst Street to the centre line of Drewry Avenue; Thence easterly along the centre line of Drewry Avenue to the centre line of Chelmsford Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Chelmsford Avenue to the centre line of Greenwin Village Road; Thence westerly along the centre line of Greenwin Village Road to the centre line of Village Gate; Thence northerly along the centre line of Village Gate to the northerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence westerly along the northerly limit of said City to the point of beginning.

WARD 11

Ward 11, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of the Humber River and the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Highway No. 400; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 400 south of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Jane Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Jane Street to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway, situated north of Weston Road; Thence south easterly along the centre line of said Railway to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence northerly along the centre line of the Humber River to the point of beginning.

WARD 12

Ward 12, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Highway No. 400 and the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately west of Caledonia Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of said Railway to the centre line of Rogers Road; Thence westerly along the centre line of Rogers Road to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence north westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Jane Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Jane Street to the centre line of Highway No. 400 south of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence north westerly along the centre line of Highway No. 400 to the point of beginning.

WARD 13

Ward 13, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately west of Caledonia Road and the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately west of Caledonia Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the point of beginning.

WARD 14

Ward 14, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Bathurst Street and the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Yonge Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the point of beginning.

WARD 15

Ward 15, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Oakwood Avenue and the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Avenue Road to the centre line of Kilbarry Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of Kilbarry Road to the centre line of Oriole Parkway; Thence southerly along the centre line of Oriole Parkway to the centre line of Lonsdale Road; Thence westerly along the centre line of Lonsdale Road to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Avenue Road to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway north of Dupont Street; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Dufferin Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street to the centre line of Rogers Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of Rogers Road to the centre line of Oakwood Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Oakwood Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 16

Ward 16, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately west of Caledonia Road and Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of Oakwood Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Oakwood Avenue to the centre line of Rogers Road; Thence westerly along the centre line of Rogers Road to the centre line of Dufferin Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway located immediately north of Dupont Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Dovercourt Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Dovercourt Road to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately east of Dundas Street West; Thence northerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Rogers Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of Rogers Road to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately west of Caledonia Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of beginning.

WARD 17

Ward 17, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of the Humber River and the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway north of Dundas Street West; Thence easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence south easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of Parkside Drive; Thence southerly along the centre line of Parkside Drive and its southerly prolongation to the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence generally westerly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the centre line of the Humber River; Thence northerly along the centre line of the Humber River to the point of beginning.

WARD 18

Ward 18, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of Parkside Drive and Bloor Street West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of Dovercourt Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Dovercourt Road and its southerly prolongation to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence south easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of King Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of King Street West to the centre line of Dufferin Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street to the centre line of the F. G. Gardiner Expressway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the F. G. Gardiner Expressway to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Spencer Avenue; Thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Spencer Avenue to the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence generally westerly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Parkside Drive; Thence northerly to and along the centre line of Parkside Drive to the point of beginning.

WARD 19

Ward 19, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Dovercourt Road with the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway situated immediately north of Dupont Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the centre line of Lake Shore Boulevard West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Lake Shore Boulevard West to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Strachan Avenue; Thence southerly along the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Strachan Avenue to the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence westerly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Spencer Avenue; Thence northerly along the southerly production of the centre line of Spencer Avenue to the centre line of the F. G. Gardiner Expressway; Thence easterly along the centre line of the F. G. Gardiner Expressway to the centre line of Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street to the centre line of King Street West; Thence easterly along the centre line of King Street West to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence north

westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to southerly prolongation of the centre line of Dovercourt Road; Thence northerly along the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Dovercourt Road and continuing along the centre line of Dovercourt Road to the point of beginning.

WARD 20

Ward 20, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Bathurst Street with the centre line of Queen Street West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Queen Street West to the centre line of John Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of John Street and its southerly prolongation to the intersection with the north limit of PART 10 on Plan 66R-15627; Thence easterly and southeasterly along the easterly limits of PARTS 10, 75, 76, 97, 12 and 68 to the north easterly angle of PART 68 on the said Plan; Thence southerly along the easterly limit of PART 68 on the said Plan and its southerly prolongation to the intersection with the centre line of Bremner Boulevard; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bremner Boulevard to the centre line of Rees Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Rees Street and its southerly prolongation to a line drawn due east from the centre line of the easterly extremity of the Western Chanel of Toronto Harbour; Thence due west along the said line to said easterly extremity; Thence southwesterly along the centre line of the Western Channel of Toronto Harbour and its southwesterly production to the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence westerly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Strachan Avenue; Thence northerly along the prolongation of the centre line of Strachan Avenue to the centre line of Lake Shore Boulevard West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Lake Shore Boulevard West to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the point of beginning.

WARD 21

Ward 21, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of River Street with the centre line Dundas Street East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Dundas Street East to the centre line of the Don River; Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Don River to the centre line the Keating Channel; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Keating Channel to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Parliament Street; Thence westerly parallel to the southerly limit of Plan 694E to the intersection with the southerly prolongation of Yonge Street; Thence northerly to and along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of King Street East; Thence easterly along the centre line of King Street East to the centre line of Shuter Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of Shuter Street to the centre line of River Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of Shuter Street to the centre line of River Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of River Street to the point of beginning.

WARD 22

Ward 22, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of the Keating Channel with the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Parliament Street; Thence southerly in a straight line to the southerly extremity of the centre line of the Eastern Channel of Toronto Harbour; Thence south 5° 00' west to the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence generally north-westerly along the southerly limit of the said City to the southwesterly prolongation of the centre line of the Western Channel of Toronto Harbour; Thence north-easterly along the southwesterly prolongation of the centre line of the Western Channel of Toronto Harbour to the easterly extremity thereof; Thence due east to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Rees Street; Thence northerly to and along the centre line of Rees Street to the centre line of Bremner Boulevard; Thence easterly along the centre line of Bremner Boulevard to the intersection with the southerly prolongation of the easterly limit of PART 68 on Plan 66R-15627; Thence northerly to and along the easterly limit of PART 68 on the said Plan to the north easterly corner; Thence westerly and northwesterly along the easterly limits of PARTS 68, 12, 97, 76, 77 and 10 on the said Plan to the intersection with the southerly prolongation of the centre line of John Street; Thence northerly along the southerly prolongation of John Street to and along the centre line of John Street to the centre line of Queen Street East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Oueen Street East to the centre line of University Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of University Avenue to the centre line of College Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of College Street to and along the centre line of Carlton Street to the centre line of Jarvis Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Jarvis Street to the centre line of King Street East; Thence westerly along the centre line of King Street East to the centre line of Yonge Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Yonge Street and its southerly prolongation to the intersection with a line drawn parallel to the southerly limit of Plan 694E through the point of beginning; Thence easterly along the said line parallel to the southerly limit of Plan 694E to the point of beginning.

WARD 23

Ward 23, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Ted Rogers Way with the centre line Bloor Street East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Bloor Street East to the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road; Thence southeasterly along the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road and its prolongation easterly to the intersection with the centre line of the Don River; Thence southerly along the centre line of the Don River to the centre line of Dundas Street East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Dundas Street East to the centre line of River Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of River Street to the centre line of Shuter Street; Thence westerly along the centre line of Shuter Street to the centre line of Jarvis Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Jarvis Street and Ted Rogers Way to the point of beginning.

WARD 24

Ward 24, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Bathurst Street with the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway situated immediately north of Dupont Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Avenue Road, Queen's Park, Queen's Park Crescent West and University Avenue to the centre line of Queen Street East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Queen Street East to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the point of beginning.

WARD 25

Ward 25, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Avenue Road with the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway situated north of Dupont Street; Thence easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Yonge Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of Aylmer Avenue; Thence south easterly along the centre line of Aylmer Avenue to the intersection with the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road; Thence south easterly along the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road to the centre line of Sherbourne Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Sherbourne Street to the centre line of Bloor Street East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street East to the centre line of Ted Rogers Way; Thence southerly along the centre line of Ted Rogers Way to and along the centre line of Jarvis Street to the centre line of Carlton Street; Thence westerly along the centre line of Carlton Street to and along the centre line of College Street West to the centre line of Queen's Park; Thence northerly along the centre line of Queen's Park, Queen's Park Crescent West, Queen's Park and Avenue Road to the point of beginning.

WARD 26

Ward 26, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Avenue Road and Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to and continuing on the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of Bayview Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the easterly production of the southerly limit of Mount Pleasant Cemetery; Thence generally westerly along the southerly limit of the said cemetery to the centre line of the Vale of Avoca Ravine; Thence southerly along the centre line of the ravine to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway situated north of Dupont Street; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said railway to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Avenue Road to the centre line of Oriole Parkway; Thence northerly along the centre line of Oriole Parkway to the centre line of Kilbarry Road; Thence westerly along the centre line of Kilbarry Road to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Kilbarry Road to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Kilbarry Road to the point of beginning.

WARD 27

Ward 27, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Yonge Street and the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence southerly along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of Bayview Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the centre line of Burke Brook (a tributary of the Don River West Branch situated north of Glenvale Boulevard); Thence generally easterly along the centre line of Burke Brook to the centre line of the Don River West Branch; Thence easterly along the centre line of the Don River West Branch to the centre line of Wilket Creek; Thence generally northerly along the centre line of Wilket Creek to the centre line of the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Leslie Street; Thence northerly along the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Leslie Street to and continuing along the centre line of Leslie Street to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the point of beginning.

WARD 28

Ward 28, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Bathurst Street and Finch Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Finch Avenue West to and continuing on the centre line of Finch Avenue East to the centre line of Willowdale Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Willowdale Avenue to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the centre line of Yonge Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of the Don River West Branch; Thence generally northwesterly along the centre line of the Don River West Branch to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the point of beginning.

WARD 29

Ward 29, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Bayview Avenue and the northerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence southerly along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Yonge Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the centre line of Willowdale Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Willowdale Avenue East and continuing along the centre line of Finch Avenue East and continuing along the centre line of Finch Avenue West to the centre line of Bathurst Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bathurst Street to the centre line of Drewry Avenue; Thence easterly along the centre line of Drewry Avenue; Thence

northerly along the centre line of Chelmsford Avenue to the centre line of Greenwin Village Road; Thence westerly along the centre line of Greenwin Village Road to the centre line of Village Gate; Thence northerly along the centre line of Village Gate to the northerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the northerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 30

Ward 30, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue and the northerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence southerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the centre line of a Hydro-Electric transmission line situated southerly of McNicoll Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said transmission line to the centre line of Highway No. 404; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 404 to the centre line of Finch Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Finch Avenue East to the centre line of the Don River East Branch; Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Don River East Branch to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Bayview Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the northerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the northerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 31

Ward 31, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue with the centre line of a Hydro-Electric Transmission line situated southerly of McNicoll Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of said transmission line to the centre line of Highway No. 404; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 404 to the centre line of Finch Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Finch Avenue East to the centre line of the Don River East Branch; Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Don River East Branch to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Victoria Park; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 32

Ward 32, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Leslie Street with the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No 401); Thence southerly along the centre line of Leslie Street and its southerly prolongation to the intersection with the centre line of Wilket Creek; Thence generally southerly to the centre line of the Don River West Branch; Thence southerly along the centre line of the Don River East Branch to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway; Thence easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of

the Don Valley Parkway; Thence southerly along the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the point of beginning,

WARD 33

Ward 33, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Bayview Avenue with the centre line of Burke Brook (a tributary of the Don River West Branch situated north of Glenvale Boulevard); Thence southerly along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the intersection with the southerly limit of Mount Pleasant Cemetery, being the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto; Thence westerly and south easterly along the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway; Thence north easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Millwood Road; Thence south easterly along the centre line of Millwood Road to the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway; Thence easterly and northerly along the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway to the intersection with the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway; Thence south westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of Don River West Branch; Thence generally westerly along the centre line of Burke Brook; Thence generally westerly along the centre line of Burke Brook to the point of beginning.

WARD 34

Ward 34, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Coxwell Avenue with the centre line of Danforth Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Coxwell Avenue to the centre line of O'Connor Drive; Thence easterly along the centre line of O'Connor Drive to the centre line of Massey Creek; Thence generally in a westerly direction along the centre line of Massey Creek to the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway to the centre line of Millwood Road; Thence northerly and westerly along the centre line of Millwood Road to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway; Thence south westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto; Thence northwesterly along the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto to the intersection with the southerly limit of Mount Pleasant Cemetery; Thence in a westerly direction along the southerly limit of Mount Pleasant Cemetery to the centre line of the Vale of Avoca Ravine; Thence southerly along the centre line of the Vale of Avoca Ravine to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Yonge Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Yonge Street to the centre line of Aylmer Avenue; Thence south easterly along the centre line of Aylmer Avenue to the intersection with the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road; Thence south easterly along the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road to the centre line of Sherbourne Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Sherbourne Street to the centre line of Bloor Street East; Thence easterly along the

centre line of Bloor Street East to the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road; Thence south easterly along the centre line of Rosedale Valley Road and its prolongation easterly to the centre line of the Don River; Thence northerly along the centre line of the Don River to the centre line of the Prince Edward Viaduct and Bloor Street East; Thence easterly along the centre line of the Prince Edward Viaduct and Danforth Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 35

Ward 35, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East with the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the centre line of Danforth Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of Danforth Avenue to the centre line of Coxwell Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Coxwell Avenue to the centre line of O'Connor Drive; Thence easterly along the centre line of O'Connor Drive to the centre line of Massey Creek; Thence northwesterly along the centre line of Massey Creek to the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway; Thence northerly along the centre line of the Don Valley Parkway to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the point of beginning.

WARD 36

....

Ward 36, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the southerly limit of the City of Toronto with the easterly prolongation of the northerly limit of PART 21 on Plan 66R-17095; Thence westerly along the said prolongation of the northerly limit of PART 21 on Plan 66R-17095 to the intersection with the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Leslie Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Leslie Street to the centre line of Queen Street East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Queen Street East to the centre line of Coxwell Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Coxwell Avenue to the centre line of Danforth Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of Danforth Avenue and The Prince Edward Viaduct to the centre line of the Don River; Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Don River to the centre line of the Keating Channel; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Keating Channel and its westerly prolongation to the southerly prolongation of the centre line of Parliament Street; Thence southerly in a straight line to the southerly extremity of the Eastern Channel of the Toronto Harbour; Thence south 5° 00' west to the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence generally north-easterly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

WARD 37

Ward 37, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue with the centre line of Coxwell Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Coxwell Avenue to the centre line of Queen Street East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Queen Street East to the centre line of Leslie Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Leslie Street and its southerly

prolongation to the intersection with the easterly prolongation of the northerly limit of PART 21 on Plan 66R-17095; Thence easterly along the said prolongation of the northerly limit of PART 21 on Plan 66R-17095 to the intersection with the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto; Thence northerly along the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto to the intersection with the centre line of Bracken Avenue and the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the centre line of Danforth Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of Danforth Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 38

Ward 38, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue with the centre line of the Canadian National Railway situated north of Gerrard Street East; Thence north-easterly and easterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Grey Abbey Ravine; Thence southeasterly along the centre line of Grey Abbey Ravine and its prolongation to the intersection with the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence generally southwesterly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the westerly limit of the former City of Scarborough; Thence northerly along the westerly limit of the former City of Scarborough to the intersection of the centre line of Bracken Avenue and the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 39

Ward 39, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue with the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence southwesterly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 40

Ward 40, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) with the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway situated immediately west of Midland Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Lawrence Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Lawrence Avenue East the centre line of Midland Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Midland Avenue to the centre line of West Highland Creek; Thence generally south easterly along the centre line of West Highland Creek to the centre line of Brimley Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Brimley Road to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of

Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 41

Ward 41, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) with the centre line of Markham Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of the Markham Road to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence south westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of Brimley Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Brimley Road to the centre line of West Highland Creek; Thence generally north westerly along the centre line of West Highland Creek to the centre line of Midland Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Midland Avenue to the centre line of Lawrence Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Lawrence Avenue East to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway immediately west of Midland Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the point of beginning.

WARD 42

Ward 42, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) with the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the centre line of Huntingwood Drive; Thence easterly along the centre line of Huntingwood Drive to the centre line of Warden Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Warden Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Finch Avenue East to the centre line of Brimley Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Brimley Road to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue east to the centre line of McCowan Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of McCowan road to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the point of beginning.

WARD 43

Ward 43, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre lines of Victoria Park Avenue with the north limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the north limit of the City of Toronto to the intersection with the centre line of Brimley Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Brimley Road to the centre line of Finch Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Finch Avenue East to the centre line of Warden Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Warden Avenue to the centre line of Huntingwood Drive; Thence westerly along the centre

line of Huntingwood Drive to the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the point of beginning.

WARD 44

Ward 44, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Brimley Road with the north limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the north limit of the City of Toronto to the intersection with the centre line of Markham Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Markham Road to the centre line of McLevin Avenue; Thence easterly along the centre line of McLevin Avenue to the centre line of Neilson Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Neilson Road to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East; Thence easterly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the centre line of Morningside Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Morningside Avenue to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of McCowan Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of McCowan Road to the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the centre line of Brimley Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the centre line of Brimley Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the point of beginning.

WARD 45

Ward 45, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Markham Road with the north limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly and southerly along the northerly and easterly limit of the City of Toronto to the intersection with the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence westerly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Morningside Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Morningside Avenue East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Sheppard Avenue East to the centre line of Neilson Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Neilson Road to the centre line of McLevin Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of McLevin Avenue to the centre line of Markham Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Markham Road to the point of beginning.

WARD 46

Ward 46, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) with the centre line of Markham Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Morningside Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Morningside Avenue to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Markham Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Markham Road to the point of beginning.

WARD 47

Ward 47, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the southerly limit of the City of Toronto with the southerly production of the centre line of Grey Abbey Ravine; Thence northwesterly to and along the centre line of Grey Abbey Ravine to the centre line of the Canadian National Railway; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Morningside Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Morningside Avenue to the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401); Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the easterly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence southerly and westerly along the easterly and southerly limits of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

This is Exhibit "P" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A commissioner etc.

Diana Dimmer

Authority:

Executive Committee Item EX18.2, adopted as amended, by City of Toronto Council on November 8 and 9, 2016

CITY OF TORONTO

BY-LAW 464-2017

To amend By-law 267-2017, being a by-law to re-divide the City of Toronto's Ward Boundaries, to correct certain minor errors.

Whereas section 128 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 authorizes the City to divide or re-divide the City into wards; and

Whereas at its meeting on June 11, 12 and 13, 2013, Council established Terms of Reference for a Toronto Ward Boundary Review and commenced a process to review options for the re-dividing of the ward boundaries to reflect effective representation within Toronto; and

Whereas the City conducted an extensive civic engagement and public consultation process, including the holding of 24 public meetings, stakeholder meetings and the publishing of information online; and

Whereas additional public consultations were held after various options for re-dividing the City's ward boundaries were presented to Executive Committee on May 24, 2016; and

Whereas at its meeting on November 8 and 9, 2016, Council considered the various options for re-dividing the ward boundaries and approved the re-dividing of the ward boundaries based on a 47 ward configuration; and

Whereas at its meeting on March 28 and 29, 2017, Council enacted By-law 267-2017; and

Whereas subsequent to the enactment of By-law 267-2017, certain errors were noted which require correction in order to carry out the intent of Council in the re-division of its ward boundaries;

The Council of the City of Toronto enacts:

- Schedule "A" of City of Toronto By-law 267-2017, being a by-law to re-divide the City of Toronto's Ward Boundaries, is amended as follows:
 - A. by deleting the words "Royal York Road" from the description of Ward 3 and replacing it with "Kipling Avenue", so that it now reads:

WARD 3

Ward 3, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the westerly limit of the City of Toronto and the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of Kipling Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Kipling Avenue to the centre line of Bloor Street West; Thence westerly along the centre line of Bloor Street West to the centre line of

Highway No. 427; Thence southerly along the centre line of Highway No. 427 to the intersection with the centre line of the Queen Elizabeth Way; Thence westerly along the centre line of the Queen Elizabeth Way to the westerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence northerly and easterly along the westerly limit of the City of Toronto to the point of beginning.

- B. by deleting the word "Drive" immediately following the word "Tuscan" and replacing it with the word "Gate" throughout the description of Ward 9;
- C. by adding the words "(Highway No. 401)" immediately after the words "Macdonald-Cartier Freeway" in the description of Ward 10;
- D. by deleting the description of Ward 15 and replacing it with the following:

WARD 15

Ward 15, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Oakwood Avenue and the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to the centre line of Spadina Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Spadina Road to the centre line of St. Clair Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of St. Clair Avenue West to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence southerly along the centre line of Avenue Road to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway north of Dupont Street; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said Railway to the centre line of Dufferin Street; Thence northerly along the centre line of Dufferin Street to the centre line of Rogers Road; Thence easterly along the centre line of Rogers Road to the centre line of Oakwood Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Oakwood Avenue to the point of beginning.

- E. be deleting the word "Chanel" from the description of Ward 20 and replacing it with the word "Channel";
- F. by adding the word "of" immediately after the words "Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Don River to the centre line" in the description of Ward 21, so that the sentence now reads:
 - Thence generally southerly along the centre line of the Don River to the centre line of the Keating Channel;
- G. by deleting the word "East" immediately following the words "Queen Street" and replacing it with the word "West" throughout the description of Ward 22 and Ward 24;

H. by deleting the description of Ward 26 and replacing it with the following:

WARD 26

Ward 26, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Spadina Road and Eglinton Avenue West; Thence easterly along the centre line of Eglinton Avenue West to and continuing on the centre line of Eglinton Avenue East to the centre line of Bayview Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Bayview Avenue to the easterly production of the southerly limit of Mount Pleasant Cemetery; Thence generally westerly along the southerly limit of the said cemetery to the centre line of the Vale of Avoca Ravine; Thence southerly along the centre line of the ravine to the centre line of the Canadian Pacific Railway situated north of Dupont Street; Thence westerly along the centre line of the said railway to the centre line of Avenue Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Avenue Road to the centre line of St. Clair Avenue West; Thence westerly along the centre line of St. Clair Avenue West to the centre line of Spadina Road; Thence northerly along the centre line of Spadina Road; Thence

I. by adding the word "Avenue" immediately after the words "Victoria Park" in the description of Ward 31 so that the sentence now reads:

Thence easterly along the centre line of the Macdonald-Cartier Freeway (Highway No. 401) to the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue;

- J. by deleting the word "East" immediately following the words "Don River" in the description of Ward 32 and replacing it with the word "West";
- by deleting the words "Victoria Park" immediately following the words
 "Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of" in the description of Ward 37
 and replacing it with the word "Danforth", so that it now reads:

WARD 37

Ward 37, consisting of that part of the City of Toronto described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the centre line of Danforth Avenue with the centre line of Coxwell Avenue; Thence southerly along the centre line of Coxwell Avenue to the centre line of Queen Street East; Thence westerly along the centre line of Queen Street East to the centre line of Leslie Street; Thence southerly along the centre line of Leslie Street and its southerly prolongation to the intersection with the easterly prolongation of the northerly limit of PART 21 on Plan 66R-17095; Thence easterly along the said prolongation of the northerly limit of PART 21 on Plan 66R-17095 to the intersection with the southerly limit of the City of Toronto; Thence easterly along the southerly limit of the City of Toronto to the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto; Thence northerly along the easterly limit of the former City of Toronto to the intersection with the

centre line of Bracken Avenue and the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue; Thence northerly along the centre line of Victoria Park Avenue to the centre line of Danforth Avenue; Thence westerly along the centre line of Danforth Avenue to the point of beginning.

L. by adding the word "to" immediately after the words "Lawrence Avenue East" in the description of Ward 40 so that the sentence now reads:

Thence easterly along the centre line of Lawrence Avenue East to the centre line of Midland Avenue;

Enacted and passed on April 28, 2017.

Frances Nunziata,
Speaker

Ulli S. Watkiss, City Clerk

(Seal of the City)

This is Exhibit "Q" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc. Diana Dimmer

Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario



CORRECTION NOTICE

OMB CASE NO(S).:

MM170033

DECISION ISSUE DATE:

December 15, 2017

CORRECTION NOTICE ISSUE DATE:

January 11, 2018

RE: Di Ciano v. Toronto (City)

Correction to: page 5, second sentence of paragraph [7]

Originally: 43 wards

Corrected to: 46 wards

MARY ANN HUNWICKS BOARD SECRETARY

a Hunwicks

Ontario Municipal Board

Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario



ISSUE DATE:

December 15, 2017

CASE NO(S) .:

MM170033

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 128(4) of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, S.O. 2006, c. 11, Sched. A

Appellant:

Appellant: Appellant:

Appellant: Subject:

Justin Di Ciano Brian T. Graff

Giorgio Mammoliti

Mount Dennis Community Association; and others By-law 267-2017 to re-divide the City of Toronto's .

Ward Boundaries

Municipality:

City of Toronto MM170033 OMB Case No.: MM170033 OMB File No.:

OMB Case Name:

Di Ciano v. Toronto (City)

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 222(4) of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, c. 25, as amended

Appellant:

James Gordon Smith

Subject:

By-law 267-2017 to re-divide the City of Toronto's

Ward Boundaries

Municipality:

City of Toronto

OMB Case No.:

MM170033

OMB File No .:

MM170033

PROCEEDING COMMENCED UNDER subsection 128(4) of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, S.O. 2006, c. 11, Sched. A

Appellant:

Lakeshore Planning Council Corp.

Appellant:

Anthony Natale

Subject:

By-law 464-2017 to amend By-law 267-2017

Municipality: OMB Case No.: City of Toronto MM170033

OMB File No.:

MM170047

2.

MM170033

Heard:

October 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17 and 19, 2017 in

Toronto, Ontario

APPEARANCES:

Parties

Counsel*/Representative

City of Toronto

Brendan O'Callaghan* and Matthew Schuman*

Justin Di Ciano and Anthony Natale

Bruce Engell* and Kyle Kidd (student-at-law)

Kevin Wiener

Self-represented

Brian Graff

Self-represented

Giorgio Mammoliti

Self-represented

James Gordon Smith

Self-represented

Lakeshore Planning Council

Corporation

Peggy Moulder

DECISION DELIVERED BY JAN de P. SEABORN AND HUGH S. WILKINS AND ORDER OF THE BOARD

INTRODUCTION

[1] The matter before the Ontario Municipal Board ("Board") consists of several appeals in respect of By-law No. 247-2017 and By-law No. 464-2017 ("the By-laws") enacted by Council for the City of Toronto ("City") for the purpose of re-dividing the City into 47 Wards. Pursuant to the provisions of s. 128(1) of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 ("Act"), the City has the authority to enact a by-law to divide or subdivide the municipality into wards or to dissolve the existing wards. Any person may appeal to the Board (s. 128(4) of the Act) objecting to a ward boundaries by-law. After hearing the appeal, the Board may make an order affirming, amending or repealing the by-law (s. 128(7) of the Act). Similar provisions are found in s. 222 of the *Municipal Act*.

2017 CanLII 85757 (ON LPAT)

MM170033

- [2] The City's By-laws have been appealed by Justin Di Ciano and Anthony Natale ("Di Ciano and Natale Appeals"), who were represented by Mr. Engell; Kevin Wiener ("Wiener Appeal"), who appeared as counsel on his own behalf; Brian Graff ("Graff Appeal"), Giorgio Mammoliti ("Mammoliti Appeal"), and James Gordon Smith ("Smith Appeal"), each of whom were self-represented; and the Lakeshore Planning Council Corporation ("LPCC Appeal"), represented by Ms. Moulder.
- [3] The By-laws under appeal re-divide the City's existing 44 wards into 47 wards, effective for the 2018 municipal election (as long as the By-laws come into force by January 1, 2018). Collectively, the By-laws provide new boundaries for 40 wards and maintain existing boundaries for 7 wards. The bulk of the boundary changes are relatively minor, providing for minimal change, maintaining key historic boundaries and grouping communities of interest. The significant changes are that: 3 wards are added to the City's Downtown (as defined in the City's Official Plan); one ward is added in Willowdale; and existing Wards 14, 17 and 18 are combined into 2 wards (Exhibit 3, MAP 6: Recommended Wards with Refinements (47 Wards), p. 698).
- [4] In support of the By-laws, the City called three witnesses: Beate Bowron and Gary Davidson (both qualified as land use planners, with expertise in ward boundaries); and Michael Wright (land use planning, with expertise in demographic analysis and population, household and employment projections). Andrew Sancton (municipal government, with expertise in ward boundaries) and Peter Norman (economist with expertise in demographics) testified in support of the Di Ciano and Natale Appeals. In addition, Mr. Natale testified on his own behalf. Mr. Wiener, Mr. Graff, Mr. Mammoliti and Mr. Smith did not call any evidence. However, they each cross-examined the various expert witnesses and made written and oral submissions at the conclusion of the evidence. Ms. Moulder represented the LPCC and its Chair, Timothy Dobson, filed a witness statement and testified. There was one Participant, the Bloor East

979

Neighbourhood Association, and its President, Linda Brett, filed a participant statement and provided testimony to the Board, largely in support of the City's position.

THE APPEALS

(a) Di Ciano and Natale Appeals

[5] Mr. Di Ciano and Mr. Natale seek an order from the Board dividing the City into 25 wards, with name and boundaries identical to the current Federal Electoral Districts ("FEDS"). It was their position that the new ward boundaries set out in the By-laws do not meet the principle of effective representation or achieve voter parity (the relationship between a ward's population and the average ward population of all municipal wards). The adoption of the latest FEDS is proposed because this system will: ensure a fair election in 2018; ensure that future elections are fair; result in boundaries which are produced regularly through an unassailable, arms' length open public process which can quickly, defensibly and inexpensively be adopted by the City on an ongoing basis (Engell, Argument, para. 2).

(b) Wiener Appeal

[6] Mr. Wiener's appeal seeks a decision from the Board that maintains a 44 ward boundary structure, albeit he proposes boundary shifts for several wards. He submits that voter parity is a prime condition for effective representation and submits that the operative question is whether at the time of the appeal the ward boundaries will provide effective representation. It was Mr. Wiener's position that the City's consultants fettered their analysis by relying on population projections for the 2026 election year and did not sufficiently analyse other options for the 2018 and 2022 elections. He submits that the ward boundaries set out in the By-laws may provide voter parity in the future, but not in 2018.

2017 CanLII 85757 (ON LPAT)

980

(c) Graff Appeal

[7] Mr. Graff's appeal seeks Board approval of the ward boundaries set out in the By-laws with two alterations for 2018 and 2022. First, he submits that only 43 wards should be used for the 2018 and 2022 elections in order to achieve better voter parity and reduce costs. Second, he submits that the boundaries of Recommended Ward ("RW") 36 and RW 37 along Queen Street East to the west of Coxwell Avenue should be shifted to keep both sides of Queen Street East within the same ward. He questions the value of the boundaries that permit significant variances in voter parity for the 2018 and 2022 elections to achieve parity in later elections based on possible future populations that are difficult to predict.

(d) Mammoliti Appeal

[8] Mr. Mammoliti's appeal was predicated on the basis that there has been inadequate public engagement and public consultation with respect to the By-laws. He submits that issues, including geography, community history, community interest and the representation of minority groups, have not been given adequate weight.

Mr. Mammoliti requests that the Board send the matter back to Council for further consideration and no order be made that divides, re-divides or dissolves the existing 44 ward structure.

(e) LPCC Appeal

[9] The LPCC's position is that the City's current system of municipal governance needs to be changed so that councillors are required to receive representations from residents through the creation of community boards consisting of community members who hold public meetings on matters relating to the welfare of the district and its residents. To facilitate this, LPCC submits that the number of wards and councillors should be reduced. On this basis, the LPCC generally supports a 25-ward structure.

(f) Smith Appeal

[10] Mr. Smith's appeal was based on one discrete issue. He submits that the boundary between RW 33 and RW 34 should be shifted to bring the area known as "Crothers Woods" back into RW 33 where it existed prior to 2000. Mr. Smith argues that his proposed change would have no impact on effective representation. Crothers Woods is a protected Environmentally Significant Area and no people live there and he submits that the changes should be made for historical reasons, with no impact on ward populations.

6

THE CITY'S WARD BOUNDARY REVIEW PROCESS

- [11] The By-laws under appeal were developed following a process that began in June 2013 when Council authorized that a third-party consultant be retained to undertake a ward boundary review for the City. Known as the Toronto Ward Boundary Review ("TWBR") project, Dr. Davidson and Ms. Bowron were retained by the City as the consultants. They explained that they analyzed the status quo; developed and reviewed options; selected a preferred option; and refined their recommendations, culminating in City Council approving new wards for the City in November, 2016 and the adoption of the By-laws in March and April, 2017.
- [12] The almost four-year TWBR project was described as a substantial undertaking requiring sizeable financial and human resources: "During this time, the project held over 100 face-to-face meetings with Members of Council, School Boards and other stakeholder groups and 24 public meetings and information sessions and produced 7 substantial reports" (Exhibit 4, Bowron Witness Statement, para. 9). The project team prepared a *Background Research Report* ("Research Report"), Options Report and a Final Report (a draft of each report was reviewed by a 5-person Advisory Panel). Following direction from the Executive Committee, an Additional Information Report

2017 CanLII 85757 (ON LPAT)

followed by a Supplementary Report was issued, with the final result being Council's decision to enact the By-laws under appeal.

- [13] The Research Report examined other ward boundary reviews, the legislative framework, Board decisions, ward history in the City, development and electoral issues and incorporated comments from the Advisory Panel.
- [14] The *Options Report* (August 2015, revised October 2015) analyzed eight options for drawing new ward boundaries. The purpose of the report was to commence a discussion about a new preferred ward system among the public, stakeholders and Council. The methodology used for the development of the options addressed the components of effective representation plus: Toronto's population growth; a ward structure that will last for multiple elections; options that are unique; balanced ward population size; and effective new boundaries (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 50). The conclusion reached at this stage of the review was that five of the eight options provided for effective representation and should be carried forward. These were termed: minimal change; 44 wards; population per ward at 50,000 (small wards); population per ward at 75,000 (large wards); and wards drawn on natural and physical boundaries.
- [15] The prospect of using the FEDS to draw new ward boundaries was addressed in the *Options Report*. It commented that during Round One of the civic engagement and public consultation process the idea of using the boundaries of the 25 federal ridings covering the City was discussed in some detail. The TWBR stated that with 25 wards each would have a population of about 123,000, resulting in very large wards. It stated that while there was little public support for this outcome, there was considerable support for an option that would divide the population in each federal riding in half, resulting in 50 wards with an average population of about 62,000. The TWBR average population target per ward was determined to be 61,000. The FEDS (whether 25 or 50

wards) option was not pursued as the conclusion reached by the TWBR team was that it would not achieve effective representation:

This option does not resolve the issue of very large wards in the Downtown, Willowdale and southern Etobicoke and the city's numerous small wards. It merely continues most of the inequities of the current situation that led to the TWBR. An option based on using the federal riding boundaries and then dividing them into two will not achieve effective representation and has, therefore, not been pursued (*Options Report*, Exhibit 2, p. 414).

The *Final Report* was reviewed by the City's Executive Committee on May 24, 2016. The recommendation from the TWBR was to increase the number of wards from 44 to 47. It recommended addressing existing voter parity issues with a minimum number of changes to the existing boundaries. It recommended minimally increasing the number of wards to accommodate projected population growth, retain an average ward size of 61,000 people to ensure a manageable capacity for councillors to represent their constituents, achieve effective representation in all wards by 2026, and be workable through to the 2030 election.

[17] Following receipt of the *Final Report*, the Executive Committee requested additional information on several matters, including a "ward option that is consistent with the boundaries of the 25 federal and provincial ridings" (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 90). An *Additional Information Report* (August, 2016) was prepared and it responded to several suggestions for ward specific refinements and re-examined whether the ward boundaries could be consistent with existing federal and provincial boundaries. Thereafter the TWBR submitted its *Supplementary Report* (October 2016) and confirmed its recommended 47 ward structure (with refinements) as the new ward boundaries, effective with the 2018 election. The refinements included changes to keep several communities of interest together, including the community on either side of Sentinel Road, Regent Park, and Church-Wellesley Village.

9

MM170033

[18] The Supplementary Report also responded to (among other matters) the request for additional information in respect of the City adopting the FEDS. The TWBR team maintained its advice that the FEDS should not, in their analysis, be the preferred ward structure option given that there was little support for this option at public meetings or from councillors.

[19] In November, 2016 Council approved the new 47 ward structure, as recommended in the *Supplementary Report*. In March 2017, the implementing by-law (By-law 267-2017) was passed and in April 2017 Council adopted technical amendments through By-law 464-2017.

THE APPLICABLE LAW

[20] As part of their written and oral submissions, the parties provided the Board with a comprehensive overview of the body of case law that is regularly considered in municipal ward boundary reviews. The first area of law relates to what constitutes "effective representation". In Reference re Provincial Electoral Boundaries (Sask.), [1991] 2 S.C.R 158 (referred to as "Carter"), the Supreme Court of Canada found that the purpose of the right to vote enshrined in s. 3 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms ("Charter") is the right to effective representation. The Court examined the conditions of effective representation, stating as follows:

What are the conditions of effective representation? The first is relative parity of voting power. A system which dilutes one citizen's vote unduly as compared with another citizen's vote runs the risk of providing inadequate representation to the citizen whose vote is diluted. The legislative power of the citizen whose vote is diluted will be reduced, as may be access to and assistance from his or her representative. The result will be uneven and unfair representation. But parity of voting power, though of prime importance, is not the only factor to be taken into account in ensuring effective representation (pp. 183-84).

[21] The Court went on to explain that "it is a practical fact that effective representation often cannot be achieved without taking into account countervailing

10

MM170033

factors" (p. 184). Factors such as geography, community history, community interests and minority representation may need to be taken into account. "These are but examples of considerations which may justify departure from absolute voter parity in the pursuit of more effective representation; the list is not closed" (p. 184). While the Court was dealing with proposed provincial riding boundaries for Saskatchewan, the elements of effective representation enunciated in *Carter* are routinely relied upon in evaluating ward boundary reviews.

- [22] The second area of applicable law is the extent to which the Board should interfere with Council's decision to divide, re-divide or dissolve its ward boundaries. The Board has consistently found that there must be clear and compelling reasons to interfere in a municipal council's decision on ward boundaries (*Teno v. Lakeshore (Town)*, 2005 CarswellOnt 6386). In *Teno*, the Board adopted the approach taken in Savage v. Niagara Falls (City), 2002 CarswellOnt 5430, stating that:
 - Thus, this Board accepts that there must be clear and compelling reasons for the Board to interfere in a municipal council's decision on these matters, and it may have to be demonstrated that a municipal council has acted unfairly or unreasonably on these issues. However, if the evidence demonstrates that the decision of the municipality operates to diverge from the overriding principle of voter equity and effective representation, then the Board can only conclude that the Council has acted unreasonably. Where however the issues are not so clear cut, then it may be that the Board may accord deference to the decision of the municipal council.
- [23] Regarding the relationship between the number of wards and the composition of Council, the Divisional Court has found that as a practical matter while the Board may consider the composition of council when deciding a ward boundary matter, it cannot determine that composition (*Wagar v. London (City)*, [2006] 210 O.A.C. 29). It is within the discretion of Council to address composition and to fix the number of councillors to be elected from each ward. The Board's jurisdiction extends to the By-laws under appeal and to the matter of ward boundaries, it does not extend to address matters of governance, including how many councillors should comprise City Council.

986

EVIDENCE AND FINDINGS

Do the City's proposed Ward Boundaries achieve effective representation?

[24] There was general agreement amongst the parties that from a voter parity perspective, the City's existing 44 wards are out of balance. The 2014 municipal election was held just as the TWBR was starting and in that election the highest ward population was more than double the lowest ward population. The populations of the current wards range from 45,000 to 94,000 (Exhibit 2, p. 311). As Dr. Davidson said, to "achieve a balance in voter parity, not only do the large wards have to become smaller but the small wards need to get larger. This will require changes to the boundaries of many wards" (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 30). The evidence was clear that the existing ward boundaries do not achieve the voter parity component of effective representation and that the ward population imbalance is projected to increase in future elections unless there is change made to the status quo.

The City's preferred approach, as expressed in the By-laws, is to increase the [25] number of wards from 44 to 47 and in doing so, several adjustments are proposed to boundaries that have been in place since 2000. Reflecting the TWBR project team principle of "minimal change", the bulk of the existing wards will have minor boundary adjustments, with 1 ward added in Willowdale, 3 wards added to the City's downtown and 3 existing wards would be combined into 2. In arriving at the recommended 47 ward structure the TWBR considered: voter parity; natural and physical boundaries often used to separate wards; maintaining communities of interest such as geographic and historic neighbourhoods; ward history; capacity to represent (often equated with a Councillor's workload and the number of constituents that require representation); geographic size and shape of the ward; and population growth. The TWBR team's approach was based on the premise that any "ward boundary review has to balance the various components of effective representation. While voter parity (similar, but not identical numbers of people in each ward) is of prime importance to an individual's 'right-to-vote', not dividing neighbourhoods (if at all possible) and following clearly

recognizable boundaries are also major factors" (Exhibit 3, Additional Information Report, p. 603).

12

Dr. Davidson explained that the 47 ward structure is designed to be used in at [26] least three and perhaps four, election cycles: 2018, 2022, 2026 and 2030. The TWBR established 2026 as the target or design year for the project. A target year or target election is required to draw ward boundaries. The assumption made was that given the City is growing at a rapid rate, the review had to consider future growth and where that growth is going to occur. Designing a system with a 2018 target year would have resulted, in Dr. Davison's view, in ward boundaries that would have to immediately be revisited following that election. Dr. Davidson explained that the TWBR looked to the future and considered the growth of the City in determining a new ward structure. He said that in a fast growing City, this is critical: "Determining new ward boundaries solely from the last census (2011) would yield a ward configuration out of balance at the outset (2018) that will become progressively more problematic in subsequent elections" (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 129). Consequently, what the TWBR did was use a projection scenario that reflects the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2006 (with a total projected population of 3.190 million for 2031). The City has detailed population projections by 599 small geographic areas called Traffic Zones ("TZs"). The City relies on these projections for use in the Official Plan and by other departments. They take into account proposed and potential development. The TWBR used the City TZ population projections, adjusted to election years, and calculated the voter parity based on population (also described as the population parity) component of effective representation for the various options under consideration.

[27] Dr. Davidson explained that the target year of 2026 to design ward boundaries remains appropriate for several reasons. He stated:

It provides stability for at least 3 elections and possibly 4. It is only 8 years in the future from the next election in 2018. It allows Toronto's

13

MM170033

rapid growth to be accommodated, as it is more appropriate to allow wards to grow towards voter parity than away from voter parity. Selecting 2026 as the target year allows the TWBR to address the imbalance that arises from both wards with small populations that are stable and wards with large populations that are growing rapidly" (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 62).

- [28] Dr. Davidson also explained that the City's growing population will not be distributed evenly across the City. Taking into account the policies of the Official Plan, an accurate projection can be made of where the growth will occur over the next 15 years. He stated that the growing population will locate primarily in the waterfront, designated growth centres and on the avenues both in the central City and other specific locations throughout the entire City (Exhibit 2, p. 386). It was Dr. Davidson's opinion (and Mr. O'Callaghan's submission) that the City has good quality, provincially approved, population projections and it is entirely appropriate to rely upon these projections for ward boundary purposes (O'Callaghan Argument, paras. 45 to 49).
- [29] Mr. Wright, responsible for the development of population and household projections for use throughout the City, concluded that the "population and housing projection methodology using the 2013 regional forecasts and potential housing supply as developed in 2015 are appropriate to projecting the future distribution of population for small areas in the City to 2041" (Exhibit 33, Wright Witness Statement, para. 11b)). Mr. Wright provided a thorough review of how the projections he developed were used by the TWBR project team and it was his opinion that they have been proven to be an accurate reflection of growth.
- [30] In contrast, Dr. Sancton and Mr. Norman's evidence (and the submission of Mr. Engell) was that the methodology relied upon by the TWBR was simply the wrong approach. Mr. Engell argued that projections are notoriously unreliable. The TWBR's ambition to establish one ward structure for several election cycles was unreasonable and unrealistic. Drawing ward boundaries with a target year of 2026 suggests that fairness in a future election is more important than fairness in the current (2018) election. The philosophy of "looking forward" (adopted by the City through the use of

989

projections) versus "looking backward" (based on census data) was the crux of the difference of opinion between the City's and the Appellants' experts.

- [31] The opposition argues that the City's approach sacrifices voter parity in the next (2018) election and as a result, also sacrifices effective representation. The boundaries of federal electoral districts are reviewed after each 10-year census to reflect changes and movements in the population. Dr. Sancton's opinion was that the target year of 2026 selected by the TWBR to achieve voter parity was "profoundly misguided". Ward boundaries should be drawn so that voter parity is achieved as soon as possible. The TWBR approach is to move toward voter parity, rejected by Dr. Sancton and in his opinion an unreasonable approach that fails to achieve effective representation. Further, it was Dr. Sancton's evidence that a +/- 15% variance for several wards is not acceptable. Beginning with the 2018 election, the FEDS results in better voter parity as compared to both the status quo and the TWBR options. On this basis alone, the submission made was that the FEDS should be adopted as the best means of achieving effective representation.
- [32] Mr. Norman testified that population projections are often unreliable. He said census data is the most reliable population data, but that it too can be off due to undercounting and over-counting. He said that population projections for sub-city areas are built on a number of layers of information and assumptions and are particularly unreliable. He said the TWBR's estimates are based on 2011 census data and that for 2018 they vary widely from data validated in the 2016 census. He stated that based on his calculations, the boundaries set in the By-laws will result in significantly greater variances than projected by the TWBR. It was Mr. Norman's opinion that for population levels beyond 2018, the TWBR's projections will likely have a very low degree of accuracy for evaluating voter parity. He said "the most accurate and reliable methodology for evaluating voter parity would be to do so with raw census population counts".

990

[33] Relying in part on a previous decision of the Board which examined ward boundaries in Vaughan (*Milani v. Vaughan (City)* [2009] 63 O.M.B.R. 257), Mr. Engell submitted that the Board has rejected options where voter parity starts off poorly and improves over time. It was Mr. Engell's position that "there is no reason why starting closer to parity and growing farther away from it is inherently different from growing into parity. What must be kept in mind throughout is that an acceptable range of parity must be achieved for each election involved" (Engell, Argument, para. 70). Whether the range is acceptable on the facts of this ward boundary review is, ultimately, the major difference between the parties.

- [34] A considerable amount of hearing time was dedicated to an examination of the variances involved for each election year for different ward structures. Dr. Davidson explained that voter parity is assessed in terms of "incremental percentage ranges around the average ward population. A range of plus or minus 10% is considered ideal. Population variances can be greater in order to satisfy the other criteria contained in the concept of effective representation. However, if the range gets too large without adequate reasons, effective representation is lost" (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 37a.). In assessing parity, Dr. Davidson's opinion was that +/- 15% variances result in acceptable voter parity (population) figures. The TWBR chose a target average ward size of 61,000 and a +/- 15% variance range in population (51,800 70,150). This choice was made to reflect minimal change, that is, keep the current average ward population at 61,000 (2011 census) and maintain as many of the current boundaries as possible.
- [35] The argument against the 47 ward structure was simply that it does not provide voter parity within generally acceptable limits. The substance of the criticism was that several wards will fall outside the +/- 10% variance range for the 2018 election year (and beyond). Even if it is accepted that a variance of +/- 15% is appropriate, some wards will not achieve parity until 2026. In addition, even accepting 2026 as the

991

legitimate target year for parity, three wards will not fall within a \pm /- 15% variance (although each are close to this threshold, with the largest variance being 17.5%).

The City's proposal, commencing with the 2018 election year, results in 17 wards [36] with a variance of more than 10% and 7 wards outside a variance of 15% (Wiener Argument, para 29). On this basis, the argument made was that effective representation is not achieved in the short term. Mr. Engell argued that sacrificing voter parity in the short term (in particular the 2018 election) appears to be predicated on the assumption that fairness of a future election is more important than fairness of the current one. Leaving aside the fact that the City's experts did take issue with the methodology employed by the opposition in analyzing the variances, the City's view was that given their approach is to grow into voter parity through several election cycles, modest variances beyond +/- 15% can be tolerated. In essence, the City submitted that there is an "acceptable range of parity" for each election when comparing the 47-ward structure to the alternative proposals. "For the elections of 2018, 2022, 2026 and 2030, the vast majority (always above 87%) of the new wards fall within the +/- 15% voter parity factor" (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para. 285). In addition, the Board notes and relies upon the fact that communities of interest are best respected with a 47ward structure, a factor that is a consideration in evaluating effective representation. In comparing his 44 ward structure proposal, Mr. Wiener acknowledged that the 47-ward option somewhat better respects communities of interest. Adoption of the FEDS would result in the Board imposing on the City a structure that could decrease the current 44ward structure to 25 wards and increase individual ward population, resulting in a significant impact on the capacity to represent.

[37] The 47-ward structure does not achieve "perfect" voter parity for each election cycle. However, none of the alternative options achieve perfect voter parity either. Adoption of the FEDS (based on Mr. Norman's methodology) would result in a small improvement in voter parity (based on a +/- 15% variance) in 2018, for only a handful of wards. Mr. O'Callaghan stated in his closing submissions that one must take into

17

MM170033

account the difference in population sizes in the City's proposed wards compared to those in the FEDS. He stated at paras. 66-70 of the City's submissions:

- 66. It is meaningless to compare the FED 15% voter parity variance from the average FED riding size to the 15% variance from the City's goal of 61,000 average ward population because the denominator in the case of the FED is almost twice the denominator of the TWBR.
- 67. A 15% variance to the FED with an average population of 111,000 is a range of 31,863 people.
- 68. A 15% variance to the City's preferred 61,000 people per ward is 18,300 people.
- 69. This demonstrates that the City's preferred goal of 15% variance from an average ward size of 61,000, achieves a much tighter band of acceptable parity than the FED.
- 70. In order to compare apples to apples, the variance from the average should be compared in numbers of people not in percentages.
- [38] Mr. O'Callaghan goes on to submit that when the variances are compared in terms of numbers of people, the differences between them are not significant.

 Examining the population numbers of the areas with the largest variances in each proposal, he stated at paras. 71-72:
 - 71. Comparing the worst case parity scenario in the TWBR to the worst case parity scenario in the FED involves the following arithmetic: If we accept Mr. Norman's numbers ... then the TWBR Revised Ward ("RW") 19 has a population variance of 37,33% which equals 45,542 people. [...]
 - 72. From Exhibit 13, page 5, we know that FED riding Etobicoke-Lakeshore has a +21.07% variance from the average population of 111,127 which is 46,828 people.
 - 73. The TWBR's biggest variance and the FED's biggest variance represent approximately the same number of people.
 - 74. So if our +37% variance is a problem, which we do not accept, then it is not a problem that is solved by using the Federal Ridings because the FED's 21.07% variance results in a variance of at least as many people.

993

[39] Based on these submissions, and the evidence upon which they rely, the Board finds that the difference between the FEDS and the 47-ward structure is not significant and will not result in an unfair election in 2018. This is especially true taking into account all of the *Carter* criteria, including the protection of communities of interest. As indicated in the *Carter* decision, "absolute parity is impossible. It is impossible to draw boundary lines which guarantee exactly the same number of voters in each district. Voters die, voters move. Even with the aid of frequent censuses, voter parity is impossible" (at p. 184). Mr. O'Callaghan submitted that factors other than equality of voter power must "figure in the analysis" (*Carter*, p. 194). The Board notes that another factor is growth projections. In *Carter*, in the context of the review of provincial ridings, the Court said given "that the boundaries will govern for a number of years - the boundaries set in 1989, for example, may be in place until 1996 - projected population changes within that period may justify a deviation from strict equality at the time the boundaries are drawn" (*Carter*, p. 195).

[40] Effective representation is the primary goal and the Board finds that the 47 ward structure, reflected in the By-laws, does achieve that goal. The Board rejects that public consultation was inadequate. In this regard, the Board adopts and relies upon the evidence of Ms. Bowron who explained the various attempts to engage the public in the process, both via community meetings, surveys and on-line engagement. Where there was interest, the TWBR re-examined options (for example, the FEDS option was re-evaluated). Certain proposed boundaries were re-visited as a result of either input from local Councillors or suggestions from the public, whether local ratepayers groups or individuals. The evidence was clear that the 47 ward structure initially recommended was in fact adjusted to reflect input from stakeholders in respect of communities of interest. The Board also finds that ward history and physical and natural boundaries, as well as geographic size and the shape of the wards were carefully considered by the City's consultants.

994

Should any alternative Ward Boundary scheme be implemented by the Board?

- [41] The Board has the ability to amend the By-laws under appeal to reflect a different ward structure. However, the Board should exercise this power with caution and only in the clearest of cases. On the facts of this case, the Board finds that there are no clear and compelling reasons to interfere with the decision of Council. The City acknowledged in its submissions that there are other ward boundary structures that could have been recommended to form the basis for the By-laws under appeal. In this regard, the TWBR said at the outset of its work that several different approaches could be employed to address the present imbalance. In the final analysis, the Board is satisfied that it should accord deference to the decision of Council, especially in circumstances where the City undertook a thorough review of several options prior to enacting the By-laws.
- The alternative proposal provided by Mr. Graff recommended 46 wards, with [42] certain boundary adjustments. Given Mr. Graff's position at the outset of his appeal was that he generally supported the 47-ward structure with refinement, the Board is not inclined to interfere with the work of the TWBR project team and implement a 46-ward structure. One important goal of the TWBR was to maintain communities of interest and the adjustments proposed by Mr. Graff with respect to the boundaries between RW 36 and RW 37 does not necessarily improve the alignment in this part of the City. It was Ms. Bowron's opinion that RW 36 includes important communities of interest, in particular the whole Leslieville neighbourhood north of Queen Street East. Both versions of Mr. Graff's proposal would split the eastern portion of Leslieville, dividing this community of interest (Exhibit 4, Bowron Witness Statement, para. 254). The proposed RW 37 is almost identical to the current Ward 32, subject to the boundary adjustment where a small area is moved into RW 36. As a result, the City's proposal for RW 37 meets the TWBR criterion of minimal change. On this basis, the modifications proposed by Mr. Graff as they affect the downtown wards are also problematic (regardless of election year). The downtown wards are designed to align with the City's Official Plan

MM170033

designation and were designed to respect minimal change to existing boundaries and maintain the average ward population of 61,000 for each election cycle. The Board relies on the analyses provided by Dr. Davidson and Ms. Bowron in responding to Mr. Graff's proposals (Exhibit 4, Davidson/Bowron Witness Statement, Section 10).

[43] In addition, Mr. Graff's ward configuration would result in a move away from parity for the 2022 and 2026 elections. Mr. Graff suggests that the City should re-visit ward boundaries more often. Under Mr. Graff's approach, there would be fewer wards for the 2018 election and the number of wards would grow in subsequent elections. Given the resources and effort expended for the current ward boundary review process, the City's evidence was that three election cycles should be covered by the outcome, a choice that is within the purview of Council. Finally, phasing in a ward would also create a different standard for voter parity downtown than in the rest of the City (O'Callaghan Argument, para. 56).

[44] Council could have chosen to adopt the FEDS. It did not do so. As indicated previously, there are 25 federal and provincial riding boundaries within the City and the ward boundaries could coincide. However, the TWBR determined at the outset to use the year 2026

...to ensure that any new ward structure will last for several elections and constant ward boundary reviews are not required. This is different from how provincial and federal riding boundaries are determined. Federal and provincial riding boundaries are adjusted every 10 years based on the most recent Census. The current federal ridings are based on the 2011 Census and they will be adjusted again following the 2021 Census. In this respect the TWBR looks to the future, while the federal and provincial riding boundary commissions look to the past" (Exhibit 3, Additional Information Report, p. 611).

The difference in approach was clearly explained by the TWBR as part of its recommendations. The Executive Committee had asked the consultants for additional information on the ward option that is consistent with the boundaries of the 25 federal ridings. The evidence was that the TWBR team rejected the option during its initial

996

screening and again when it was asked by the Executive Committee to re-visit the option. As part of the process, the variance scenarios were analyzed and maps were produced depicting the boundary adjustments. Considerable information was provided.

- [45] It is clear that prior to enacting the By-laws, the City Council carefully considered precisely the ward structure that Mr. Di Ciano and Mr. Natale urge the Board to adopt, effective with the 2018 municipal election. Council had considered and rejected a petition that was submitted in June 2013 asking the City to redraw its ward boundaries to mirror the federal riding boundaries. Even if one concludes that the FEDS is a legitimate alternative, the Board finds that it should not impose an option on the City that was available but clearly rejected by Council.
- Adopting the FEDS was also supported by the LPCC, albeit its main focus was to [46] encourage the City to adopt an updated governance structure. It was Mr. Dobson's evidence and the submission of Ms. Moulder that implementing the FEDS would provide greater flexibility in designing a governance structure. For example, the 25 wards need not result in 25 councillors or 50 councillors. A different scheme could be implemented and implementing a FEDS would provide the greatest opportunity for change. Mr. Dobson testified that governance was a primary concern brought forward at near every public meeting held in connection with the ward boundary review. A community board structure is preferred by the LPCC and clearly the group has done considerable work and research on the issue. Nonetheless, on a ward boundary appeal the Board's jurisdiction does not extend to mandating a new structure of governance as proposed by LPCC. As indicated above, it is within the discretion of Council to address composition and fix the number of councillors. In this regard, it was Mr. Natale's evidence that there should be a reduction in the number of councillors, one of the reasons he urged the Board to adopt a 25-ward alternative. Yet as a practical matter, the Board was told that a 25-ward alternative could easily lead to 50 wards if the population for each ward were divided in half.

MM170033

Mr. Wiener's proposal was based on continuing with a 44-ward structure (with [47] different boundaries than exist today). Mr. Wiener argued that his option provides significantly better voter parity in 2018 as compared to the City's approach. With respect to 2026 (City's target year), Mr. Wiener's position was that his option and the City's structure provide voter parity within generally acceptable limits. From the perspective of reflecting natural boundaries and incorporating public consultation, Mr. Wiener submitted that both options are equal. Finally, as indicated earlier Mr. Wiener agreed that the City's approach somewhat better respects communities of interest. Similar to the position taken by Mr. Engell, Mr. Wiener's primary objection to the 47-ward structure was that the variances for the 2018 election fail to maintain voter parity. He urged the Board to adopt his proposal at 44 wards, the 46-ward option proposed by Mr. Graff or the FEDS on the basis that "all three options will provide acceptable levels of voter parity for the 2018 election. Where there are deviations from voter parity, all three options justify those deviations by reference to the other Carter criteria of effective representation" (Wiener Argument, para. 49). For reasons explained earlier, the Board rejects the notion that the deviations in voter parity for the alternatives result in "significantly better" effective representation than those that arise in the 47ward structure. Perfect parity is never achieved.

[48] Mr. Smith urged the Board to amend the By-laws for the purpose of adopting one discrete boundary change between RW 33 and RW 34. Mr. Smith argued that the area known as Crothers Woods should be brought back into RW 33, where it had existed for decades. Based on the preference of the TWBR for minimal change, the City's preference is that the boundary should not be adjusted. However, Dr. Davidson did acknowledge that Mr. Smith's proposed changes will not alter the current and projected populations in either RW 33 or RW 34, given there are no residents in the area in question (Exhibit 4, Davidson Witness Statement, para 226). Two options were proposed and while opposed to any change, the City indicated that if a boundary adjustment were made, its preference is "version 2": where the boundary would continue along the Don Valley Parkway westward to Pottery Road. This adjustment

998

would not affect the voter parity component of effective representation and provide a more coherent physical boundary for RW 33.

- [49] Unlike other Appellants' proposals, Mr. Smith's proposed change does not alter the degree of effective representation provided by the boundaries in the By-laws. The Board finds that based on the historical reasons advocated by Mr. Smith, and taking into account the City's decision to not produce compelling evidence opposing Mr. Smith's proposals, the Board grants Mr. Smith's Appeal and amends the By-laws in accordance with "version 2" of his proposal with the RW 33 boundary continuing along the Don Valley Parkway westward to Pottery Road.
- [50] Finally, the Board rejects the relief sought by Mr. Mammoliti which is, in essence, "do nothing". As indicated at the outset, maintaining the status quo would fail to achieve effective representation and would not account for the significant growth that has occurred since 2000 (and is projected to occur going forward) in certain areas of the City, particularly the Downtown. All of the experts who testified agreed that from a parity perspective, the status quo is not an option. Ultimately, the decision to reexamine the City's ward boundaries is one that lies with Council. It has the ability to review its ward structure as often (or as little) as it chooses. The City undertook a lengthy, detailed process, incorporating public comment and considered (and reconsidered) various options. Public and stakeholder inputs were incorporated throughout the process. For the Board to simply send the matter back to Council would be an untenable outcome.
- [51] The Board finds that the work undertaken by the TWBR culminating in the By-laws setting out a 47-ward structure was comprehensive. The ward structure delineated in the By-laws provides for effective representation and corrects the current population imbalance amongst the existing 44 wards. The decision made by Council to adopt the By-laws was defensible, fair and reasonable. The decision by Council to implement a

MM170033

47-ward structure does not diverge from the principles of voter equity and effective representation. In this regard, there is nothing unreasonable in the decision of Council.

DECISION AND ORDER

- [52] For all of the reasons given, the decision and order of the Board is to:
 - (a) dismiss the Di Ciano, Natale, Wiener, Graff, Mammoliti and LPCC appeals;
 - (b) allow the Smith appeal, in part; and
 - (c) approve By-law No. 247-2017 and By-law No. 464-2017 re-dividing the City's Ward Boundaries into 47 Wards, subject to moving the RW 33 boundary so that it continues along the Don Valley Parkway westward to Pottery Road, as set out in "Version 2" of Mr. Smith's proposal.

"Jan de P. Seaborn"

JAN de P. SEABORN VICE-CHAIR

"Hugh S. Wilkins"

HUGH S. WILKINS MEMBER

MM170033

DISSENTING DECISION DELIVERED BY BLAIR S. TAYLOR

- [1] I have had the opportunity to review the decision of my colleagues.
- [2] With great respect, I disagree.
- [3] I would have allowed the appeals by Justin Di Ciano and Anthony Natale.
- [4] I would have made an Order dividing the City into 25 wards with names and boundaries identical to the current FEDS in the City, all for the reasons set out below.

SUBJECT MATTER

- [5] While this appeal ostensibly concerns the review of ward boundaries in the City, at its core this appeal is about one of the most fundamental rights granted to citizens of Canada in the *Charter of Rights*: the right to vote.
- [6] In that light, it is an appeal with regard to the restructuring of the City's wards to ensure that each citizen's vote is (relatively) equal to another citizen's vote, not just for the 2018 election, but for every decision that City Council will make during that four year term.

CENTRAL ISSUE

- [7] The central issue in this appeal is when voter/population parity must be achieved.
- [8] The City's By-laws are based on achieving voter/population parity in 2026.
- [9] Other Appellants including Mr. Di Ciano and Mr. Natale submit that voter/population parity should be achieved for the 2018 election.

BACKGROUND

- [10] In 1997, the (newly) amalgamated City had 28 wards that were used to elect 56 representatives (two per ward).
- [11] For the 2000 election, the City had 44 wards based on the 22 FEDS in place at that time. Those 44 wards continue to this date.
- [12] In June of 2013, the City Council authorized the City Manager to start a process which led to the TWBR.
- [13] The TWBR July 2015 Report ("Why Is Toronto Drawing New Ward Boundaries?") stated that the status quo is not an option and that in terms of voter/population parity, the City is becoming less equitable.
- [14] The TWBR in its "Civic Engagement Plus Public Consultation" report stated:

Based on the distribution of ward populations at present, Toronto's ward structure does not meet the requirements of effective representation. The population of the current wards range from 45,000 to 94,000.

Effective representation is a combination of a number of elements — voter parity, protection of communities of interest and neighbourhoods, physical and natural boundaries, ward history and capacity to represent. While some of the elements may alter strict voter parity, sometimes referred to as rep by pop, voter parity is a major criterion. It forms the basis for representative democracy. There needs to be some assurance that one elector's vote is similar in weight to another person's vote.

[15] In the Civic Engagement Plus Public Consultation Report of February of 2016, the Executive Summary noted that five options were pursued and one option was not pursued, the latter being the option of using the 25 FEDS. It was not pursued because:

...It would not achieve voter parity, an essential component of effective representation, nor would it address current discrepancies in ward population sizes.

[16] Thus the FEDS did not make it to the final options considered by the consultants.

[17] The "Final" Report by the TWBR is dated as of May 2016 and it recommended a ward structure of 47 wards, with a target population of 61,000 and a target to achieve voter/population parity in the 2026 election. The Executive Committee of the City of Toronto, in receiving the Final Report, referred the matter back to the City Manager with the request to:

- review option 1 (47 wards) with a focus on amendments to address wards with the highest population discrepancies i.e. Wards 20, 22, 23, 27 and 28;
- (b) prepare refinements for option 2 (44 wards);
- (c) further consider Toronto's ward boundaries for increased consistency with the 25 FEDS; and
- (d) undertake any required additional public consultation.

[18] That work was completed and the TWBR recommended to City Council in its Supplementary Report of October 2016, refinements to its 47-ward approach, which the TWBR stated were an improvement to the original 47-ward recommendation. City Council ultimately adopted this revised 47-ward recommendation.

VOTER/POPULATION VARIANCE RANGES

[19] The TWBR *Options Report* (Exhibit 2 page 400) provided this narrative with regard to the ranges used in ward boundary reviews.

Calculating voter parity does not use absolute figures, but proceeds by determining population ranges to achieve wards with 'similar' populations. Generally, ward boundary reviews analyze the following ranges:

- Range 1 plus or minus 10% of the ward average;
- Range 2 10% to 15% above the ward average;

- Range 3 10% to 15% below the ward average;
- Range 4 15% to 20% above the ward average;
- Range 5 15% to 20% below the ward average;
- Range 6 20% to 25% above the ward average;
- Range 7 20% to 25% below the ward average;
- · Range 8 25% or more above the ward average; and,
- Range 9 25% or more below the ward average.

Achieving a population balance of plus or minus 10% of the ward average (Range 1) is the gold standard of ward boundary reviews. Ranges 2 and 3 (10% - 15% above or below average) can result in acceptable voter parity figures. Ranges 4 and 5 (15% to 20%) can only be used under special circumstances, for example a ward may be below 15% to 20% because it is expected to grow or it may be above this percentage because it is stable and will get closer to the city-wide average in time.

Wards with populations of 20% to 25% above or below average (Ranges 6 and 7) do not satisfy the voter parity criterion. Ranges 6 to 9 (20% to 25% and 25% or more above or below average have been applied, on rare occasions, by municipalities that have to ensure the representation or rural areas within their boundaries.

CONTEXT

- [20] Exhibit 1, Tab 17, page 257 is an excerpt from the Ward Population Background Brief and it shows that in 2014: the populations of wards ranged from 44,404 to 93,687, that one ward had a 56.07% variance, a second ward had 54.57% variance, a third ward had 40.72%, and about seven other wards had population variances over 20%.
- [21] The TWBR Recommended (47) Wards With Refinements plan is based on a "target" population of 61,000 per ward, and to achieve voter/population parity in 2026.
- [22] For 2018, (being the first municipal election for the new ward boundaries using the target population of 61,000 per ward), the TWBR projected for its proposed 47 wards that:

8 wards would have variances of +/- 10%, 1 ward with +/- 15%, 2 wards with +/- 20%, and

2 wards with +/- 30% (37.45% and 34.67%).

(Exhibit 3, Tab 24, page 699)

[23] Contrasted to the average population per ward of 58,892 (not the "target" population of 61,000), the TWBR Recommended (47) Wards With Refinements would result in:

10 wards would have variances of +/- 10% 4 wards with +/- 15% 1 ward with +/- 20%, and 2 wards with +/- 30% (35.21% and 31.91%. (Exhibit 13, page 2)

- [24] The evidence of on behalf of Messrs. Di Ciano and Natale is that for 2018 using the FEDS, there would be only 2 instances where variances were outside 10% and 1 of over 20% (Exhibit 13, Tab 1).
- [25] The use of the FEDS was supported by the LPCC as a means of addressing governance issues, which are beyond the jurisdiction of this Board.
- [26] Messrs. Wiener, Graff and Mammoliti called no evidence in chief: neither expert nor lay. This Member assigns little weight to their positions.

LUMPINESS/SPIKEYNESS

- [27] The City consultants testified that it is appropriate to use a population projection target of 61,000 per ward and a target date of 2026 to implement the new ward boundary system.
- [28] They assert this based on the fact that the growth that has occurred in the City has been uneven in nature ("lumpy/spikey"), that the target population of 61,000 per ward is appropriate, and that the goal of voter/population parity by 2026 enables the revised ward system to grow into parity based on the anticipated further development in specific areas in the City.

MM170033

[29] In contrast, Messrs. Di Ciano and Natale submit that the census work of Statistics Canada ought to be used as it is used in the FEDS, as those numbers are actual numbers and not projections, that one should use the actual average population for a ward not a target, and also that it is not appropriate to be looking at a time frame to 2026 to implement voter/population parity.

THE LAW

- [30] Much of the case law has been reviewed in the majority decision.
- [31] I set out below certain portions of the Justice McLachlin (as she then was) decision in *Carter, supra,* that are central to my decision with my emphasis.

C. The Meaning of the Right to Vote

It is my conclusion that the purpose of the right to vote enshrined in s. 3 of the *Charter* is not equality of voting power *per se*, but the right to "effective representation". Ours is a representative democracy. Each citizen is entitled to be <u>represented</u> in government. Representation comprehends the idea of having a voice in the deliberations of government as well as the idea of the right to bring one's grievances and concerns to the attention of one's government representative; as noted in *Dixon v. B.C. (A.G.)*, [1989] 4 W.W.R. 393, at p. 413, elected representatives function in two roles – legislative and what has been termed the "ombudsman role".

What are the conditions of effective representation? The first is relative parity of voting power. A system which dilutes one citizen's vote unduly as compared with another citizen's vote runs the risk of providing inadequate representation to the citizen whose vote is diluted. The legislative power of the citizen whose vote is diluted will be reduced, as may be access to and assistance from his or her representative. The result will be uneven and unfair representation.

But parity of voting power, though of prime importance is not the only factor to be taken into account in ensuring effective representation...

Notwithstanding the fact that the value of a citizen's vote should not be unduly diluted, it is a practical fact that effective representation often cannot be achieved without taking into account countervailing factors.

First, absolute parity is impossible. It is impossible to draw boundary lines which guarantee exactly the same number of voters in each district.

MM170033

Voters die, voters move. Even with the aid of frequent censuses, voter parity is impossible.

Secondly, such relative parity as may be possible of achievement may prove undesirable because it has the effect of detracting from the primary goal of effective representation. Factors like geography, community history, community interests and minority representation may need to be taken into account to ensure that our legislative assemblies effectively represent the diversity of our social mosaic. These are but examples of considerations which may justify departure from absolute voter parity in the pursuit of more effective representation; the list is not closed.

It emerges therefore that deviations from absolute voter parity may be justified on the grounds of practical impossibility or the provision of more effective representation. Beyond this, dilution of one citizen's vote as compared with another's should not be countenanced. I adhere to the proposition asserted in *Dixon*, *supra*, at p. 414, that "only those deviations should be admitted which can be justified on the ground that they contribute to better government of the populace as a whole, giving due weight to regional issues within the populace and geographic factors within the territory governed." (Emphasis added)

[32] Further, at page 195 of the decision, Justice McLachlin provides this comment with regard to timing.

... Yet another factor is growth projections. Given that the boundaries will govern for a number of years — the boundaries set in 1989, for example, may be in place until 1996 — projected population changes within that period may justify a deviation from strict equality at the time the boundaries are drawn. (Emphasis added)

- [33] In terms of the approach to ward boundary appeals such as this, the Board has taken the position that it should not lightly interfere with municipal decisions unless there are clear and compelling reasons to do so (Savage v. Niagara Falls (City), 45 O.M.B.R. 56).
- [34] Similarly, the Board in *Teno v. Lakeshore (Town)*, 51 O.M.B.R. 473 made these findings:
 - The Board finds that in assessing whether ward boundaries should be redivided, the over-riding principle is voter parity as cited by the Supreme Court of Canada. Any deviations from voter parity must be justified based on the other factors referred to by the Supreme Court and by this Board, in a manner which supports the notion that in the absence of this deviation, there would be a loss of effective representation. Thus any deviation factor whether it be 1% or 33% must

MM170033

be supportive of a more effective representation of the electors and their interests...(Emphasis added)

- 36. Thus, this Board accepts that there must be clear and compelling reasons for the Board to interfere in a municipal council's decision on these matters, and that it may have to be demonstrated that a municipal council has acted unfairly or unreasonably in making a decision on these issues. However, if the evidence demonstrates that the decision of the municipality operates to diverge from the overriding principle of voter equity and effective representation, then the Board can only conclude that the Council has acted unreasonably. Where however, the issues are not so clear cut, then it may be that the Board may accord deference to the decision of the municipal council.
- [35] The Board in *Teno, supra*, found based on the evidence before it, that the disparities in voter representation that then existed would continue to worsen, and the Board found it was untenable and contrary to the principles set out in the Supreme Court of Canada to allow the current system to continue. The Board said this:
 - Thus the Board finds that there is clear and compelling evidence to support a redivision of the ward boundaries, and that the municipality, while always acting fairly and in a way which they viewed as representing the public interest, acted unreasonably in deciding to maintain the current electoral boundary system, in the face of the information and recommendations made to them by their staff.

PRINCIPLES

- [36] From this case law, four principles ensue.
- [37] First, the overriding principle in assessing ward boundaries is to achieve voter/population parity at the time the boundary lines are drawn.
- [38] Second, any deviation from such voter/population parity must be justified by other *Carter* criteria in a manner that is more supportive of effective representation. Absent this, the dilution of one citizen's vote as compared to another should not be countenanced.

MM170033

- [39] Third, there must be clear and compelling reasons for the Board to interfere with a municipal council's decision.
- [40] And finally, if the evidence demonstrates that the municipal council's decision diverges from the overriding principle of voter/population parity and effective representation, the Board can only conclude that council acted unreasonably.

2018 VOTER/POPULATION PARITY

[41] Using the TWBR's variance ranges, the use of the revised 47-ward boundaries for 2018 would not result in voter/population parity for the 2018 municipal election. Of the 47 proposed wards, using the average ward population (and not the "target"), 10 wards would have a +/- variance over 10%, 4 wards would have +/- 15% variance (which can only be justified under special circumstances), 1 ward at +/- 20%, and 2 wards over +/- 30%, which do not satisfy the TWBR's voter/population criterion (and there are no "rural" areas in the City).

GROWING INTO PARITY

- [42] The City submits that it is preferable to grow into parity with the aim of reaching that parity based on the population projections by 2026. Why? Because, say the City consultants, ward boundary reviews are expensive and time consuming, and the City is experiencing uneven growth in areas that have been designated for such growth in the City's Official Plan.
- [43] Messrs. Di Ciano, Natale and Wiener challenge that provision. Counsel for Messrs. Di Ciano and Natale submits:

MM170033

The TWBR's insistence that it is better to grow into parity than grow out of it must have implicitly been predicated on the idea that fairness of a future election is more important than the fairness of the current one. There is no reason why starting closer to parity and growing farther away from it is inherently different from growing into parity. What must be kept in mind throughout is that an acceptable range of parity must be achieved for each election involved.

[44] Mr. Wiener aptly notes:

While there has been significant jurisprudence on what effective representation is, there has been little jurisprudence on <u>when</u> effective representation should be determined.

[45] In Reply, counsel for the City submitted that: "...there is no jurisdiction or statutory authority that the City must achieve parity [of voter/population] in any particular time frame."

. PARITY WHEN?

[46] Counsel for Messrs. Di Ciano and Natale submits that the position of the City on achieving voter/population parity is like "Waiting for Godot": voter/population parity is hoped for by the City, but under its proposed ward boundary system, like Mr. Godot, it may never show up.

FINDINGS

[47] This Member of the panel finds that the appropriate approach for a ward boundary review would be to first consider the issue of voter/population parity at the time the boundaries are drawn. A review of the voter/population parity for 2018 for the revised 47 wards as proposed by the City reveals that voter/population parity would not be achieved for 2018. Using the average (and not the target) population per ward, 17 wards would have variances of greater than +/- 10%, of which 4 wards would be in the +/- 15% range, 1 ward in the +/- 20% range, and 2 wards in the +/- 30% range. Such variances well exceed the standards recommended by the City consultants. Such

1010

variances do not meet the conditions of effective representation that are set out in *Carter* inasmuch as the first criteria is relative parity of voting power and this Member finds that relative parity is lacking in the revised 47 ward option, affecting the fundamental *Charter* given right to vote for thousands of citizens of the City.

- [48] Second, this Member finds that the imbalance of voter/population parity will permeate every decision that City Council will make during its four year term of office. As the TWBR Supplementary Report notes: "Toronto's current ward structure, implemented approximately 15 years ago, has become unbalanced. This is problematic, not just at election time, but every time City Council votes."
- [49] Third, in contrast to the recommended 47 wards, this Member finds that the voter/population variances in the FEDS wards with the names and boundaries identical to the current federal electoral districts (and provincial districts) achieve much better voter parity in 2018 with only 2 wards outside 10% and 1 outside 20%. Even this is not perfect parity, but it is far superior to that recommended by the City's consultants.
- [50] Fourth, with regard to the other *Carter* criteria, this Member finds that there is no overriding and countervailing case to be made on communities of interest, physical and natural boundaries, and ward history. All those criteria are duly considered in the FEDS for both the federal elections and the provincial elections (the latter of which occurs in 2018 before the City's election).
- [51] Fifth, as voter/population parity is of "prime" importance, are there some other clear or compelling reasons to allow such deviation? I do not find the City's submissions (that ward boundary reviews are expensive and time consuming) to be persuasive as the City's ward boundaries have not been reviewed since 2000, and the FEDS are regularly reviewed and accordingly adjusted, and this process is readily available to the City.

1011

[52] Sixth, I find that the use of the FEDS would result in a fair election in 2018, that the continued use of the FEDS would provide the basis for future elections that are fair, that they will result in boundaries that are derived from regular, thorough, arms-length, open public processes and which can be quickly, reliably, and relatively inexpensively adjusted and adopted by the City on an ongoing basis.

[53] Finally, I strongly disagree with the submission of City's counsel that: "...there is no jurisdiction or statutory authority that the City must achieve parity [of voter/population] in any particular time frame".

[54] I find that the City is dealing with a fundamental right provided under the *Charter* such that when the City is proposing a ward boundary review, the cornerstone of such a review must seek to achieve acceptable voter/population parity for the forthcoming election and not be aimed at an election event in 2026, (eight years hence following innumerable City Council votes, resolutions and By-laws), the result of which would be to unduly dilute the fundamental, *Charter* given, right to vote for thousands of citizens during that entire intervening period. In short, I find that the *Charter* provides the jurisdiction and the authority that requires the City to achieve parity [of voter/population] in 2018.

NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS

- [55] There will be those who will say that the FEDS with 25 wards will result in 50 councillors. That might be, but that is an issue that the Board has no jurisdiction over. That decision rests solely with City Council.
- [56] However, it appears to this Member that there are a host of options open to the City, including but not limited to these four as set out by Dr. Sancton:

2017 CanLII 85757 (ON LPAT)

MM170033

- 1. 25 councillors (1 councillor per ward);
- 30 councillors with 25 councillors (1 per ward) plus 1 area councillor for each five groupings of five wards;
- 3. 35 councillors with 25 councillors (1 per ward) plus 10 councillors electedat large; or
- 4. 50 councillors with 2 councillors elected per ward.
- [57] City Council has the jurisdiction to make decisions on the number of councillors, and I would have left that to City Council.

CONCLUSION

- [58] I would have allowed the appeal by Justin Di Ciano and Anthony Natale.
- [59] I would have made an Order to divide the City into 25 wards with names and boundaries identical to the current FEDS in the City which also happen to be identical to the current provincial electoral districts.
- [60] The basis for my decision is that, as in *Teno, supra*, while City Council has, I believe, acted fairly and in a way they viewed as being in the public interest, nevertheless the recommended results from the consultants to the City and adopted by the City Council do not meet the criteria established by the Supreme Court of Canada in *Carter*. In my opinion, the proposed 47 wards do not provide voter/population parity in 2018. The result of this will affect the *Charter* given fundamental right to vote (and effective representation), and unduly dilute that right to thousands of voters, not just in the 2018 election but for all the decisions of City Council in the four year term of office.
- [61] The case law is clear that where the evidence demonstrates that the decision of the municipality operates to divert from the overriding principle of voter/population parity

MM170033

and effective representation, then the Board can only conclude that the Council has acted unreasonably.

"Blair S. Taylor"

BLAIR S. TAYLOR MEMBER

If there is an attachment referred to in this document, please visit www.elto.gov.on.ca to view the attachment in PDF format.

Ontario Municipal Board

A constituent tribunal of Environment and Land Tribunals Ontario
Website: www.elto.gov.on.ca Telephone: 416-212-6349 Toll Free: 1-866-448-2248

2017 CanLII 85757 (ON LPAT)

This is Exhibit "R" referred to in the Affidavit of Giuliana Carbone, sworn on the 22nd day of August, 2018.

A Commissioner, etc.

Diana Dimmer

CITATION: Natale v. City of Toronto, 2018 ONSC 1475 DIVISIONAL COURT FILE NO.: 41/18 **DATE:** 20180306

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE - ONTARIO DIVISIONAL COURT

RE:

ANTHONY NATALE and JUSTIN DI CIANO, Moving Parties

AND

CITY OF TORONTO, KEVIN WIENER, BRIAN GRAFF, GIORGIO MAMMOLITI, JAMES GORDON SMITH and LAKESHORE PLANNING COUNCIL CORPORATION, Responding Parties

BEFORE:

Swinton J.

COUNSEL: Bruce Engell and Sylvain Rouleau, for the Moving Parties

Glenn K.L. Chu, Diana Dimmer, Brendan O'Callaghan and Matt Schuman, for the

City of Toronto, Responding Party

Kevin Wiener, self-represented, Responding Party

HEARD at Toronto: March 2, 2018

ENDORSEMENT

- The moving parties Anthony Natale and Justin Di Ciano seek leave to appeal the decision of the Ontario Municipal Board (the "Board") dated December 15, 2017 that approved By-laws 267-2017 and 464-2017 of the City of Toronto with one slight change. These by-laws approved a 47 ward system for municipal elections and are intended by the City for use in the October 22, 2018 election and elections in 2022, 2026 and possibly 2030.
- For the reasons that follow, I would dismiss the motion for leave to appeal. Accordingly, I need not address the City's alternative argument that no appeal lies to the Divisional Court pursuant to s. 96(1) of the Ontario Municipal Board Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.28 ("OMB Act") with respect to a decision relating to ward boundaries.
- This motion for leave to appeal was heard at the same time as an application brought by the City for certain declarations. That application is a separate proceeding, in which I am sitting as a Superior Court judge rather than as a judge of the Divisional Court. The reasons in that application will be issued separately and at a later date.

- [4] An appeal lies to the Divisional Court from a decision of the Board only with leave and only on a question of law (s. 96(1), OMB Act). In determining whether to grant leave, the first question to be asked is whether there is some reason to doubt the correctness of the Board's decision on a question of law in other words, is the decision open to serious debate (Vaughan (City) v. Rizmi Holdings Ltd., 2003 CarswellOnt 2907 at para. 8)? While some leave decisions consider the impact of the standard of reasonableness in answering that question, I need not enter into a consideration of whether the Board's decision would ultimately be reviewed on a reasonableness or correctness standard. In my view, there is no good reason to doubt the correctness of the Board's decision on what the moving parties described as the "conventional legal issues".
- [5] The moving parties concede that the Board enunciated the correct legal test to be applied in determining ward boundaries. The Board set out the principles from the Supreme Court of Canada's decision in *Reference re Provincial Electoral Boundaries (Sask.)*, [1991] 2 S.C.R. 158 (referred to as *Carter*). The primary consideration in drawing electoral boundaries is "effective representation", which requires consideration of relative parity of voting power as well as other factors, such as geography, communities of interest, and capacity to represent (*Carter*, pp. 183-85).
- The Board also cited its past jurisprudence holding that there should be deference to the decision of a City Council on ward boundaries, and the Board should intervene only if there are clear and compelling reasons to do so for example, because the City Council acted unfairly or unreasonably. While the moving parties suggested in oral argument that there should be no deference, the Board's approach is consistent with that of the Supreme Court in *Carter*, where the majority stated that there should not be intervention with respect to an electoral map adopted by the legislature unless the boundaries are unreasonable (at p. 189).
- [7] The moving parties argue that the Board erred in the application of these legal principles. They submit that voter parity is the primary consideration in drawing ward boundaries, and the Board should depart from voter parity only if it can point to another factor, such as preservation of communities. They argue that the Board did not justify departing from voter parity in its reasons, and it therefore erred when it approved the new 47 ward by-law rather than their preferred option, using the 25 federal electoral ridings.
- [8] In this case, the City adopted their consultants' proposed ward size of 61,000, with a variance of +/- 15% deemed acceptable. The moving parties take the position that the ward boundaries should reflect the 25 federal riding boundaries, because this provides better voter parity for the 2018 election than the 47 wards that were approved. The 47 ward proposal, they submit, does not achieve voter parity until 2026.
- [9] I see no reason to doubt the correctness of the Board's application of the governing legal principles. The moving parties and the dissenting opinion in the Board decision see voter parity as the primary factor in setting ward boundaries. However, the Supreme Court of Canada in *Carter* emphasized that primary concern is "effective representation" (at p. 183). Relative parity is important, but so, too, are factors such as "geography, community history, community interests and minority representation", as well as other factors (at p. 184). The Supreme Court also held

that growth projection can be a relevant factor, and boundaries may be drawn with a view to population growth in the future, even if that results in a departure from parity at the outset (at p. 195).

- [10] Setting electoral boundaries is an exercise that requires a weighing of many policy considerations. The Board heard from a number of expert witnesses over the course of a seven day hearing. It considered relative voter parity as well as other factors. It concluded that communities of interest are best respected in a 47 ward structure (at para. 36). It also noted that a 25 ward structure could increase voter population in the wards "resulting in a significant impact on the capacity to represent" (at para. 36). The Board considered the evidence respecting voter parity and "finds that the difference between the FEDS and the 47-ward structure is not significant and will not result in an unfair election in 2018", particularly taking into account all the *Carter* criteria, including the protection of communities of interest (at para. 39). The Board found that the 47 ward structure achieves the goal of effective representation (at para. 40). It also found that the City's consultants engaged in adequate public consultation.
- [11] The moving parties have failed to show any arguable legal error by the Board. The moving parties are really taking issue with the Board's findings of fact, its preference for certain evidence and its weighing of the various factors that go into a finding with respect to "effective representation." There is no basis for intervention by the Divisional Court with respect to the Board's decision to approve the by-laws.
- [12] The moving parties also asked for leave to appeal a "novel" question. They submit that the Board erred in law in putting in place a 47 ward structure in time to take effect in the 2018 election without ensuring that City Council passed a corresponding by-law to change the composition of Council from the present 44 councillors to 47.
- [13] No party asked the Board to deal with this issue. Indeed, in an appeal pursuant to s. 128 of the City of Toronto Act, S.O. 2006, c. 11, Sch. A ("COTA"), the Board's task is to determine the acceptability of ward boundaries. It does not have jurisdiction to determine the composition of council. That is the task of council itself in accordance with s. 135 of COTA.
- [14] My task, on this leave motion, is to determine whether there is reason to doubt the correctness of the Board's decision on a question of law. There is no basis to intervene on the "novel" issue, where the Board was not asked to deal with this question.
- [15] Accordingly, the motion for leave to appeal is dismissed. The parties have agreed that there will be no order as to costs.

K. Swinton J.

Date: March 6, 2018

Court of Appeal File No. C65861 (M49615)

ROCCO ACHAMPONG ONTARIO **CITY OF TORONTO** Superior Court File No.: CV-18-00602494-0000 and **Applicant** Respondent (Appellants) Respondent and (Respondent in appeal) (Respondent in Appeal) THE CITY OF TORONTO and ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ONTARIO Superior Court File No.: CV-18-00603797-0000 **Applicant** Respondent (Appellant) (Respondent in appeal) CHRIS MOISE et al. and ATTORNEY GENERAL OF **CITY OF TORONTO** Superior Court File No.: CV-18-00603633-0000 **Applicants ONTARIO** and Respondent (Respondent in appeal) Respondent (Appellant) (Respondent in Appeal)

COURT OF APPEAL FOR ONTARIO Proceeding commenced at TORONTO

RECORD OF THE RESPONDING PARTIES, CHRIS MOISE et al. (Motion for Stay Pending Appeal)

GOLDBLATT PARTNERS LLP

20 Dundas Street West, Suite 1039 Toronto, ON M5G 2C2

Tel.: 416-977-6070 Fax: 416-591-7333

Howard Goldblatt LS# 15964M

hgoldblatt@goldblattpartners.com

Daniel Sheppard LS# 59074H dsheppard@goldblattpartners.com

Louis Century LS# 66582C lcentury@goldblattpartners.com

Lawyers for the Responding Parties, Chris Moise et al.